NASSEP:

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS

16th ANNUAL SURVEY REPORT

1984-85 ACADEMIC YEAR

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE SCHOLARSHIP & GRANT PROGRAMS

NASSGP

16th ANNUAL SURVEY REPORT 1984-85 ACADEMIC YEAR

State/Territory Funded Scholarship/Grant Programs
to Undergraduate and Graduate Students with Financial Need
to Attend Public or Private Post-secondary Educational Institutions.

Prepared by:

Kenneth R. Reeher

Executive Director

Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency

and

Jerry S. Davis
Director of Research and Policy Analysis
Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is the fifth year that PHEAA has produced the NASSGP annual report. The annual collection, analysis, and reporting of these data are no small tasks and none could have been accomplished without the support of several persons and agencies. We want to acknowledge their contributions here.

Without the cooperation and support of the NASSGP member agencies, who each year respond to a lengthy survey, completion of this report would be impossible. We are thankful for this support. We also appreciate the advice and suggestions of many readers whose comments over the past five years have lead to improvements in the reports.

The PHEAA Research and Statistics staff devotes a great amount of attention energy, and effort to collecting and compiling survey responses. The assistance of Lynn Crone, Debbie Heberle, Miriam Cooper, and Michelle Kinard in these tasks is much appreciated. Darlene Kerstan and her Word Processing staff at PHEAA typed the voluminous tables and much of the text for this report and the earlier ones.

Finally, we acknowledge the members of the financial aid community whose continued interest in and use of this report makes the final product worth our collective efforts.

Kenneth R. Reeher Jerry S. Davis

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table		<u>Page</u>
	SECTION I SURVEY SUMMARY AND HIGHLIGHTS	1
	SECTION II COMPARATIVE PROGRAM STATISTICS, 1983-84 AND 1984-85	5
1	Estimated Total Grant Aid Awarded by State Programs, 1984-85, by Types of Programs	13
2	Dollars and Number of Awards for Comprehensive Undergraduate Competitive and Non-Competitive State Scholarship and Grant Programs Based on Need, 1983-84 and Estimated for 1984-85: Academic Years	15
3	Comparative Data Report Dollars and Number of Awards for Comprehensive Need- Based Aid Programs for Graduate/Professional School	
4	Students, Actual 1983-84 and Estimated for 1984-85 Dollars and Number of Awards for Non-Need-Based State Programs for Undergraduate Students, Actual 1983-84	
5	and Estimated for 1984-85 Dollars and Number of Awards for Non-need-Based State Programs for Graduate/Professional School Students,	
	Actual 1983-84 and Estimated for 1984-85	27
6 7	Other Programs Administered by the State Agency State-Funded Student Aid Programs Administered by State	
8	Agencies Other than the Responding Agency Competitive and Need-Based Undergraduate Comprehensive	
9	Programs	37 38
10	1984-85 Awards for Comprehensive Undergraduate Need- Based Scholarship and Grant Programs for Attendance	20
11	Only at Private Institutions Estimated Percentages of Awards and Dollars by Sector for Comprehensive Undergraduate Need-Based	39
12	Scholarship and Grant Programs State Student Incentive Grant Program Activities by	40
	States	45
	SECTION III PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS	47
13	Estimated 1984-85 Program Characteristics	53
14	Comments to Help Survey Readers Better Understand Agency Positions	59
15	Program Initiation and Average Awards	62
16	Application Procedures	69
17	Eligible Institutions	81
18	Program Eligibility Criteria for Need-Based Undergraduate Programs	89
19	Published Application Deadline Dates	95

	SECTION IV POLICY ISSUES AND CONCERNS	101
20	Significant Changes Planned for Programs or Operations in 1985-86	104
21	New Financial Aid Programs Under Consideration for the Near Future	106
22	Most Important Policy Issues Facing the Programs this Year	108
23	Responses to National Interest in Merit Based Aid Programs	110
24 25	Program Policies on Satisfactory Academic Progress Reactions to Mandated Portability of SSIG Funds	113 116
	SECTION V DISTRIBUTION OF UNDERGRADUATE NEED-BASED AID AMONG STUDENT CATEGORIES	119
26	Estimated 1984-85 Award Distribution by Categories for Comprehensive Undergraduate Need-Based Scholarship and Grant Programs	122
	SECTION VI TRENDS IN NEED-BASED UNDERGRADUATE AID DOLLAR VOLUMES BY STATES	123
27	Total Dollars of Awards for Comprehensive Undergraduate Need-Based Scholarship and Grant Programs by States, Grouped by Payment Dollar Volumes, 1979-80 to	
	1984-85	127
	SECTION VII NASSGP OFFICERS AND DIRECTORY	131

SECTION I SURVEY SUMMARY AND HIGHLIGHTS

This year's survey revealed significant trends and collected interesting data which are described in the texts and tables on the following pages. the highlights of the survey findings are described in this section of the report.

This year states expect to award \$1.411 billion in grant aid to over 1.6 million students in postsecondary education. Most of this grant aid, 84.7 percent, will be received through comprehensive and need-based programs administered by NASSGP member agencies. Non-need-based aid to undergraduates will represent 8.7 percent of all the grant-aid identified by the survey.

The most important finding of this survey is the widespread and significant increase in need-based grant aid to undergraduates from virtually all states' programs. In the aggregate, this grant aid is expected to increase by 15 percent from 1983-84's volume of \$1.035 billion. This year's expected growth rate is larger than any single year's rate since the 23.2 percent growth between 1975 and 1976, when many states created new programs in response to availability of State Student Incentive Grant Program allocations. The expected growth in dollar volume of \$160 million is the largest single year's growth in the 16-year history of these surveys. This year's anticipated \$1.195 billion volume is 2.7 times the dollar volume of need-based undergraduate aid of 1974-75, \$440.8 million.

Perhaps even more significant than the growth in aggregate dollars is the finding that all but three states are expected to award more dollars in 1984-85 than 1983-84. The average growth rate per state is 17.4 percent, with 15 states reporting expected growth rates in excess of 25 percent, 19 states reporting expected growth rates of between 10 and 20 percent, and eleven states expecting growth rates of at least five percent. Last year, 1983-84, only 13 states expected growth rates in excess of ten percent. This year 32 states expect growth rates of eleven percent or more.

Since 1980-81, the dollars of need-based, state-supported grants to undergraduates have increased by 42.5 percent and the numbers of recipients have grown by 15.4 percent. However, during this same time period the average costs of attendance at four-year private colleges, whose students receive nearly half this aid, increased by 52 percent and the average costs of attendance at four-year public colleges, whose students receive about one-third of this aid, increased by 40 percent. Thus the increase in available aid has roughly paralleled the growth in costs experienced by most aid recipients.

Although the data are not conclusive, some characteristics of undergraduate need-based aid are apparently changing in significant ways. Since 1981-82, the number of part-time undergraduates receiving state grant aid has increased by 46 percent and the dollar value of their awards has tripled. However, less than two percent of all the aid is received by part-timers. Greater proportions of recipients are first-time applicants in their sophomore or upperclass years, suggesting that as costs continue to increase, more and more continuing students are having to draw upon resources of state grant programs. This year 16 percent of the grant recipients will be 26 years of age or

older. In 1981 only 11.8 percent of the aid recipients were in this age group and the proportion has increased each year.

The survey revealed evidence that indicates a sharp reduction in the numbers and proportions of Black students receiving state grants. The proportion of Black recipients may have decreased from one-fourth to one-fifth since 1981. The decline is probably a consequence of declining postsecondary education participation rates among Black students rather than the result of changes in state grant program policies.

Need-based grant aid to graduate and professional school students is expected to increase by 15.2 percent to \$21.8 million. Aggregate non-need-based grant aid to undergraduates and graduate students is expected to increase by 18.6 percent to \$132.5 million. However, 55 percent of the growth in aid of this type for undergraduates will occur in just five states with "tuition equalization" programs and 35 percent of the growth in non-need based aid to graduate students will occur in New York with that state's implementation of a program for prospective teachers in math and science. The larger rate of growth in non-need-based aid program volumes than in need-based ones does not indicate a "national trend" toward devotion of greater proportions of grant aid dollars to non-need-based programs.

This year 14 states expect half their need-based grant dollar volumes to come from SSIG allocations. This number is up from 1983-84's twelve states and 1982-83's nine states with similar circumstances. This is very likely a reflection of the long-term modest growth of programs in the dozen states that annually award less than \$1 million.

Between 1982-83 and 1984-85, sixteen new grant programs were implemented by the states. Twelve of these sixteen programs are non-need-based ones. The expected 1984-85 award volume for all sixteen new programs is \$24.2 million but 52 percent of the new program dollars will be awarded through the four need-based aid programs. Three of the programs are non-need, merit-based scholarship programs in Arkansas, Delaware, and Virginia. Five new programs are designed to provide non-need-based aid to prospective school teachers in Alabama, Iowa, Maryland, and Tennessee. Two of the new programs deliver non-need-based grants to undergraduates from Alabama and New York who have served in the armed forces. Two programs are for graduate students, a need-based program in Massachusetts and a non-need-based program in Connecticut. Ohio's new Student Choice Grants program is a non-need-based program for undergraduates attending private colleges in that state. New York's Aid for Part-Time Study and Vermont's Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters are new need-based programs.

Ten states are considering legislating or implementing new merit-based scholarship programs for top academic scholars and eight states are considering developing work-study programs. Massachusetts and Maine are considering new teacher-incentive aid programs and Connecticut plans to implement a new "loan forgiveness" program for talented students in teacher education programs.

Analysis of the growth patterns of need-based aid for undergraduates showed that aggregate aid grew by 45.3 percent since 1979-80, with two-thirds of the growth occurring since 1982-83. Since 1979-80, eight states have more than doubled their annual award volumes and ten have increased their annual volumes

by more than 50 percent. However, six states increased their volumes by less than ten percent and another five experienced lower volumes in 1984-85 than 1979-80. Only five states experienced program growth in each of the five years since 1979: Illinois, Minnesota, Iowa, Rhode Island and Vermont. States with larger programs in 1979 generally experienced larger growth rates, and the growth in just ten states represented 83 percent of the \$372.5 million increase. The sixteen states with the largest dollar volumes of aid consistently awarded 88 percent of all the aid awarded each year, indicating that most state grant program funds continue to be awarded by a relatively small number of states.

SECTION II COMPARATIVE PROGRAM STATISTICS, 1983-84 AND 1984-85

In 1984-85 states expect to award \$1.41 billion in grant aid to students through one or more of their programs (see Table 1). About 86.2 percent of this aid will be awarded to undergraduates and graduate/professional school students through need-based programs administered by NASSGP agencies. Another 9.4 percent of the grant aid will be awarded by these same agencies through non-need based programs and the remaining 4.4 percent will be awarded by other state agencies, institutions acting in behalf of the state agencies, or by other means.

Nine states will award in excess of \$50 million each, for a total of \$1.01 billion or about 71 percent of all the grant aid awarded by all states. Put another way, 17 percent of the 52 reporting states/territories are expected to award 71 percent of the aid. These nine states are, in rank order of dollars awarded: New York, Illinois, California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Ohio, Minnesota, and Michigan.

Six states will award between \$20 and \$50 million, for an aggregate of \$177.8 million or about 13 percent of all aid identified by the survey. The six states are, in rank order of dollars awarded: North Carolina, Indiana, Florida, Texas, Wisconsin, and Iowa. Therefore, 15 states will award about 84 percent of the aid.

Eight states (Colorado, Georgia, South Carolina, Maryland, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Oklahoma, and Oregon) will award between \$10 and \$20 million, for an aggregate of \$107.6 million, or about eight percent of the grant aid. Another eight states' programs will award between \$5 and \$10 million, for an aggregate of \$68.7 million, or about five percent of the total. These states are Alabama, Missouri, Tennessee, West Virginia, Kentucky, Vermont, Rhode Island, and Washington. The remaining 21 states will award less than \$5 million each and collectively only about three percent of all the grant aid.

These data show that the majority of the state grant aid is awarded by a relatively small number of states. Just the four states with the largest volumes award over half of all the grant aid. Or, from another viewpoint, the 26 states whose program volumes rank in the top half of all states award 94 percent of all the aid.

Undergraduate Need Based Aid

Table 2 displays the data for NASSGP member agencies' need-based comprehensive grant and scholarship programs for undergraduate students. (This table replicates the format that has appeared in the "basic table" of the first 14 annual reports.) These data indicate that for the second consecutive year these need-based programs will award more than \$1 billion to needy undergraduates. The expected award volume of \$1.195 billion represents a 15 percent growth from 1983-84's volume of \$1.035. The total award amounts for earlier years were: for 1982-83, \$959.5 million; for 1981-82, \$890.6 million; and, for 1980-81, \$838.8 million.

Between 1980-81 and 1984-85, need-based aid to undergraduates is expected to increase by 42.5 percent, from \$838.8 million to \$1.195 billion. It is especially significant that 45 percent of that expected growth will occur between last year and this year, 1984-85. This year's expected annual growth rate of 15.4 percent is greater than any single year's rate since the 23.2 percent growth between 1975-76 and 1976-77, when many states created new programs in response to availability of new federal State Student Incentive Grant Program allocations. Moreover, the expected growth in dollar volumes of awards of \$160 million is the largest single year's growth in NASSGP's 16-year history of annual reports. And this year's \$1.195 billion volume is 2.7 times the dollar volume of need-based state grant aid available ten years ago, in 1974-75, \$440.8 million.

The growth in state grant aid during the 1980s is impressive, encouraging, and laudable. The fact that 357 million more dollars are available to students in 1984-85 than in 1980-81 represents a great achievement. However, this growth should be evaluated against the fact that average costs of attendance at four-year private colleges, whose students receive nearly half of this need-based grant aid, increased by 52 percent during the same time period. Average costs of attendance at four-year public colleges, whose students receive about one-third of this grant aid, increased by about 40 percent. Thus the four-year increase in available aid has roughly paralleled the growth in costs experienced by most aid recipients. Furthermore, it should be noted that \$192 million of the \$357 million growth occurred in the programs of the four states with the largest programs, New York, Illinois, California, and Pennsylvania. The growth in New York's program alone accounted for 39 percent of the total growth in all need-based state grant aid.

Because such a large proportion of all grant aid annually is awarded by so few states, annual changes in those few states' programs typically have had a great impact on the percentage growth rates for the nation. This year's data are, however, encouraging in that many states have played a role in the 15 percent aggregate growth rate. For example, while the growth in aggregate grant aid was 15.4 percent, the average rate of growth for each of the 52 states/territories was 17.4 percent. Fifteen states reported expected growth rates of more than 25 percent. Leading these states were Arkansas (89.4 percent), Indiana (60.4 percent), and Massachusetts (50.7 percent). Another 19 states reported expected growth rates of between 10 and 20 percent. the expected growth rate of aid in 65 percent of the states (34 of 52) more than exceeded the expected growth of college costs in those states. Eleven states expect their programs to grow at rates between 5.0 and 9.9 percent, only four states expect growth rates of less than five percent, and just two states were expected to experience no change in aid dollar volumes. Washington expected a loss (at 5.8 percent) of need-based aid dollars. That growth rates are so significant and widely distributed among so many states is very encouraging. This pattern of widespread increases among so many states did not appear in the past four surveys. Last year, 1983-84, only 13 states expected growth rates of eleven percent or more. This year 32 states expected growth rates of this magnitude. So this year is a very exceptional year.

This year about 1,315,000 undergraduates are expected to receive need-based grant awards. This represents a modest 2.4 percent increase from 1983-84's recipient number, 1,285,041. It represents a 15.4 percent increase from the 1980-81 number of recipients. Thus the number of aid recipients has not grown as rapidly as the dollars of awards to those students.

This year's average aid award is about \$909, up by 12.8 percent from last year's \$806. In 1980-81, the average award was only \$735. Therefore, the growth rate in average award values from 1980-81 to 1984-85 is about 24 percent. This rate is much less than the percentage growth rate in average costs noted above. The data indicate that more students are receiving more aid to attend postsecondary education, but that their average awards are not keeping pace with their increased average costs.

Graduate Need-Based Grant Aid

This year the 23 states that have need-based aid programs for graduate and professional school students expect to increase their award dollars by 15.2 percent, from \$18.923 to \$21.794 million (see Table 3). The number of aid recipients is expected to grow by just 2.5 percent, from 25,309 to 25,945.

Just as with all types of grant aid, a few states award the majority of need-based aid to graduate/professional school students. Only six states each award more than \$1 million annually. They are, ranked by dollar volumes of their programs: New York, California, Massachusetts, Texas, Oklahoma, and Michigan. Their expected total dollar volume for 1984-85 is \$17.921 million or 82 percent of the total for all 23 states. The remaining 17 states expect to award only \$13.873 million or about \$228,000 per state.

The 1984-85 ratio of need-based aid for undergraduates to need-based aid for graduate and professional school students for the six states which award the most aid is 33 to one. The ratio of all states' need-based grant aid is 55 to one. Clearly, graduate and professional schools students' access to need-based grant aid is much more restricted than is undergraduates access to this type of aid -- even in states with significant programs for post-baccalaureate students.

Because this is only the third year data for need-based grants to graduate students have been collected by the NASSGP survey, it is difficult to measure reliably the trends in this type of aid. However, it appears that need-based grant dollars to graduate/professional school students grew by only 28 percent between 1981-82 and 1984-85, from \$17.007 million to \$21.794 million. Need-based grant dollars to undergraduates grew by 34 percent during this three-year period.

Non-Need-Based Undergraduate Programs

Table 4 shows that 25 states have grant programs that award aid to undergraduates without consideration of the recipients' needs. These programs can be grouped into three general categories: (1) "tuition equalization" programs, designed to reduce the gap in tuition costs between private and public institutions; (2) scholarship programs, designed to award meritorious students, generally for the purpose of enhancing the probability of the recipients attending an in-state institution; and (3) categorical aid programs, designed to encourage participation in particular programs of study such as math or science, or programs which aid dependents of special constituents, such as veterans or policeman.

In 1984-85, aid from all these programs is expected to total \$122.679 million, up by 17.5 percent from 1983-84's \$104.44 million. Since 1981-82, the first

year NASSGP collected data on this type of aid, the dollar volume has grown by \$35 million or about 40 percent.

In recent years many debates on whether more aid should be non-need-based have occurred. In the past two years, 1982-83 to 1984-85, non-need-based undergraduate aid in these 25 states with both types of programs grew by 22.8 percent, from \$99.9 million to the expected \$122.7 million. At the same time, need-based aid for undergraduates in those states grew by 26.7 percent, from \$701.9 million to \$889.2 million. The 1982-83 ratio of need-based to non-need based aid dollars was 7.02 to 1; the 1984-85 expected ratio is 7.24 to 1. Therefore, in these states with both types of programs, those who favor need-based aid appear to be winning the debate. However, in four of these 25 states, non-need-based grant dollars are larger than need-based grant dollars. The states are Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and Virginia. The primary reason these states' non-need-based aid volumes are larger is that all four have large "tuition equalization" grant programs.

The "tuition equalization" programs in these four states, and the one in Michigan, account for 54.6 percent of all the expected non-need based undergraduate aid dollars of 1984-85 (\$67.025 of \$122.679 million). Last year these five programs awarded \$65.1 million or 58.7 percent of the total.

While several of these states reported data for scholarship programs for a variety of categories of students, only nine reported data for scholarships which are generally available to students and which have been in existence for more than a year or so. These include: Colorado's Undergraduate Merit Awards, Florida's Academic Scholars Fund, Idaho's State Scholarship, Indiana's Hoosier Scholarships, Louisiana's T.H. Harris and Rockefeller Scholarships, Maryland's House of Delegates Grants, Massachusett's Honor Scholarships, New York's Regents Scholarships, and Ohio's Academic Scholarship programs.

These nine states' programs are expected to award \$32.9 million to students in 1984-85. These programs awarded \$31.9 million in 1983-84, so their expected growth rate is only 3.1 percent. In 1982-83, they awarded \$30.5 million, for a two-year growth rate of only 7.9 percent. The two-year growth rate of need-based programs for undergraduates in these nine states was 35 percent. In 1982-83 the ratio of need-based grant dollars to non-need-based grant dollars was 13 to 1; in 1984-85, the expected ratio is 17.5 to 1. Therefore, a trend to award proportionately more aid on merit-based rather than need-based criteria does not appear in these nine states.

Non-Need-Based Graduate Programs

Table 5 shows that 18 states have non-need-based aid programs for graduate and/or professional school students. These programs are expected to award \$9.866 million to about 2,800 students this year. These figures represent a 37.8 percent growth in dollars and a 79.3 percent growth in recipients of aid since last year. However, 35 percent of the \$2.71 million growth occurred in New York with that states' implementation of a program for Teachers in Math and Science. Another 37 percent of the growth occurred in Virginia with Tuition Assistance Grants being made available for post-baccalaureate study. Tennessee's new Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program, Connecticut's new High Technology Graduate Scholarship Program, and Alabama's new Emergency Secondary Education Scholarship Program accounted for 16 percent of the growth. So only 12 percent of the growth occurred in programs that existed last year.

The NASSGP survey first collected data for non-need-based aid to graduate students for 1981-1982. That year \$3.223 million was awarded to about 2,000 students. Therefore, the aid of this type has slightly more than tripled in three years. However, some of this apparent growth may be attributable to more comprehensive reporting by agencies in the most recent year and the true growth rate is likely to be somewhat less. In any case, grant aid of this type represents only 0.7 percent of all reported grant aid.

Other Aid Programs Administered By NASSGP Agencies

Table 6 lists the other programs administered by NASSGP agencies. Over 100 programs were identified by 37 responding states. Most of these programs, 62 of 103 or 60 percent, were loan programs of one kind or another but most frequently Guaranteed Student Loan and PLUS/ALAS loan programs. A dozen of the loan programs were especially directed toward teachers or other educators, several being loan forgiveness programs. A dozen agencies also said they administered work-study programs of one kind or another. The remaining programs were special scholarship programs, tuition remission programs, contractual programs, or categorical aid programs funded from non-state sources. State appropriations for the various programs will exceed \$320 million for 1984-85.

Other State-Funded Aid Programs

Fourteen states identified aid programs available in their states but not administered by the NASSGP agency. Most of these were categorical aid programs designed to assist students in the health professions (9 programs) or to aid veterans or dependents of veterans (4 programs). Minnesota and North Dakota identified programs which assist Native Americans. The states have appropriated approximately \$32 million for these "other agency" programs.

Merit-Based Grant Programs For Needy Undergraduates

Seventeen States have need-based grant programs for undergraduates which also require some measure of academic potential or merit as a condition of original eligibility. This year the 21 programs in these 17 states will award almost \$216 million to about 216,000 students (see Table 8). Two states, Florida and Louisiana, are newly included in this table since 1983-84.

In 1983-84, the fifteen states which had need-based and merit-based programs for last year and this year awarded about \$179.7 million through their programs. This year they will award \$213.3 million, for a growth of 18.7 percent. The growth rate for the two states whose programs account for 70 percent of the total dollars, California and Minnesota, is 14.1 percent, from \$130.9 million to \$149.4 million. The growth rate for the remaining 13 states with programs in both years is even larger at 30.9 percent, from \$48.8 million to \$63.9 million. Clearly there is an unusually large growth pattern in the dollars awarded through these programs.

Grant Programs for Use Only at Public Institutions

Nine states have need-based grant programs which serve only undergraduates at public institutions (see Table 9). Each of these nine states also has other programs which serve students at non-public institutions. These programs are expected to award \$18.3 million to nearly 40,000 students in 1984-85. Last

year the eight states that had "public student only" programs in both 1983-84 and 1984-85 awarded \$15.4 million to 43,000 students. This year these eight states will award \$17.8 million to 39,000 students. Thus there is an expected growth rate in dollar volumes of 15.6 percent for programs of this type. Because the number of recipients is expected to decrease, the average award will increase from \$363 to \$464 per year.

Grant Programs for Use Only at Private Institutions

Thirteen states have programs of need-based aid only for undergraduates who attend private institutions (see Table 10). All states but South Carolina have other grant programs which serve students at public institutions. These programs are expected to award \$108.6 million to 87,000 students in 1984-85. Last year these programs granted \$97.8 million to 85,000 students, so the award dollar volume is expected to grow by 11 percent. The growth patterns in these programs generally approximate the growth patterns in all need-based undergraduate aid programs.

Undergraduate Need-Based Aid by Institutional Types

Table 11 shows the percentage distribution of undergraduate need-based aid awards and dollars among in-state public and private institutions and out-of-state institutions for 89 programs. The respondents estimated that about 55.2 percent of the aggregate award dollars will be received by students to attend in-state private institutions. Only 43.3 percent of the aid will be awarded to students at in-state public institutions and just 1.5 percent will go to students attending out-of-state institutions.

The percentages are very similar to those reported for 1983-84 and 1982-83. In 1981-82, in-state private institutions' received 56.3 percent of the undergraduate need-based dollars, in-state public institutions' students received 41.5 percent, and 2.2 percent went to students attending out-of-state institutions. Therefore, the distribution of grant dollars among students at different types of institutions has remained quite stable.

While over half the aid is awarded to students at in-state private institutions, the students receiving the aid represent only 38.6 percent of all recipients. This is because awards to private college students are typically greater than awards to public college students, because of the former's higher tuition costs. While over half the programs (45 of 89) are expected to award at least one-third of their aid dollars to students at private institutions, only 40 are expected to make at least one-third of their awards to such students. Again these proportions are similar to those of last year's 1983-84 survey.

Only 16 of the programs (18 percent) will offer awards to students attending institutions in other states. These students will represent 1.9 percent of all recipients and receive only 1.5 percent of all award dollars. Only six of the programs will award at least a third of their dollars to students at out-of-state institutions. These are programs in Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, and Rhode Island. This pattern follows the pattern revealed in last year's survey.

SSIG Program Activities by States

Table 12 displays the State Student Incentive Grant Program activity. The 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico reported that last year they awarded \$60.122 million in federal SSIG funds through their programs.

The seven states reporting the largest expenditures were: California, \$9.34 million; New York, \$5.10 million; Texas, \$3.27 million; Illinois, \$3.24 million; Pennsylvania, \$2.64 million; Michigan, \$2.48 million, and Ohio, \$2.38 million. Their expenditures represented 47.3 percent of all SSIG expenditures.

In 1983-84 only two states, Iowa and Kentucky, returned more than nominal amounts (\$5,000 or more) of their allotments to the federal government. This accelerates a downward trend in the numbers of states returning more than nominal funds to the program. In 1981-82 and 1982-83 nine states each returned more than \$5,000.

This year 14 states expect half their need-based award dollar volumes to come from SSIG program allocations. This number is up from 1983-84's twelve states and 1982-83's nine states with similar circumstances. In 1984-85, 17 states expect their SSIG allocations to exceed one-third of their program expenditures. Last year only 16 states had this experience.

This year the SSIG allocations are expected to represent 6.2 percent of the need-based aid offered through SSIG funded programs. Last year's percentage was 5.6 percent; the percentage for 1982-83 was 7.2 percent.

TABLE 1

ESTIMATED TOTAL GRANT AID AWARDED BY STATE PROGRAMS, 1984-85, BY TYPES OF PROGRAMS

(AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS)

	Need-Based	l Aid	Non-Need-Ba	sed Aid		
	Undergrads	Grads	Undergrads	Grads	Other <u>Aid</u> *	Total <u>Grants</u>
Alabama	\$ 2.192	\$ 0.068	\$ 3.866	\$0.240	\$ 3.464	\$ 9.830
Alaska	0.241			1.834		2.075
Arizona	2.576	0.010				2.586
Arkansas	4.221		0.200			4.421
California	101.019	3.448				104.467
Colorado	8.740	0.754	6.835	0.985		17.314
Connecticut	9.876			0.100	2.700	12.676
Delaware	0.633	0.112	0.206	0.016		0.967
District of Columbia	0.959	0.147				1.106
Florida	14.026	0.007	14.051	0.054	0.200	28.338
Georgia	4.216		10.724	0.500	0.527	15.967
Hawaii	(0.493)					(0.493)
Idaho	0.478	0.031	0.127			0.636
Illinois	111.100		1.065		7.501	119.666
Indiana	32.697		0.437	0.200	/	33.334
Iowa	22.423		1.524			23.947
Kansas	4.841					4.841
Kentucky	8.648					8.648
Louisiana	2.163		1.247	0.095		3.505
Maine	0.547					0.547
Maryland	7.361	0.399	1.425	0.039	4.075	13.299
Massachusetts	38.663	2.250	0.845		14.973	56.731
Michigan	34.477	1.346	15.615			51.438
Minnesota	50.700				1.804	52.504
Mississippi	1.286		1.067	0.262		2.615
Missouri	9.145					9.145
Montana	0.400					0.400
Nebraska	1.089					1.089
Nevada	0.414	**				0.414
New Hampshire	0.593	0.003	0.003	0.439		1.038
New Jersey	56.752	0.650	0.055	0.400		57.857
New Mexico	1.025					1.025
New York	385.360	~7.640	22.201	2.312		417.513
North Carolina	4.668	0.919	18.122		15.702	39.411
North Dakota	0.713				0.127	0.840

	Need-Based	Aid	Non-Need-Ba	sed Aid	0.13	
	Undergrads	Grads	Undergrads	Grads	Other <u>Aid</u> *	Total <u>Grants</u>
Ohio	45.205		10.094	0.200		55.499
Oklahoma	6.655	1.083			4.000	11.738
Oregon	9.293	0.073			1.420	10.786
Pennsylvania	91.148		0.566			91.714
Rhode Island	7.737					7.737
South Carolina	13.728					13.728
South Dakota	(0.531)					(0.531)
Tennessee	8.833		0.110	0.090		9.033
Texas	24.787	2.114			0.600	27.501
Utah	1.804	0.002	0.545	1.094		3.445
Vermont	7.558	0.112			0.178	7.848
Virginia	4.420		11.721	1.006		17.147
Washington	7.095		0.028		0.448	7.571
West Virginia	4.877				3.990	8.867
Wisconsin	25 .239					25.239
Wyoming	(0.204)	(0.036)				(0.240)
Puerto Rico	11.505	0.590				12.095
Totals	\$1,195.354	\$21.794	\$122.679	\$9.866	\$61.709	\$1,411.402
Percent	84.7%	1.5%	8.7%	0.7%	4.4%	100.0%

^{*} Aid reported under this heading includes grant aid administered by other state agencies, tuition fee waiver programs administered by state and institutions, special programs for veterans, matching programs, etc. Data for these programs are in Tables 6 and 7.

^{**} Reported a need-based grant program for graduate students but could not report dollars awarded. Amounts are included in undergraduate figures for these states.

Figures in () are 1983-84 data.

15

TABLE 2

DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE COMPETITIVE AND NON-COMPETITIVE STATE SCHOLARSHIPS AND GRANT PROGRAMS BASED ON NEED, 1983-84 AND ESTIMATED FOR 1984-85: ACADEMIC YEARS COMPARATIVE DATA REPORT

		No. of Monetary Awards		Payout I	Dollars Lions)	Percentage Change	Average Award Amount	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85
Alabama								
Student Assistance Program -								
Undergraduates	2,260	2,328	+ 3.0	\$ 1.731	\$ 2.192	+26.6%	\$ 766	\$ 942
Alaska					T 2011	.20100	Ψ 700	9 342
Student Incentive Grants	127	161	+26.8	.189	.241	+27.5	1,488	1,497
Arizona						,27.5	1,400	1,431
Incentive Grant Program -								
Undergraduate	2,852	3,584	+25.7	2.027	2.576	+27.1	711	719
Arkansas		·					711	715
State Scholarship Program	11,050	11,667	+ 5.6	2.226	4.221	+89.6	201	362
California		·						
Cal Grant A	39,697	40,567		58.475	69.341			
Cal Grant B	20,277	20,990		23.527	26.159			
Cal Grant C	2,226	2,290		2.207	3.191			
Bilingual Teacher Grant -		······································						
Undergraduates	680	681		1.814	2.318			
Law Enforcement Personnel - Undergrads.	7	7		.008	.010			
All Programs	62,887	64,535	+ 2,6	86.031	101.019	+17.4	1,368	1,565
Colorado		 · 					2,000	17505
Student Incentive Grants	2,900	3,600		1.638	2.074			
Student Grants	9,380	10,862		5.703	6.666		***************************************	
All Programs	12,280	14,462	+17.8	7.341	8,740	+19.1	598	604
Connecticut		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Scholastic Achievement Grants	3,112	3,400		2.431	2.989			
Independent College Student Grant		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Program	4,797	4,880		4.825	4.987			
State Supplemental Grants	3,615	3,500		2.115	1.900			
All Programs	11,524	11,780	+ 2.2	9.371	9.876	+ 5.4	813	838
Delaware								
Postsecondary Scholarships -								
Undergraduates	840	1,020	+21.4	.548	.633	+15.5	652	621

		No. of Monetary Awards		Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage Change	Average Award Amount	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85
District of Columbia								
Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	585	694	+18.6	.759	.959	+26.4	1,297	1,382
Florida		···						
Student Assistance Grants	20,000	21,000		12.487	14.000			
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian								
Scholarships - Undergraduates	36	36		.028	.026			
All Programs	20,036	21,036	+ 5.0	12,515	14.026	+12.1	625	667
Georgia							200	200
Student Incentive Grant Program	14,180	14,147	- 0.2	3.683	4.216	+14.5	260	298
Hawaii					(400)	w 0	/2521	(252)
Student Incentive Grants	(1,400)	(1,400)	N.C.	(.493)	(.493)	N.C.	(352)	(352)
Idaho								
Student Incentive Grants -				270	.478	+26.5	523	608
Undergraduates	723	786	+ 8.7	.378	.478	720.5	323	000
Illinois		404 000		104.064	110.400			
Monetary Award Program	106,672	104,000		(,320)	.700			
Student-to-Student Matching Grants	(1,350)	(1,350)	- 2.5	104.384	111,100	+ 6.4	966	1,055
All Programs	108,022	105,350	- 2.5	104.304	111,100	1 0.1		
Indiana	07.245	21 071		14.675	25.222			
Higher Educational Awards	27,345	31,071		5.705	7.475			
Freedom of Choice Grants	7,000	6,691 37,762	+ 9.9	20,380	32,697	+ 60.4	593	866
All Programs	34,345	37,762	+ 9.9	20.500	3.3,037			
Iowa	1,320	1,272		.726	.700			
Scholarship Program	10,347	10,000		18,690	20.584			
Tuition Grant Program	2,462	3,273		.847	1.139			
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	14,129	14,545	+ 2.9	20.263	22,423	+10.7	1,434	1,542
All Programs	14,125	14,545						
Kansas	(2,100)	2,000		(.714)	.764			
State Scholarships	(3,700)	4,050		(3.950)	4.077			
Tuition Grants	(5,800)	6,050	+ 4.3	(4.664)	4.841	+ 3.8	(804)	800
All Programs	(3/000)							
Kentucky Student Incentive Grants	15,269	9,500		3.888	4.112		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tuition Grant Program	5,182	5,040	 	3.998	4,536			
All Programs	20,451	14,540	-28.9	7.886	8.648	+ 9.7	386	595
Louisiana								
Incentive Grants	2,964	3,550	+19.8	1.693	2.163	+27.8	571	609
THICENCTAE Granes								

	No. of Monetary Awards		Percentage	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage	-	e Award
State/Program	Monetary 1983-84	Awards 1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	unt 1984-85
Maine								
Incentive Grants	2,627	2,253	-14.2	.477	.547	+14.7	182	243
Maryland								
General State Scholarships	4,712	6,900		2.850	4.648			
Senatorial Grants - Undergraduates	6,976	6,815		2,506	2.508			
Proprietary School Grants	174	345		.100	.200			
Children of Deceased Firemen	7	6		.003	.005			
All Programs	11,869	14,066	+18.5	5.459	7.361	+34.8	460	523
Massachusetts								
General Scholarship	32,877	44,000		25.394	38.400			
Christian Herter Program	68	62		.261	.263			
All Programs	32,945	44,062	+33.7	25.655	38.663	+50.7	779	877
Michigan								
Competitive Scholarships	18,175	17,837		14.938	16.599			
Tuition Grants - Undergraduates	12,872	14,570		15.815	17.878			
All Programs	31,047	32,407	+ 4.4	30.753	34.477	+12.1	991	1,064
Minnesota					 			
Scholarship and Grant Program	52,480	62,000	+18.1	46.600	50.700	+ 8.8	888	818_
Mississippi								
Student Incentive Grants	1,189	(1,189)	N.C.	1.015	1.286	+26.7	854	(1,082)
Missouri								
Student Grants	8,560	9,000	+ 5.1	8.766	9.145	+ 4.3	1,024	1,016_
Montana								
Incentive Grants	975	1,200	+23.1	.353	.400	+13.3	362	333
Nebraska								
Incentive Grants	1,831	1,850	+ 1.0	.860	1.089	+26.6	470	589
Nevada								
Student Incentive Grants -								
Undergraduates*	(654)	(828)	(+26.6)	(.327)	.414	+ 26.6	(510)	(500)
New Hampshire								
Incentive Grants	1,322	1,300		.484	.540			
Nursing Education Grants -								
Undergraduates	(143)	143		.052	.053			
All Programs	1,465	1,443	- 1.5	.536	.593	+10.6	366	411

	No. of Monetary Awards		Percentage	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage	Average Award Amount	
State /Bussian	1983-84	<u>Awards</u> 1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	unt 1984-85
State/Program	1963-64	1904-03		1903-04	1904-03		1303-04	1704-03
New Jersey								
Tuition Aid Grants	50,980	45,120		38.489	45.577			
Educational Opportunity Fund -		-						
Undergraduates	12,583	11,692		5.997	7.575			
Garden State Scholarships	8,588_	8,668		3.494	3.600			
All Programs	72,151	65,480	- 9.2	47.980	56.752	+18.3	665	867
New Mexico								
Incentive Grants	1,741	1,700		.695	.775			
Student Choice	0	N/A		0	.250			
All Programs	1,741	1,700	- 2.4	.695	1.025	+ 47.5	399	(456)
New York								
Tuition Assistance Program -								
Undergraduates	336,000	336,000		327.320	374.360			
Aid for Part-Time Study	0	N/A		0	11.000			
All Programs	336,000	336,000	N.C.	327.320	385.360	+17.7	974	(1,114)
North Carolina								
Student Incentive Grants	4,058	5,000		2.601	3.266			
Minority Presence Scholarship								
Fund - Undergraduates	1,890	1,890		1.289	1.305			
American Indian Scholarship								
Fund - Undergraduates	220	230		.084	.097			
All Programs	6,168	7,120	+15.4	3.974	4.668	+17.5	644	656
North Dakota								
Tuition Assistance Grants	459	510		.190	.209			
Student Financial Assistance Program	1,121	1,100		.445	.504			
All Programs	1,580	1,610	+ 1.9	.635	.713	+12.3	402	443
Ohio								
Instructional Grants	69,860	71,650	+ 2.6	41.974	45.205	+ 7.7	600	630
Oklahoma								
Tuition Aid Grants -								
Undergraduates	14,143	13,500	- 4.5	6.561	6.655	+ 1.4	464	493
Oregon								
Need Grants	13,654	14,880		7.899	8.616			
Cash Awards	759	836		.492	.542			
Foreign Student Fee Remission -						-		
Undergraduates	43	34		.087	.067			
EOSC Fee Remission	66	66		.068	.068			
All Programs	14,522	15,816	+ 8.9	8.546	9.293	+ 8.7	588	588

	No. o Monetary		Percentage Change	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage Change	Average Awaro Amount	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-8
Pennsylvania								
State Grants	115,917	120,720		83.465	91.130			
POW/MIA Program	(10)	(10)		(.009)	.018			
All Programs	115,927	120,730	+ 4.1	83.474	91.148	+ 9.2	720	755
Rhode Island								
Scholarship and Grant Program	11,379	11,700	+ 2.8	6.745	7.737	+14.7	593	661
South Carolina								
Tuition Grants	6,974	7,000	+ 0.4	12.558	13.728	+ 9.3	1,800	1,961
South Dakota								
Incentive Grants	(873)	(873)		(.340)	(.431)			
Tuition Equalization Grants	(400)	(400)		(.100)	(.100)			····
All Programs	(1,273)	(1,273)	N.C.	(.440)	(.531)	(+20.7)	(346)	(417)
Tennessee								
Student Assistance Awards	15,891	17,808	+12.1	6.700	8.833	+31.8	422	496
Texas								
Tuition Equalization Grants -								
Undergraduates	15,959	16,025		18.747	21.337			
Public Educational SSIG Grants -								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Undergraduates	5,275	5,314		2.213	2.950			
State Scholarship Program for								
Ethnic Recruitment	647	650		.478	.500			
All Programs	21,881	21,989	+ 0.5	21.438	24.787	+15.6	980	1,127
Utah								
Incentive Grants-Undergraduates	699	939		.915	1.287			
Educationally Disadvantaged	1,800	800		.623	.517			
All Programs	2,499	1,739	-30.4	1.538	1.804	+17.3	615	1,037
Vermont								
Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	7,843	8,118		6.887	7.338			
Part-Time Student Grants	825	1,200		.128	.175			
Educational Grants for Unemployed								
Vermonters	157	265		.024	.045			
All Programs	8,825	9,583	+ 8.6	7.039	7.558	+ 7.4	798	789
Virginia								
College Scholarship Assistance								
Program	5,862	6,500	+10.9	4.075	4.420	+ 8.5	695	680

	No. of		Percentage	Payout Dollars		Percentage	Average Award	
	Monetary		Change		ions)	Change	Amor	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85
Washington								
State Need Grants	11,301	11,900		7.528	7.094			
Assistance to Blind Students	(4)	(4)		(.002)	.001			
All Programs	11,305	11,904	+ 5.3	7.530	7.095	- 5.8	666	596
West Virginia								
Higher Education Grant Program	6,328	6,400	+ 1.1	4.376	4.877	+11.4	692	762
Wisconsin								
Tuition Grants	8,031	8,300		10.802	11.207			
Higher Education Grants	27,888	25,000		8.894	10.376			
Indian Student Grants	883	950		.939	.976			
Handicapped Student Grants	45	57		.059	.080			
Talent Incentive Grants	2,309	2,500		2.317	2.600			
All Programs	39,156	36,807	- 6.0	23,011	25.239	+ 9.7	588	686
Wyoming								
Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	(279)	(279)	N.C.	(.204)	(.204)	N.C.	(731 <u>)</u>	(731)
Puerto Rico								
Incentive Grant - Undergraduates*	3,000	3,000		1.072	1.362			
Educational Fund - Undergraduates*	9,000	9,000		2.300	2.300			
Legislative Awards - Undergraduates	37,200	37,200		7.843	7.843			
All Programs	49,200	49,200	N.C.	11.215	11.505	+ 2.6	228	234
Grand Totals	1,285,041	1,315,483	+ 2.4%	\$1,035.391	\$1,195.354	+15.4%	\$ 806	\$ 909

^{*} Data could not be broken down into graduate versus undergraduate categories. Therefore, all data is listed under undergraduate category. Figures in () are 1983-84 data from last year's report or 1984-85 data not available.

TABLE 3

DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS FOR COMPREHENSIVE NEED-BASED AID PROGRAMS FOR GRADUATE/PROFESSIONAL SCHOOL STUDENTS, ACTUAL 1983-84 AND ESTIMATED FOR 1984-85

	No. of Monetary Awards		Percentage Change	Payout 1	Dollars lions)	Percentage Change	Average Award Amount	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	<u> </u>	1983-84	1984-85	<u></u>	1983-84	1984-85
Alabama								
Student Assistance Program - Graduates	70	72	+ 2.9	\$.054	\$.068	+25.9	\$ 771	\$ 944
Arizona		·····						
Incentive Grant Program - Graduates	13	16	+23.1	.008	.010	+25.0	615	625
California								
Bilingual Teacher Grant - Graduates	252	252		.671	.857			
Graduate Fellowships	854	850		2.300	2.590			
Law Enforcement Personnel - Graduates	1	1		.001	.001			
All Programs	1,107	1,103	- 0.4	2.972	3.448	+16.0	2,685	3,126
Colorado						•		
Graduate Grants	540	625	+15.7	.647	.754	+16.5	1,198	1,206
Delaware								
Postsecondary Scholarships -								
Graduates	148	180	+21.6	.097	.112	+15.5	655	622
District of Columbia								
Incentive Grants - Graduates	90	106	+17.8	.116	.147	+26.7	1,289	1,387
Florida								
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian								
Scholarships - Graduates	9	9	N.C.	.007	.007	N.C.	778	778
Idaho								
Student Incentive Grants - Graduates	36	39	+ 8.3	.024	.031	+29.2	667	795
Maryland								
Senatorial Grants - Graduates	367	359		.218	.218			
Professional Scholarships	250	240	~ 	.150	.150			
Graduate Nursing Grants	9	20		.013	.031			
All Programs	626	619	- 1.1	.381	.399	+ 4.7	609	645
Massachusetts								
Medical/Dental Scholarship	657	640		.716	.750			
Graduate Student Grant Program	500	1,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.750	1.500			
All Programs	1,157	1,640	+41.7	1.466	2.250	+53.5	1,267	1,372
Michigan								
Tuition Grants - Graduates	822	930	+13.1	1.190	1.346	+13.1	1,448	1,447

7

	No. of Monetary Awards		Percentage	4	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Average Award Amount	
State/Program	Monetary 1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85
Scate/Flogian	1303 01	1301 05						
Nevada								
Student Incentive Grants - Grads.*	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
New Hampshire							400	400
Nursing Education Grants - Graduates	(7)	7	N.C.	.003	.003	N.C.	429	429
New Jersey			_					
Educational Opportunity Fund - Grads.	234	222	- 5.1	.697	.650	- 6.7	2,979	2,928
New York								
Tuition Assistance Program - Grads.	14,000	14,000	N.C.	6.680	7.640	+14.4	477	546
North Carolina								
Board of Governors Medical Scholarships	68	69		.495	.562			
Board of Governors Dental Scholarships	22	20		.157	.160			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Minority Presence Scholarship - Graduates		282		.193	.195			
American Indian Scholarships - Grads.	5	5	<u></u>	.002	.002			
All Programs	377	376	- 0.3	.847	.919	+ 8.5	2,247	2,444
Oklahoma								
Tuition Aid Grants - Graduates	1,571	1,500	- 4.5	1.068	1.083	+ 1.4	680	722
Oregon								
Foreign Student Fee Remission - Grads.	47	36	-23.4	.094	.073	-22.3	2,000	2,028
Texas								
Tuition Equalization Grants - Grads.	1,369	1,375		1.737	1.977			
Public Educational SSIG Grants - Grads.	185	186		.103	.137			
All Programs	1,554	1,561	+ 0.5	1.840	2.114	+14.9	1,184	1,354
Utah								
Incentive Grants - Graduates	1	11	N.C.	.001	.002	+100.0	1,000	2,000
Vermont								
Incentive Grants - Graduates	79	82	+ 3.8	.105	.112	+ 6.7	1,329	1,366
Wyoming								
Incentive Grants - Graduates	(21)	(21)	N.C.	(.036)	(.036)	N.C.	(1,714)	(1,714)
Puerto Rico								
Incentive Grant - Graduates*	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A			-,
Educational Fund - Graduates*	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A			
Legislative Awards - Graduates	2,800	2,800		.590	•590			
All Programs	2,800	2,800	N.C.	.590	.590	N.C.	211	211
			. 0.50	410 000	601 704	11E 2a	ė 740	\$ 840
Grand Totals	25,309	25,945	+ 2.5%	\$18.923	\$21.794	+15.2%	\$ 748	\$ 840

^{*} Data could not be broken down into graduate versus undergraduate categories. Therefore, all data is listed under undergraduate category. Figures in () are 1983-84 data from last year's survey or 1984-85 data not available.

23

TABLE 4

DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS FOR NON-NEED-BASED STATE PROGRAMS FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS, ACTUAL 1983-84 AND ESTIMATED FOR 1984-85

		of y Awards	Percentage	_	Dollars	Percentage	-	e Award
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983~84	11ions) 1984-85	Change	1983-84	unt 1984-85
Alabama								
Student Grants Program	7,400	7,300		\$ 3.260	\$ 2.960			
National Guard Education Assistance		.,,,,,,		7 3.200	7 2.900			
Program	0	450		0	.186			
Emergency Secondary Education			· - ·	<u>-</u>	.100			
Scholarship Program - Undergraduate	0	175		0	.720			
All Programs	7,400	7,925	+ 7.1	3,260	3.866	+ 18.6	441	488
Arkansas					3.000	. 10.0	441	400
Governor's Scholar's Program	0	100		0	.200		0	2,000
Colorado	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					2,000
Undergraduate Merit Awards	10,800	11,000		5.933	6.455			
Veterans Tuition Assistance	600	475	·	.290	.225	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
National Guard Tuition Asst.	280	300		.120	.153			
Dependents' Tuition Assistance	5	4		.004	.002			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
All Programs	11,685	11,779	+ 0.8	6.347	6.835	+ 7.7	543	580
Delaware				0.547			243	360
Educational Benefits for								
Children of Deceased								
Veterans and Others	11	4		.020	.006			
Diamond State Scholars	0	200		0	.200			
All Programs	11	204	+1754.5	.020	.206	+930.0	1,818	1,010
Florida	·					.,,,,,,,,	1,010	1,010
Tuition Voucher Fund	(12,666)	(12,666)		(10.100)	11.900			
Academic Scholars' Fund	(2,650)	(2,650)		(1.800)	2.100			
Scholarships for Children of				(11.000)				
Deceased/Disabled Veterans	(50)	(50)		(.048)	.048			
Confederate Memorial Scholarship				(1010)				
Program	N/A	N/A		(.003)	.003			
All Programs	(15,366)	(15,366)	N.C.	(11.951)	14.051	+ 17.6	(778)	(914)
Georgia							(,,,,,	(2+4)
Tuition Equalization Grants	(16,000)	(16,000)		(11,120)	10,567			
Law Enforcement Personnel	<u></u>	·		,=======				
Dependents Grants	(16)	(16)		(.028)	.038			
		······································	· 					

North Georgia College/ ROTC Grants		No.		Percentage Change	Payout Dol (Millio		Percentage Change	Averag Amo	e Award
ROTE Crants (600) (600) (1,135) 1.19 (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128)	State/Program		1984-85	Change			<u> </u>		
ROTE Crants (600) (600) (1,135) 1.19 (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128) (1,128)									
No. Color		(600)	(600)		/ 1251	110			
State Scholarship Program 82 79				N.C			- 50	(679)	(645)
State Scholarship Program 82 79 .122 .119		(10,010)	(10,610)	N.C.	(11,203)	10.724	3.0	(0,3)	(015)
Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 5 5 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .008 .00		0.2	70		122	110			
Rail Programs									
National Guard Scholarship				2 4			_ 2 3	1 494	1 512
National Guard Scholarship (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,547) (1,54		8/	84	- 3.4	.130	.12/	- 2.3	1,404	1,312
Descendants Grants (25) (25) (.540) .045		(4 5 45)	(0.547)		/ E001	1 020			
National Guard Educational Benefits 101									
Triglams							+ 07 2	(344)	(677)
Hoosier Scholarships		(1,5/2)	(1,5/2)	N.C.	(.340)	1.065	T 31.2	(344)	(077)
National Guard Educational Benefits 101					407	427	N C	(E02)	(502)
National Guard Educational Benefits 101	Hoosier Scholarships	(871)	(871)	N.C.	.43/	.43/	N.C.	(302)	(302)
Science and Math Grant 0 5,660 0 1,500	·· - -				000	004			
All Programs 101 5,774 +5616.8 .022 1,524 +6827.3 218 264									
No.	Science and Math Grant						16007.3	210	264
T.H. Harris Scholarships	All Programs	101	5 , 774	+5616.8	.022	1.524	+6827.3	218	204
Rockefeller Scholarships	Louisiana								
Undergraduates	T.H. Harris Scholarships	7,642	8,000		1.204	1,155	 		
Chiropractic Scholarships 34 (34) .034 .040	Rockefeller Scholarships -								
Chiropraetic Scholarships 25 (25) .013 .020									
All Programs	Chiropractic Scholarships								
Maryland War Orphan Grants - Undergraduates 150 171 .069 .081 House of Delegate Grants - Undergrads. 402 221 .473 .281 Reimbursement of Firemen 185 155 .099 .081 Distinguished Scholar Program 1,002 1,114 .790 .880 Children of POW Grants 0 2 0 .002 Teacher Education - Critical Shortage 0 34 0 .080 Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar 0 25 0 .020 All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	High School Rally Scholarships							165	154
War Orphan Grants - Undergraduates 150 171 .069 .081 House of Delegate Grants - Undergrads. 402 221 .473 .281 Reimbursement of Firemen 185 155 .099 .081 Distinguished Scholar Program 1,002 1,114 .790 .880 Children of POW Grants 0 2 0 .002 Teacher Education - Critical Shortage 0 34 0 .080 Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar 0 25 0 .020 All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	All Programs	7,729	8,085	+ 4.6	1.279	1.247	- 2.5	165	154
War Orphan Grants - Undergraduates 150 171 .069 .081 House of Delegate Grants - Undergrads. 402 221 .473 .281 Reimbursement of Firemen 185 155 .099 .081 Distinguished Scholar Program 1,002 1,114 .790 .880 Children of POW Grants 0 2 0 .002 Teacher Education - Critical Shortage 0 34 0 .080 Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar 0 25 0 .020 All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	Maryland								
House of Delegate Grants - Undergrads.	War Orphan Grants - Undergraduates								
Distinguished Scholar Program 1,002 1,114 .790 .880	House of Delegate Grants - Undergrads.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	
Children of POW Grants 0 2 0 .002 Teacher Education - Critical Shortage 0 34 0 .080 Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar 0 25 0 .020 All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	Reimbursement of Firemen								
Teacher Education - Critical Shortage	Distinguished Scholar Program	1,002					······		
Teacher Education - Critical Shortage 5	Children of POW Grants								
Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar 0 25 0 .020 All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	Teacher Education - Critical Shortage	0							
All Programs 1,739 1,722 - 1.0 1.431 1.425 - 0.4 823 828 Massachusetts Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar	. 0							
Massachusetts Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080		1,739	1,722	- 1.0	1.431	1.425	- 0.4	823	828
Honor Scholarships 640 650 .650 .700 Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080					,				
Fire/Police/Corrections Program 56 76 .050 .065 War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080		640							
War Orphans Program 95 100 .075 .080	Fire/Police/Corrections Program								
		95	100						
	All Programs	791	826	+ 4.4	.775	.845	+ 9.0	980	1,023

	No. Monetary	Awards	Percentage Change	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage Ave		ge Award ount
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984~85		1983-84	1984-85
Michigan								
Differential Grants	46,000	46,000		14 500	14.00			
Indian Tuition Waiver	1,100	46,000 1,100	· ····	14.500	14.865			
All Programs	47,100	47,100	N.C.	.650	.750			
Mississippi	47,100	47,100	N.C.	15.150	15.615	+ 3.1	322	332
Student Grants - S.R.E.B.	(83)	(83)		4 5001				
Math/Science	N/A	N/A		(.533)	.417			
Nursing	(93)	(93)		(.390)	.380			•
POW/Law/Fireman - Intern	(17)			(.184)	.117			
All Programs	(193)	(17)		(.161)	.153			
New Hampshire	(193)	(193)	N.C.	(1.268)	1.067	- 15.9	(4,549)	(3,560)
War Orphans Scholarships	. 5	10						
New Jersey		10	+100.0	.001	.003	+200.0	200	300
Public Tuition Benefits	28	0.0						
MIA/POW		26 8	 -	.026	.030			
All Programs				.030	.025			
New York	36	34	- 5.6	.056	.055	- 1.8	1,556	1,618
Regents Scholarship		55.000						
Nursing Scholarships	66,000	67,000		17.800	17.800			_
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Awards	N/A	N/A		(.418)	.401			
	0	N/A		0	3.000			
Empire State Scholarship for Training	/-							
Teachers in Math & Science - Undergrads.		N/A	······································	N/A	1.000			
North Carolina	66,000	67,000	+ 1.5	18.218	22.201	+ 21.9	(270)	(266)
Legislative Tuition Grants Ohio	23,752	24,200	+ 1.9	15.959	18.122	+ 13.6	672	749
Academic Scholarship Program -								
Undergraduates	950	950	·	3.420	3,800			
War Orphan Scholarship Program	772	978		1.108	1.294			
Student Choice Grants	0	10,000		0	5.000			
All Programs	1,722	11,928	+592.7	4.528	10.094	+122.9	2,630	846
Pennsylvania								
Scholars in Education Awards	97	208		.215	.421			
Loan Forgiveness Program	32	84		.055	.145			
All Programs	129	292	+126.4	.270	.566	+109.6	2,093	1,938
Tennessee								
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program -								
Undergraduate	0	138		0	.110		0	797

	No. Of Percentage Payout Dol Monetary Awards Change (Million					ge Award ount		
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85		1983-84	1984-85
Utah								
Career Teaching Scholarship	365	365	N.C.	.310	.545	+ 75.8	849	1,493
Virginia								
Tuition Asst. Grant Program - Undergrad.	12,320	11,868		11.174	11.571			
Virginia Scholars Program	0	50		0	.150			
All Programs	12,320	11,918	- 3.3	11.174	11.721	+ 4.9	907	983
Washington								
Paul L. Fowler Academic								
Excellence Scholarships	(20)	(20)	N.C.	(.031)	.028	- 9.7	(1,550)	(1,400)
Grand Total	215,610	234,122	+ 8.6%	\$104.440	\$122.679	+ 17.5%	\$ 484	\$ 524

Figures in () are 1983-84 data from last year's survey or 1984-85 data not available.

TABLE 5

DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS FOR NON-NEED-BASED
STATE PROGRAMS FOR GRADUATE/PROFESSIONAL SCHOOL STUDENTS,
ACTUAL 1983-84 AND ESTIMATED FOR 1984-85

		No. of Monetary Awards		-	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Average Award	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	1984-85	Change	1983-84	unt 1984-85
Alabama								
Emergency Secondary Education								
Scholarship Program - Graduates	0	75		\$0	\$.240		¢ 0	62 200
Alaska	<u>~</u>	······································		Ş0	\$.240		\$ 0	\$3,200
WICHE Student Exchange	N/A	N/A		1,700	1.834	+ 7.9	N/A	N/A
Colorado	/			1.700	1.034	1 7.9	N/A	N/A
Graduate Fellowship	687	700	+ 1.9	.965	.985	+ 2,1	1,405	1,407
Connecticut				.,,,,			1,405	1,407
High Technology Graduate Scholarship					•			
Program	0	10		0	.100		0	10,000
Delaware			·····					20,000
Optometric Institutional Aid	6	4	-33.3	.024	.016 *	-33.3	4,000	4,000
Florida		· 	 	····				
Exceptional Child Scholarships	362	362		.049	.049			
Regents Scholarships	5	5		.005	.005			
All Programs	367	367	N.C.	.054	.054	N.C.	147	147
Georgia							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Regents Opportunity Assistance Grants	N/A	N/A		(.500)	.500	N.C.	N/A	N/A
Indiana								
Loan Forgiveness for Math/Science								
Teachers	N/A	N/A		.050	.050			
Teacher Retraining for Math/Science								
Teachers	N/A	N/A		.150	.150			
All Programs	N/A	N/A		.200	.200	N.C.	N/A	N/A
Louisiana								
Rockefeller Scholarship - Graduates	83	77	- 7.2	.084	.095	+13.1	1,012	1,234
Maryland								
House of Delegates Grants - Graduates	12	7		.025	.015			
War Orphan Grants - Graduates	8	9		.004	.004			
Family Practice - Medicine	5	6		.008	.020			
All Programs	25	22	-12.0	.037	.039	+ 5.4	1,480	1,773

	No. of Monetary Awards		Percentage Change	Payout Dollars (Millions)		Percentage Change	Average Award Amount	
State/Program	1983-84	1984-85	<u> </u>	1983-84	1984-85	<u> </u>	1983-84	1984-85
Mississippi								
Special Medical	N/A	N/A		.042	.042			
Graduate and Professional Scholarships	(138)	. (138)		.200	.220			
All Programs	(138)	(138)	N.C.	.242	.262	+ 8.3	(1,449)	(1,594)
New Hampshire			· · · · · ·					
Medical Capitation	N/A	N/A		.200	.200			
Veterinary Capitation	N/A	N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.211	.221			
Optometry Capitation	N/A	N/A	· 	.018	.018			
All Programs	N/A	N/A		.429	.439	+ 2.3	N/A	N/A
New Jersey								
Garden State Fellowship	(100)	(100)	N.C.	.400	.400	N.C.	(4,000)	(4,000)
New York								
Lehman Fellowships	N/A	N/A		.464	.405			
Medical/Dental	N/A	N/A		.890	.907			
Empire State Scholarship for Training								
Teachers in Math & Science - Graduates	N/A	N/A		N/A	1.000			
All Programs	N/A	N/A		1.354	2.312	+70.8	N/A	N/A
Ohio								
Academic Scholarship - Graduates	50	50	N.C.	.180	.200	+11.1	3,600	4,000
Tennessee								
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	0	112		0	.090		0	804_
Utah							-	
Western Interstate Commission for								
Higher Education (WICHE)	102	106	+ 3.9	.990	1.094	+10.5	9,706	10,320
Virginia								
Tuition Assis. Grant Program - Graduates	0	1,032	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00	1,006		0	975
Grand Totals	1,558	2,793	+79.3%	\$7.159	\$9.866	+37.8%	\$4,595	\$3,532

Figures in () are 1983-84 data from last year's survey or 1984-85 data not available.

TABLE 6
OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY THE STATE AGENCY

State/Program	1984-85 Appropriation	Eligible Students	Merit-Based <u>Yes No</u>	Need-Based Yes <u>No</u>	Approximate Value <u>of Awards</u>	Approximate Number of Awards
Alabama						
Guaranteed Student Loan Program	\$0	Und/Grd	X	x	\$60,000,000	28,000
PLUS Program	\$0	Und/Grd	<u>x</u>	х	\$1,500,000	500
Alaska					41/300/000	300
Student Loan Program	\$60,500,000	Und/Grd	х	x	\$73,800,000	16,400
Arkansas					47370007000	10,400
Math/Science Loan	\$100,000	Und/Grd	X	X	\$164,346	76
Teacher and Administrator Loan Program	\$367,000	Grd	X	X	\$41,846	120
California					1 7	
Guaranteed Loan (Old)	\$68,000	("Old" Loan	Program only for	collection of	loans made in 1966	and 1977)
California Educational Loan Programs	\$6,387,000	Und/Grd				
California Guaranteed Student Loan Program	(included in CELP)	Und/Grd	X	X	\$640,000,000	236,364
California Loans to Assist Students	(included in CELP)	Und/Grd	Х	Х	N/A	N/A
Colorado						
Work Study	\$6,669,226	Und				3,050
Loan Match	\$256,830	Und/Grd	Х	····		N/A
Connecticut						
Teacher Incentive Loan Program	\$350,000	Und	X	Х	\$400,000	100
Florida					····	
Critical Teacher Shortage Scholarship Loan	\$1,500,000		x	Х		
Critical Teacher Shortage Loan Forgiveness	\$300,000		Х	Х	····	
Critical Teacher Shortage Tuition						
Reimbursement	\$200,000		Х	X		
College Career Work Experience Program	\$2,300,000	Und	X	Х	\$2,300,000	2,300
Georgia						
Guaranteed Student Loans	\$3,113,550	Und/Grd	X	X	\$2,700,000	1,500
Osteopathic Cancellable Medical Loans	\$120,000	Grd	X	Х	\$120,000	12
N. Georgia College Cancellable Military Loans	\$118,600	Und	X	Х	\$102,000	34
Illinois						
Guaranteed Loan	\$69,000,000	Und/Grd	X	X	\$420,000,000	176,000
ALAS		Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$3,945,000	1,458
Parent Loan		Und	Х	x	\$10,008,000	3,792
Indiana						
College Work Study	\$460,000	Und		X		
Indiana Medical and Nursing Distribution						
Loan Fund	\$172,181	Grd	- 	X		

State/Program	1984-85 Appropriation	Eligible Students	Merit-Based Yes No	Need-Based Yes <u>No</u>	Approximate Value of Awards	Approximate Number of Awards
Iowa	\$0	Un A /CmA	х	х	\$100,000,000	50,000
Guaranteed Loan	\$0	Und/Grd	X	X	\$5,300,000	2,200
PLUS Loan	\$0	Und/Grd		X	\$3,300,000	35
Guaranteed Payment		Practicing science and math teachers	Х			
Science and Math Loan Program	\$40,000	Certified teachers of science and math	X	Х	\$40,000	50
Kansas						
Osteopathic Loan	\$521,691	Grd	X	X	\$510,000	51
Kentucky						
Guaranteed Student Loan Program	N/A	Und/Grd	X	X	\$65,000,000	32,500
PLUS	N/A	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$1,000,000	400
Commonwealth Work Study	\$1,000,000	Und	Х	Х	\$650,000	410
Commonwealth Notice Study	(Includes admin/ operating costs)	-				
Louisiana						
Guaranteed Student Loan	\$723,851	Und/Grd		X	\$75,000,000	40,000
Maine						
Osteopathic Loan Fund	\$125,000	Grd			\$120,000	24
Maryland Physicians Assistant and Nurse Practitioner	\$75,000	Und				
Massachusetts						
Gilbert Matching Grant Program	\$7,500,000	Und	X	X	\$7,500,000	8,000
Tuition Waiver for Public Institutions	\$6,573,123	Und	X	Х	\$6,573,123	16,000
Consortium Scholarship Program	\$400,000	Und	Х	Х	\$400,000	500
Adult Learners Program	\$500,000	Und	X	Х	\$500,000	500
Low Interest Loan Program	\$1,000,000	Und	X	Х	\$1,000,000	1,250
Educational Employment Program	\$1,500,000	Und	X	Х	\$1,500,000	1,000
Michigan						
Guaranteed Student Loan	\$2,674,000	Und/Grd	X	X	\$220,000,000	110,000
State Direct Loan	\$3,801,000	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$25,000,000	22,000
Degree Reimbursement	\$15,000	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$6,700,000	8,800
Minnesota						
Work Study	\$4,428,600	Und	x	X	\$4,426,500	6,500
Part-Time Grant	\$300,000	Und/Grd	X	X	\$300,000	1,250
Guaranteed Student Loan	N/A	Und/Grd	Х	Partially	\$53,915,000	26,300
Mississippi		<u></u>	 			
Medical Loan	\$490,000	Grđ	x	X	\$480,000	80
Guaranteed Student Loan	\$326,000	Und/Grd	Х	X (if over \$30,000)	\$650,000	325
Academic Common Market	N/A	Und/Grd	X	X	N/A	Unknown
Academic Common Market	11/11	0.14, 024		<u> </u>		,,

State/Program	1984-85 Appropriation	Eligible Students	Merit-Based Yes No	Need-Based Yes <u>No</u>	Approximate Value of Awards	Approximate Number of Awards
Missouri						
Guaranteed Student Loan and PLUS Program	\$2,313,776 Administrative costs not state general revenue funds	Und/Grd	х	х	\$153,350,000	62,375
Montana						
Work Study	\$290,790	Und/Grd	X (30%)	X (70%)	\$290,000	580
New Jersey Guaranteed Student Loan	\$0	Und/Grd	х	X (if over \$30,000)	\$267,000,000	113,000
PLUS	\$0	Und/Grd	X	122/522/	\$18,000,000	7,000
New York				·	12070007000	.,,
Guaranteed Student Loan Program	\$0	Und/Grd	Х			522,000
PLUS	\$0	Und/Grd	X			20,800
ALAS	\$0	Und/Grd	X			31,000
State Supplemental Health Loan Program and State Guaranteed Loans	\$6,269,000	Und/Grd	Х			250
North Carolina						
College Work Study Matching	\$1,436,400	Und/Grd	Х	X	\$8,439,000	9,700
Insured Student Loan Program	\$65,000,000	Und/Grd	Х	X (if AGI is	\$66,300,000	34,000
	(funded by private capital)			over \$30,00	00)	
Tuition Remission	\$5,984,632	Und/Grd	Х	X	N/A	N/A
Brooks Foundation Scholarships	\$117,600	Und	X	X	\$117,600	56
Turrentine Foundation Scholarships	\$702,000	Und	X	Х	\$702,000	400
Suther Scholarships	\$14,800	Und	Х	X	\$14,800	16
Carrow Scholarships	\$9,000	Und	X	X	\$9,000	3
Atkinson Scholarships	\$6,000	Und	X	Х	\$6,000	6
Non-Service Scholarships	\$1,247,583	Und/Grd				
State Contractual Scholarship Fund	\$4,752,000	Und/Grd				
Oklahoma						·
Guaranteed Student Loan	N/A	Und/Grd	_ X	X	\$48,000,000	24,000
Fee Waiver Scholarship	\$0	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$4,000,000	13,500
Oregon						
Guaranteed Student Loan	\$75,103,926	Und/Grd	X	X	\$75,103,926	33,694
Medical/Dental Student Loan	\$300,000		Х	Х	\$1,075,000	350
Purchase of Educational Services from Independent Colleges	\$1,420,200				\$1,420,200	2,367

		1984-85	Eligible	Merit-Based	Need-Based	Approximate Value	Approximate Number
	State/Program	Appropriation	Students	Yes No	Yes No	of Awards	of Awards
	Pennsylvania						
	Guaranteed Student Loan		Und/Grd	X	X (if over \$30,000)	\$575,000,000	250,000
	Institutional Assistance Grants	\$17,100,000	Und	X	X	\$17,100,000	\$30,227
	Matching Funds and Summer Work Study	\$2,268,000	Und	X	X	\$3,283,255	N/A
	Parent Loan Program		Und/Grd	X	Х	\$40,000,000	14,300
	Health Education Assistance Loans	Funded through revenue bonds	Grd	х	х	\$19,000,000	2,000
	Family Partnership Loans	(see above)	Und/Grd	Х	X	\$25,000,000	6,500
	Supplemental Loans	(see above)	Und/Grd	X	X	\$15,000,000	3,500
	Rhode Island						
	Work Opportunity Program	\$500,000	Und/Grd		X		
	Guaranteed Student Loan Program	No state	Und/Grd	X	X (if AGI is	\$46,000,000	21,000
		appropriation			over \$30,00	0)	
	Tennessee						
	Guaranteed Student Loan	\$0	Und/Grd	X		\$72,558,000	29,023
	PLUS	\$0	Und/Grd	X		\$3,033,000	1,011
	Texas						
L N	Hinson-Hazlewood Loan Program		Und/Grd		X	\$26,400,000	22,773
23	Future Teacher Loan Program	\$1,000,000	Und	X	X	\$1,000,000	864
	Teacher Education Loan Program	\$1,200,000	Und/Grd	Х	X	\$1,200,000	1,200
	Utah						
	National Direct Student Loan	\$1,287,460	Und	X	X	\$1,295,000	3,500
	Vermont						
	Honors Scholarship	\$9,000	Und	X			90
	Veterinary Contracts	\$169,000	Grd				16
	Math/Science Loan Cancellation	\$10,000	Teachers				24
	Student Employment Program	\$150,000	Und	X	X	\$151,000	151
	Washington						
	State Work Study	\$6,201,000	Und/Grd	X	<u> </u>	\$6,196,500	5,100
	Math/Science Education Loan Program	\$300,000	Und/Grd	X	X	\$300,050	170
	WICHE	\$148,170	Grd	<u>X</u>	Х	\$148,200	26
	West Virginia						
	Institutional Undergraduate Tuition	\$0	Und		X	\$3,150,000	2,400
	and Fee Waiver Program		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Institutional Graduate and Professional	\$0	Grd		X	\$840,000	500
	Tuition and Fee Waiver Program					·	

State/Program	1984-85 Appropriation	Eligible Students	Merit-Based <u>Yes No</u>	Need-Based Yes No	Approximate Value of Awards	Approximate Number of Awards
Wisconsin						
Guaranteed Loan	Private Lenders	Und/Grd			\$220,000,000	90,000
State Loan	State Lenders	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$8,000,000	4,000
Wisconsin-Minnesota Reciprocity		Und/Grd			Minnesota will pa Wisconsin about \$5,000,000	y 4,000
Dental Contract	\$1,754,200	Grd				Payments based on enrollment of 350 dental students
Wisconsin HEAL Program	State Lenders	Grđ			\$10,000,000	800
Puerto Rico						
PAS	\$5,073,252	Und/Grd	X	X	N/A	N/A

COMMENTS FOR TABLE 6

California	
California Educational Loan Programs	It consists of the CGSLP and the CLAS
	Program.
California Guaranteed Student Loan	If family income is over \$30,000, then
Program	students must meet needs test.
Colorado	
Work Study	30% of funds are spent for jobs given to students without regard to financial need. 70% of funds must be spent for need-based awards. Award value is determined by the awarding institution.
Loan Match	Provides required institutional match for NDSL, HPSL, NSL federal capital construction.
Illinois	
Guaranteed Loan	<pre>\$69 million is spending authority from revolving fund for defaulted loans.</pre>
Iowa	
Guaranteed Loan PLUS Loan	State appropriation not applicable since program loan fund secured directly from lenders and administrative expenses paid by student guarantee fee.
Maine	
Osteopathic Loan Fund	The Department of Education and Cultural Services distributes funds and monitors repayment of the loan. The Maine Osteopathic Association selects the student to receive the loan and the amount of each loan.
Maryland	
Physicians Assistant and Nurse Practitioner	Funds are awarded to two schools based on the number of students graduating in the two critical shortage areas. Schools then award funds as student aid but sizes and numbers are not reported to the Scholarship Board.
North Carolina	
College Work Study Matching	Recipient selection is the responsi-
Tuition Remission	bility of the participating institution.
Brooks Foundation Scholarships Turrentine Foundation Scholarships Suther Scholarships Carrow Scholarships Atkinson Scholarships	Privately funded scholarship programs administered by the agency.
Oregon	
Medical/Dental Student Loan	\$300,000 is the amount in the agency's budget to guarantee these loans, which are made through the State Common School Fund.
Texas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hinson-Hazlewood Loan Program	Includes loans guaranteed or insured through FISL, TGSL, HEALP; also includes ALAS loans.

TABLE 7

STATE FUNDED STUDENT AID PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY
STATE AGENCIES OTHER THAN THE RESPONDING AGENCY

State	Program Title	Administering Agency	1984-85 Appropriation	Eligible Students	Merit-Based Yes No	Need-Based Yes No	Approximate Value of Awards	Approximate Number of Awards
Alabama	Medical Scholarships	Medical School Board	\$692,000	Grđ	x	Х	\$672,000	224
	Dental Scholarships	Dental School Board	\$179,000	Grđ	X	x	\$159,000	53
	Alabama G.I. Dependents	Alabama Veterans Affairs	\$2,500,000	Und/Grd	X	x	\$2,500,000	1,800
	Optometry Scholarships	Board of Optometry Scholarship Awards	\$125,000	Grđ	Х	X	\$113,000	37
	Aid for Dependents of Blind Children	State Department of Education	\$20,000	Unđ	χ.	X	\$20,000	24
Arkansas	Student Loan Authority					X		
	Student Guaranty Loan Program					X		
	Arkansas Rural Endowment					X		
Connecticut	Tuition Waiver Program for Needy Students	Each Public College Unit	\$2,700,000*	Und/Grd	Х	X	\$2,700,000	10,000
Georgia	Rural Doctor Program	Medical Education Board	\$526,250	Grđ	Х	Х	\$527,000	124
Illinois	Veterans Scholarships	Department of Veterans Affairs	\$5,912,200	Und/Grd	Х	Х	\$5,912,200	26,926
	MIA/POW Scholarships	Department of Veterans Affairs	\$589,100	Und/Grd	x	x	\$589,100	1,700
Family Practice Residency		Department of Public Health	\$1,000,000	Grđ	X	X	\$1,000,000	6,280
	Special Education Tuition Waivers	Office of Education		Und/Grd	х	х		
Kentucky	Rehabilitation	Department of Education	Unknown X X 20% State		x	Unknown	Unknown	
Maryland	Other Race Grants	State Board of Higher Education and	\$1,000,000	Und/Grd	Sometimes	Sometimes	Unknown	Unknown
		State Colleges and Universities	\$3,000,000			····		
Minnesota	Indian Scholarship	State Department of Education	\$1,500,000	Und	X	X	\$1,504,000	2,350
New Mexico	Work Study	New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation	\$1,134,000	Und/Grd	х	X (1/3)	\$1,134,000	1,500
New York	College Work Study Reimbursement	State Department of Education	\$5,963,500	Und	х	X**		
North Carolina	Student Loan Program for Health Science and Math	Office of State Budget	\$1,670,665			х	N/A	N/A
	Teacher Scholarship/Loans	State Board of Education (K-12)	\$995,078			х	N/A	N/A
	Veterans Scholarships	Division of Veterans Affairs	\$2,868,271			X***	Varies with school	1,600

Ohaha	Dungung Middle		1984-85	Eligible	Merit-	Based	Need:	-Based	Approximate Value	Approximate Number
State	Program Title	Administering Agency	Appropriation	Students	Yes	No	Yes	No	of Awards	of Awards
North Dakota	Indian Scholarships	Indian Commission	\$87,500			x	х		\$87,500	120
	Nursing Scholarships		\$33,750			х	х		\$39,220	63
South Carolina	Teacher Loan Program	South Carolina Student Loan Corp.	\$1,500,000	N/A		Х		Х	\$500,000	250
	State Grants Program	Commission on Higher Education	\$0						\$0	0
	South Carolina Defense Funds	Commission on Higher Education	\$0						\$0	0
	Medical and Dental Sch∞l	South Carolina Department of Health and Environment Control	\$276,000	N/A		x		x	\$279,000	45
Texas	State Rural Medical Education Program	State Rural Medical Education Board	\$638,000	Grd			Х		\$600,000	184

^{*} No appropriation is made but colleges can use an amount not to exceed 10% of the previous year's tuition revenues from current tuition revenues

^{***} Certain need criteria are enforced. However, need is not defined in the sense of need analysis as with the Uniform Methodology.

TABLE 8

COMPETITIVE AND NEED-BASED UNDERGRADUATE COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAMS

(Some Specific Measure of Academic Potential Required As a Condition of Original Eligibility)

State/Program	Number of Awards	Dollar Amount (Millions)
State/Flogram	Awarus	(MITITIONS)
Arkansas		
State Scholarship	11,667	\$ 4.221
California	·	, -
Cal Grant A	40,567	69.341
Cal Grant B	20,990	26.159
Cal Grant C	2,290	3.191
Connecticut		
Scholastic Achievement Grants	3,400	2.989
Florida		
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarships	36	.026
Iowa		
Scholarship Program	1,272	.700
Kansas		
State Scholarships	2,000	.764
Louisiana		
Incentive Grants	3,550	2.163
Maryland		
General State Scholarships	6,900	4.648
Senatorial Grants	6,815	2.508
Michigan		
Competitive Scholarships	17,837	16.599
Minnesota		
Scholarships and Grants	62,000	50.700
New Hampshire	•	
Incentive Grants	1,300	.540
New Jersey		
Garden State Scholarships	8,668	3.600
Oregon		
Cash Awards	836	.542
Rhode Island		
Scholarships and Grants	11,700	7.737
South Carolina		
Tuition Grants	7,000	13.728
Texas		
State Scholarship Program for		
Ethnic Recruitment	650	.500
West Virginia	6 400	
Higher Education Grant Program	6,400	4.877
Total	215,878	\$215.533
	223,073	Ψ2±3•333

Percentage of All Awards 16.4% Percentage of All Award Dollars 18.0%

Mean Award \$1,000

TABLE 9

1984-85 AWARDS FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE
NEED-BASED SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS
FOR ATTENDANCE ONLY AT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

State	Program	Number of Awards	Dollar Amount (Millions)
Illinois	Student-to-Student Matching Grants	(1,350)	\$.700
Iowa	Vocational-Technical Tuition Grants	3,273	1.139
Nevada	Student Incentive Grants	(654)	.414
North Carolina	Minority Presence Scholarships	1,890	1.305
	American Indian Scholarships	230	.097
Oregon	Foreign Student Fee Remission	34	.067
	EOSC Fee Remission	66	.068
Texas	Public Educational SSIG Grants	5,314	2.950
	State Scholarship Program for		
	Ethnic Recruitment	650	.500
Utah	Educationally Disadvantaged	800	.517
Wisconsin	Higher Education Grants	25,000	10.376
Wyoming	Student Incentive Grants	(279)	(.204)
Total		39,540	\$18.337
	Percentage of All States' Awards	3.0%	
	Percentage of All States' Award Dollars	1.5%	

Mean Award S	\$464
--------------	-------

1983-84	Number of <u>Awards</u>	Dollar Amount (Millions)
Total	42,574	\$15.434
	.l States' Awards .l States' Award Dollars	3.3% 1.5%
Mean A	ward \$363	

Figures in () are 1983-84 data.

TABLE 10

1984-85 AWARDS FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE
NEED-BASED SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS
FOR ATTENDANCE ONLY AT PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS

State	Program	Number of Awards	Dollar Amount (Millions)
Connecticut	Independent College Student Grants	4,880	\$ 4.987
Indiana	Freedom of Choice Grants	6,691	7.475
Iowa	Tuition Grants	10,000	20.584
Kansas	Tuition Grants	4,050	4.077
Kentucky	Tuition Grants	5,040	4.536
Maryland	Proprietary School Grants	345	.200
Michigan	Tuition Grants	14,570	17.878
North Dakota	Tuition Assistance Grants	510	.209
South Carolina	Tuition Grants	7,000	13.728
South Dakota	Tuition Equalization Grants	(400)	(.100)
Texas	Tuition Equalization Grants	16,025	21.337
Wisconsin	Tuition Grants	8,300	11.207
Puerto Rico	Educational Funds	9,000	2.300
Total		86,811	\$108.618
]	Percentage of All States' Awards	6.6%	
1	Percentage of All States' Award Dollars	9.1%	

Mean Award \$1,251

1983-84	Number of Awards	Dollar Amount (Millions)
Total	84,895	\$97.780
•		
Percentage of All	States' Awards	6.6%
Percentage of All	States' Award Dollars	9.4%
Mean Awa	rd \$1,152	

Figures in () are 1983-84 data.

		Percentage of Awa	ards	Percentage of Award Dollars			
State/Territory	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	
Alabama							
Student Assist. Program - Undergraduates	49.0	51.0	0.0	49.0	51.0	0.0	
Alaska							
Student Incentive Grants	43,2	22.2	34.6	43.2	22.2	34.6	
Arizona							
Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	92.9	7.1	0.0	96.8	3.2	0.0	
Arkansas							
State Scholarship Program	80.4	19.6	0.0	77.5	22.5	0.0	
California							
Cal Grant A	64.0	36.0	0.0	24.3	75.7	0.0	
_ Cal Grant B	91.7	8.3	0.0	82.0	18.0	0.0	
Cal Grant C	53.4	46.6	0.0	19.5	80.5	0.0	
Bilingual Teacher Grants - Undergraduates	89.3	10.7	0.0	88.1	11.9	0.0	
Law Enforcement Personnel - Undergraduate		12.0	0.0	87.0	13.0	0.0	
Colorado							
Student Incentive Grants	93.0	7.0	0.0	93.0	7.0	0.0	
Student Grants	92.8	7.2	0.0	92.8	7.2	0.0	
Connecticut							
Scholastic Achievement Grants	26.0	34.0	40.0	24.0	41.0	35.0	
Independent College Student Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
State W/S Supplemental Grants	48.0	52.0	0.0	48.0	52.0	0.0	
Delaware							
*Postsecondary Scholarship - Undergraduate	18.4	23.9	57.7	18.4	23.9	57.7	
District of Columbia							
Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduate	16.7	50.0	33.3	16.7	50.0	33.3	
Florida							
*Student Assistance Grants	46.9	53.1	0.0	34.8	65.2	0.0	
Georgia							
Student Incentive Grants	74.0	26.0	0.0	71.0	29.0	0.0	
Hawaii							
*Student Incentive Grants	60.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	

	Percentage of Awards			Percentage of Award Dollars			
State/Territory	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	
Idaho							
*Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduate	91.2	8.8	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	
Illinois							
Monetary Award Program	67.0	33.0	0.0	43.0	57.0	0.0	
*Student-to-Student Matching Grants	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Indiana							
Higher Educational Awards	63.0	37.0	0.0	63.0	37.0	0.0	
*Freedom of Choice Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Iowa							
Scholarship Program	50.6	49.4	0.0	50.8	49.2	0.0	
Tuition Grant Program	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Voc-Technical Tuition Grants	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Kansas			· ·	-			
*State Scholarships	81.0	19.0	0.0	81.0	19.0	0.0	
*Tuition Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Kentucky							
Student Incentive Grants	75.0	25.0	0.0	74.0	26.0	0.0	
Tuition Grant Program	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Louisiana							
*Student Incentive Grants	79.7	20.3	0.0	73.4	26.6	0.0	
Maine							
Student Incentive Grants	25.0	29.0	46.0	25.0	32.0	43.0	
Maryland							
General State Scholarships	67.0	25.3	7.7	46.0	45.8	8.2	
Senatorial Grants - Undergraduates	80.0	19.0	1.0	77.0	21.0	2.0	
Proprietary School Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Children of Deceased Firemen	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	
Massachusetts							
General Scholarships	45.0	45.0	10.0	25.0	65.0	10.0	
Christian Herter Program	35.4	37.2	27.4	21.3	50.6	28.1	
Michigan			_ · • -				
Competitive Scholarships	75.0	25.0	0.0	67.0	33.0	0.0	
Tuition Grants - Undergraduates	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Minnesota	- - • -		•••	~ ~ ~	200.0	•••	
*Scholarship and Grant Program	51.0	49.0	0.0	41.0	59.0	0.0	
Donotatonity and Orano 11091am			•••			•••	

		ercentage or Awa	ilus		Centage of Award	DOLLAIS
State/Territory	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions
Mississippi						
*Student Incentive Grants	62.8	37.2	0.0	55.2	44.8	0.0
Missouri						
*Student Grants	47.0	53.0	0.0	16.0	84.0	0.0
Montana						
Student Incentive Grants	83.0	17.0	0.0	83.0	17.0	0.0
Nebraska	50.0					
Student Incentive Grants	79.0	21.0	0.0	73.0	27.0	0.0
Nevada	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
*Student Incentive Grants - Undergrad. New Hampshire	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Incentive Grants	63.5	21.5	15.0	63.5	21 5	15.0
New Jersey	63.5	21.5	15.0	63.5	21.5	15.0
Tuition Aid Grants	76.0	23.0	1.0	68.0	32.0	0.0
Garden State Scholarships	71.0	29.0	0.0	73.0	27.0	0.0
*Educational Opportunity Fund -	71.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	27.0	0.0
Undergraduates	83.0	17.0	0.0	62.0	38.0	0.0
New Mexico	00.0	27.0	3.0	32.0	30.0	0.0
. *Student Incentive Grants	66.0	34.0	0.0	66.0	34.0	0.0
New York						
Tuition Assistance Program -						
Undergraduates	57.0	43.0	0.0	44.0	56.0	0.0
North Carolina						
Student Incentive Grants	77.7	22.3	0.0	63.3	36.7	0.0
Minority Presence Scholarship - Undergrads		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian Scholarships - Undergrads.	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
North Dakota						
Tuition Assistance Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Student Financial Assistance	94.8	5.2	0.0	94.8	5.2	0.0
Ohio						
*Instructional Grants	77.0	22.3	0.7	57.0	41.9	1.1
Oklahoma						
*Tuition Aid Grants - Undergraduates	80.2	19.8	0.0	72.3	27.7	0.0
Oregon	01.0	0.0	0.0	06.0	14.0	
Need Grants	91.0 65.0	9.0 35.0	0.0 0.0	86.0 64.0	14.0 36.0	0.0
Cash Awards		0.0	0.0	100.0	36.U 0.0	0.0 0.0
Foreign Student Fee Remission - Undergrads EOSC Fee Remission	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
POSC LEG KEMISSION	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

Percentage of Awards

Percentage of Award Dollars

	P	ercentage of Awa	rds	Percentage of Award Dollars			
State/Territory	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	
Pennsylvania							
State Grants	49.2	43.2	7.6	40.2			
Rhode Island			7.0	40.2	55.1	4.7	
Scholarship and Grant Program	27.4	38.6	34.0	13.0	40.0		
South Carolina			34.0	13.0	40.0	47.0	
Tuition Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
South Dakota			0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
*Student Incentive Grants	68.0	32.0	0.0	68.0	20.0		
*Tuition Equalization Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0		32.0	0.0	
Tennessee			0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Student Assistance Awards	63.0	37.0	0.0	39.2			
Texas			0.0	39.2	60.8	0.0	
Tuition Equalization Grants -							
Undergraduates	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		
Public Educational SSIG Grants -			0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Undergraduates	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0			
State Scholarship Program for Ethnic	•	•••	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Recruitment	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0			
Utah		•••	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	97.0	3.0	0.0	97.0			
Educationally Disadvantaged	100.0	0,0	0.0		3.0	0.0	
Vermont		•••	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	41.0	25.0	34.0	22.0			
Part-Time Student Grants	89.0	7.0	4.0	33.0	39.0	28.0	
Virginia			4.0	83.0	13.0	4.0	
College Scholarship Assistance	76.7	23.3	0.0	75 7			
Washington	. • • •	23.3	0.0	75.7	24.3	0.0	
State Need Grants	82.0	17.0	1.0	22.0			
West Virginia		27.0	1.0	82.0	17.0	1.0	
Higher Education Grants	83.3	15.6	1.1	72.5			
Wisconsin	00.0	13.0	1.1	73.5	25.7	0.8	
Tuition Grants	0.0	100.0	0.0				
Higher Education Grants	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
Indian Student Grants	39.0	61.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Talent Incentive Grants	41.0	59.0	0.0	37.0	63.0	0.0	
Handicapped Student Grants	20.0	49.0	31.0	37.0	63.0	0.0	
••		₩J.U	31.0	16.0	55.0	29.0	

	P	ercentage of Awa	rds	Percentage of Award Dollars			
State/Territory	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	At In-State Public Inst.	At In-State Private Inst.	At Out-Of-State Institutions	
Wyoming							
*Student Incentive Grants -							
Undergraduates	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Puerto Rico			•••	100.0	0.0	0.0	
*Student Incentive Grants - Undergraduates	40.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	
*Legislative Awards - Undergraduates	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	
*Educational Funds - Undergraduates	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
All States	59.5	38.6	1.9	43.3	55.2	1.5	

^{*1984-85} DATA NOT AVAILABLE - USED 1983-84 DATA

TABLE 12

STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM ACTIVITIES BY STATES

State			1002.04		1984-85	SSIG As a Percent of
State		1002 04				
Alabama \$82,218 \$0 \$1,130,143 \$2,260,286 50.08 Alaska 94,500 1,001 120,651 241,302 50.0 Arlzona 1,017,173 3,645 1,293,036 2,586,100 50.0 Arlzona 1,017,173 3,645 1,293,036 2,586,100 50.0 Arlzona 9,336,959 0 11,670,000 104,466,778 11.2 California 9,336,959 0 11,670,000 104,466,778 11.2 Colorado 816,789 2,000 1,037,133 9,494,199 10.9 Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.0 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Plorida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Ceorgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Illinois 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 63,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17,4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49,7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17,9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5,9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,225,782 50.0 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,225,782 50.0 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,255,782 50.0 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,257,782 50.0 Mississipp	State					
Alaska 94,500 1,001 120,651 221,302 50.0 Arizona 1,017,173 3,645 1,293,036 2,586,100 50.0 Arkansas 379,371 0 480,536 4,221,000 11.4 California 9,336,959 0 11,670,000 104,466,778 11.2 Colorado 816,789 2,000 1,037,133 9,494,199 10.9 Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.9 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 114,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 M/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Illinois 1,199,149 0 1,518,932 32,666,180 4,6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 733,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49,7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,740,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8,8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16,4 Minsesta 1,27,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3,5 Minsesta 1,27,5777 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3,5 Minsesta 1,20,600 0 2,24,767 7,38,000 13,2 Morth Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28,5 Minsesta 1,300,677 553 1,622,949 5,587,194 29,2 Morth Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28,5 Minsesta 1,300,677 553 1,300,000 11,443,000 39,7	Scale	ssig used	to reas	SSIG Amount	Only	Award Dollars
Arizona 1,017,173 3,645 1,293,036 2,586,100 50.0 Arkansas 379,371 0 486,536 4,221,000 11.4 California 9,336,959 0 11,670,000 104,466,778 11.2 Colorado 816,789 2,000 1,037,133 9,494,199 10.9 Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.0 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Plorida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,00,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Lowa 643,656 5,00 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,488 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 20,0245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,000 1.6 New Maryland 1,635,77 0 1,911,919 57,402,66 3.5 New Mork 51,572,577 0 1,991,919 57,402,66 3.5 New Mork 62,377,279 0 336,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 New Lampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,919 57,402,266 3.5 New Mork 62,377,279 0 3,301,000 91,47,600 3.7 Nebraska 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 New Lampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,991 57,402,266 3.5 New Mork 62,377,279 0 3,301,200 91,47,600 3.7 Nebraska 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 New Mork 62,377,279 0 3,301,200 91,47,600 3.7 Nebraska 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 New Mork 62,377,279 0 3,301,200 91,47,600 3.7 Nebraska 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 New Mork 62,400,400 91,47,600 3.7 Nebraska 3,270,948 0 40,483 0 400,839 7,736,5					\$ 2,260,286	50.0%
Arkansas 379,371 0 480,536 4,221,000 11.4 California 9,336,959 0 11.670,000 104,466,778 11.2 Colorado 816,789 2,000 1,037,133 9,494,199 10.9 Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.0 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Plorida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 55.0 Maire 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Mischigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,83,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Mischigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,466,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,228 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 Northana 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 Northana 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 Northana 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 13.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6		•	•		241,302	50.0
California 9,336,959 0 11,670,000 104,466,778 11.2 Colorado 816,789 2,000 1,037,133 9,494,199 10.9 Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.0 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Tllinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4,6 Colorado 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3,6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,288 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,049 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 New Maryland 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 New Maryland 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44,4 New Maryland 160,630 0 203,467 738,000 13.2 New Maryland 2,635,216 0 3,300,000 9,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Dakota 160,630 0 10,22,767 7,738,000 13.2 New Maryland 2,635,216 0 3,300,000 9,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40,6 Fennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 (630,013) 13.9 Heaves 3,270,994 0			3,645		2,586,100	50.0
Colorado			0	-	4,221,000	11.4
Connecticut 780,900 0 989,084 9,876,199 10.0 Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 63.3* Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Lowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,12,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 566,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New Mexico 347,495 0 30,311,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 933,000,000 1.6 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 7,330,00 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 935,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 30,400,93 7,736,539 5.2 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Pennsesee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4			=		104,466,778	11.2
Delaware 159,971 0 202,600 745,000 27.2 Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 506,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,227,978		•	2,000	•		10.9
Dist. of Columbia 437,755 0 561,000 1,106,446 50.7 Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 IOWA 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,220,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 7,738,000 13.2 Nehole Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 Nehole Island 316,			0	989,084	9,876,199	10.0
Florida 1,886,665 0 2,377,108 14,032,928 16.9 Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,958 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,994 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37,6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 1648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40,6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,338 15.4			0	202,600	745,000	27.2
Georgia 1,041,224 60 1,318,558 4,215,900 31.3 Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 IOwa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,94 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 3,011,220 45,264,64 6.7 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 (833,3101 13,9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,443,082 26,901,328 15.4		•	0	561,000	1,106,446	50.7
Hawaii 246,386 N/A 312,089 (493,000) 63.3* Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Missisippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4		1,886,665	0	2,377,108	14,032,928	16.9
Idaho 200,799 0 254,345 508,690 50.0 Illinois 3,240,887 0 4,105,100 111,100,000 3.7 Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,696,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545	Georgia	1,041,224	60	1,318,958	4,215,900	31.3
Tillinois 3,240,887 0		246,386	N/A	312,089	(493,000)	63.3*
Indiana 1,199,149 0 1,518,922 32,686,180 4.6 Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississisppi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29,2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,39 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 4,129,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4		200,799	0	254,345	508,690	50.0
Iowa 643,656 5,000 816,575 22,422,547 3.6 Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nevasuri 1,501,001	Illinois	3,240,887	0	4,105,100	111,100,000	3.7
Kansas 664,438 0 841,621 4,841,621 17.4 Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Indiana	1,199,149	0	1,518,922	32,696,180	4.6
Kentucky 732,329 0 927,616 8,648,300 10.7 Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Newhada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,57	Iowa	643,656	5,000	816,575	22,422,547	3.6
Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,112 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,488 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40,6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Kansas	664,438	0	841,621	4,841,621	17.4
Louisiana 846,546 7,200 1,081,412 2,162,824 50.0 Maine 214,917 0 272,228 547,317 49.7 Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347	Kentucky	732,329	0	927,616	8,648,300	10.7
Maryland 1,093,550 92 1,385,280 7,759,402 17.9 Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100	Louisiana	846,546	7,200	1,081,412		50.0
Massachusetts 1,927,978 0 2,400,000 40,912,850 5.9 Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 New Ada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Dakota	Maine	214,917	0	272,228	547,317	49.7
Michigan 2,478,524 0 3,139,463 35,822,463 8.8 Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota <t< td=""><td>Maryland</td><td>1,093,550</td><td>92</td><td>1,385,280</td><td>7,759,402</td><td>17.9</td></t<>	Maryland	1,093,550	92	1,385,280	7,759,402	17.9
Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Newada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon	Massachusetts	1,927,978	0	2,400,000	40,912,850	5.9
Minnesota 1,100,000 0 1,468,800 50,700,000 2.9 Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Newada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma	Michigan	2,478,524	0	3,139,463	35,822,463	8.8
Mississippi 507,545 0 642,891 1,285,782 50.0 Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211	Minnesota	1,100,000	0	1,468,800		
Missouri 1,184,987 0 1,501,019 9,145,298 16.4 Montana 176,735 0 200,245 400,493 50.0 Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Mississippi	507,545	0	642,891		
Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolin	Missouri	1,184,987	0	1,501,019	9,145,298	16.4
Nebraska 429,906 0 544,547 1,089,094 50.0 Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 3	Montana	176,735	0	200,245	400,493	50.0
Nevada 163,547 N/A 207,159 414,000 50.0 New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South D	Nebraska	429,906	0	544,547	1,089,094	
New Hampshire 209,021 0 264,760 596,003 44.4 New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 <td< td=""><td>Nevada</td><td>163,547</td><td>N/A</td><td>207,159</td><td>414,000</td><td></td></td<>	Nevada	163,547	N/A	207,159	414,000	
New Jersey 1,572,577 0 1,991,931 57,402,266 3.5 New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 <th< td=""><td>New Hampshire</td><td>209,021</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	New Hampshire	209,021	0			
New Mexico 347,495 0 385,561 1,025,161 37.6 New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	New Jersey	1,572,577	0	1,991,931		
New York 5,100,000 0 6,420,011 393,000,000 1.6 North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	New Mexico	347,495	0			
North Carolina 1,300,677 563 1,632,949 5,587,194 29.2 North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	New York	5,100,000	0			
North Dakota 160,630 0 203,467 713,000 28.5 Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	North Carolina	1,300,677	563			
Ohio 2,377,279 0 3,011,220 45,204,864 6.7 Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	North Dakota	160,630	0			
Oklahoma 807,448 0 1,022,767 7,738,000 13.2 Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Ohio	2,377,279	0			
Oregon 770,211 0 975,601 9,365,551 10.4 Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Oklahoma	807,448	0			
Pennsylvania 2,635,216 0 3,340,000 91,147,600 3.7 Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Oregon	· ·				
Rhode Island 316,443 0 400,839 7,736,539 5.2 South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Pennsylvania	2,635,216	0			
South Carolina 648,675 0 821,655 13,728,298 6.0 South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	Rhode Island				• •	
South Dakota 170,211 N/A 215,601 (531,202) 40.6 Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4						
Tennessee 970,902 0 1,229,810 8,833,010 13.9 Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4		· ·				
Texas 3,270,954 0 4,143,082 26,901,328 15.4	the state of the s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•	
was a						

	•				SSIG As a
				1984-85	Percent of
		1983-84	Estimated	Need-Based	1984 - 85
	1983-84	SSIG Returned	1984-85	Award Dollars	Need-Based
<u>State</u>	SSIG Used	to Feds	SSIG Amount	Only	Award Dollars
Vermont	150,957	0	190,765	7,670,000	2.5
Virginia	1,277,070	0	1,617,622	4,419,870	36.6
Washington	1,360,549	0	1,723,362	7,095,761	24.3
West Virginia	435,490	0	551,620	4,877,120	11.3
Wisconsin	1,250,503	0	1,583,971	25,240,071	6.3
Wyoming	100,574	N/A	120,000	(240,000)	50.0
Puerto Rico	536,038	0	678,981	12,095,450	<u>5.6</u>
Grand Totals	\$60,122,323	\$19,561	\$75,890,435	\$1,217,149,686	6.2%

Figures in () are estimated from 1983-84 data.

Note: SSIG allocations received by American Samoa, Guam, Trust Territory, and Virgin Islands not reported as they did not respond to the survey.

^{*} Percentage is greater than 50.0% because last year's total aid amount was used as estimate for 1984-85.

SECTION III PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS

Applications and Awards

About 88 percent of the 126 programs for which most characteristics are available estimated the numbers of applications to be received in 1984-85. These 111 programs expect to process 2,985,000 applications and make over 1,271,000 awards (see Table 3). In the aggregate, about 43 percent of all applicants will receive awards. On the average, 55 percent of the programs' applicants will receive awards and the middle two-thirds of the programs will award aid to between 25 and 85 percent of their applicants. This means that roughly 16 percent will award aid to less than 25 percent of their applicants and another 16 percent will aid more than 85 percent. These percentages and ranges are all very similar to ones reported in the 1983-84 survey.

On the average, one-fifth of the denied or rejected applicants will fail to receive awards because they were judged to have no financial need by the programs. This proportion is much less than last year's 37 percent but equal to the 1982-83 estimate. Therefore, it is unclear whether the trend is toward more or fewer applicants failing the various aid programs' "needs tests."

On the average, slightly over one-fifth of the denied applicants will be rejected because their programs lack sufficient funds to offer them awards. These students are eligible but no funds are available to offer them awards. In terms of numbers, over 310,000 students will be denied aid for lack of program funds. Insufficient funding is a problem for 60 percent of the programs.

Table 14 displays the responses of 32 states which offered comments believed to help readers better understand their 1984-85 program circumstances.

Years of Program Initiation

Of the 148 programs that indicated when they first became operational, only 38 or 25.7 percent were implemented prior to 1970 (see Table 15). Another 20 or 13.5 percent were implemented between 1970 and 1972. The most programs, 59 or 39.9 percent, started between 1973 and 1978. Between 1979 and 1981 15 or 10.1 percent of the programs became operational. Since 1981, 16 programs were implemented, with 13 beginning in 1984. Over half the programs were implemented after 1974, so the growth in numbers of state-funded grant programs is a quite recent phenomenon.

Between 1982 and 1984, 16 new programs were implemented. All but four of these programs are non-need-based ones. Florida's Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship, Massachusett's Graduate Student Grant, New York's Aid for Part-Time Study, and Vermont's Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters programs are the only four new need-based programs. The Massachusetts program is for graduate students, the other three are for undergraduates. The estimated 1984-85 award volume for these four programs is \$12.571 million with \$11 million in the New York program and \$1.5 million in the Massachusetts program. The expected 1984-85 award volume for all 16 newer programs is \$24.167 million, so 52 percent of the funds for these new programs will be

awarded on the basis of need even though only 25 percent of the programs are need-based.

Three of the new programs are non-need-based merit scholarship programs for undergraduates in Arkansas, Delaware, and Virginia. These three programs expect to award \$550,000 in 1984-85.

Two programs are non-need-based grants for undergraduates who have served in the armed forces. They are Alabama's National Guard Emergency Assistance and New York's Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance programs. The first expects to award \$186,000 this year; the latter, \$3 million.

Five programs are designed to provide non-need-based aid to prospective school teachers. They include Alabama's Emergency Secondary Education Scholarship Program for graduate students and undergraduates (which expects to award \$960,000 this year); Iowa's Science and Math Grant program for undergraduates (\$1.5 million); Maryland's Teacher Education Critical Shortage (\$80,000) and Teacher Education Distinguished Scholar programs (\$20,000), both for undergraduates; and, Tennessee's Teacher Loan/Scholarship program which expects to award \$110,000 to undergraduates and \$90,000 to graduate students. These five programs for prospective teachers are expected to award \$2.76 million this year.

Connecticut's non-need-based scholarship program for graduate students in "high tech" programs expects to award \$100,000 in 1984-85. The remaining program, Ohio's Student Choice Grants program, is a non-need-based program for undergraduates which expects to award \$5 million this year.

Average Program Awards

Average annual program awards range from a low of \$170 for Vermont's Education Grants for Unemployed Vermonters program to \$10,000 for Connecticut's High Technology Graduate Scholarship (see Table 15). About 37.5 percent of the programs will have average awards in excess of \$1,000. Last year 30.8 percent had programs with average amounts at this level. In 1982, only 19.1 percent had these larger average awards. Of the 25 programs which expect average awards of \$1,500 or more, twelve are for undergraduates, ten are for graduate/professional school students, and three are for both.

Application Procedures

Because they are need-based programs, most programs use some system for assessing their applicants' financial needs. The most frequently used system is the one provided by the College Scholarship Service (CSS). It is used exclusively by 32 percent (31 of 97) of the programs and used in combination with other systems by another 44.3 percent (43 of 97) (see Table 16).

The American College Testing Program (ACT) system is used exclusively by 6.2 percent (6 of 97) of the programs and in combination with other systems by another 40.2 percent (39 of 97). Over 83 percent of the programs (81 of 97) use the ACT and/or CSS system at least to some extent. Seven programs use the Pell Grant system exclusively and another 14 use this system in combination with other systems, usually the ACT or CSS ones. Seven programs reported using their own agency-designed system and four reported using other systems,

such as the SAFE system, the Sigma system, and GAPSFAS. Generally most programs use systems which employ the Uniform Methodology for need analysis.

About 48 percent of the need-based programs for undergraduates (42 of 88) require applicants to file Pell Grant program applications to establish eligibility for an award (see Table 16). The programs which require a Pell Grant application use the data in a variety of ways. For example, 29 programs or 33 percent subtract Pell Grant awards from need before calculating their awards. While they do not require a Pell grant application to establish eligibility, 17 programs subtract Pell Grant awards from need before calculating their awards. About 31 percent use information from the Pell grant applications in other ways. Five programs reported requiring students to apply for Pell Grant awards but indicated no use of the data.

About 29 percent of the programs (43 of 146) use some measure of merit to establish initial student eligibility for an award (see Table 16). ACT and/or SAT test scores are used by 72 percent of the merit-based programs (31 of 43). Over 58 percent use college grades and over 65 percent use high school grades and/or class ranks to establish eligibility. Most programs require combinations of grades and test scores as measures of merit. Only six programs require test scores alone; only eight programs use high school and/or college grades without benefit of test scores. A few collect recommendations from school or college officials.

The need concept most frequently used by program respondents is "relative need", defined as that amount which varies by institutional cost as well as applicant ability to pay. Seventy-nine of the 100 programs listed in Table 16 as using some type of need concept said they use the "relative need" concept. Another six said they use the "absolute need" concept, which means that students are eligible for some fixed amount, regardless of their educational costs. The remaining 15 programs said they used concepts which were variations on the first two.

Independent Student Criteria

Of the 93 programs that said they employed "independent student" criteria in their programs, 76 percent or 71 used the definition currently employed by the federal Title IV aid programs. Most of the other states use modifications of the federal definitions which make their criteria more stringent. For example, California and Washington ask students to demonstrate independent status for three years prior to the year of the award. New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont require two prior years rather than one prior year of independence. In New York and Rhode Island, students under 22 years of age will not be considered independent in the absence of extraordinary circumstances. Ohio applies the three-part federal definition to the prior, the current or award year, and the following year.

Other definitions and criteria are employed. For independent status Pennsylvania's aid applicants must be veterans, have been out of high school for six years or longer, or demonstrate independence through non-support from parents and an "available resource" test. New Jersey's applicants must demonstrate the availability of \$1,400 of base-year resources in addition to proving they did not live with parents for more then six weeks and were not claimed as dependents by their parents.

Program Eligible Institutions

Of the 140 program respondents that identified the types of institutions at which their program awards could be used, 74 said that awards could be used at public and private, two-year and four-year colleges and at least one other type of postsecondary institution, such as public or private nursing or vocational technical schools (see Table 17). So slightly over half the programs are truly "comprehensive" in that they serve students at the majority of institutional types.

Only slightly over 16 percent (23 of 140) programs served just students at four-year colleges and virtually all these programs were only for graduate and professional school students. Eleven of the 23 served students at both public and private, ten served only students at public, and two served only students at private four-year colleges. Another 23 percent (32 of 140) served only students at four-year and two-year colleges, excluding other types of institutions. Twelve of these programs were for both public and private colleges, eleven were for only private colleges, and nine were for only public colleges. Four programs served students at all types of institutions except two-year private colleges and two served students at all but two-year public colleges, typically because there were few or no such colleges in their states.

Here is a breakdown of the numbers of programs and states with programs serving students at each institutional type: Four-year public colleges, 123 programs in 51 states; four-year private colleges, 117 programs in 51 states; two-year public colleges, 99 programs in 51 states; two-year private colleges, 97 programs in 49 states; public vocational-technical, 64 programs in 38 states; private vocational-technical, 57 programs in 36 states; public nursing schools, 64 programs in 35 states; and, private nursing schools, 60 programs in 34 states.

Table 18 shows that 25 states have 40 need-based grant programs which serve part-time undergraduate students. This represents a growth of five programs and two states serving part-time undergraduates. This is significant in view of the fact that part-time undergraduate enrollments are growing at a much faster rate than are full-time undergraduate enrollments. Most states' programs serve permanent residents and refugees as well as United States citizens. Only 14 states reported having no programs to serve refugees. Only five states reported grant programs which served non-residents of their respective states. The states were: Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Texas, and Utah. Sixteen states have programs which serve their residents who enroll in institutions in other states but two are programs which serve such students only in special circumstances.

Published Application Deadline Dates

Table 19 shows that the state-supported grant and scholarship programs have application deadline dates which range from January of the year preceding the academic year (January, 1984 for the 1984-85 academic year) to May of the academic year for which the award will be used. About 30 percent of the programs (34 of 114) have no firm application deadline dates, have variable dates for each term of the award, or rely on the applicants' institutions to specify the deadline dates. Another 30 percent (35 of 114) require applicants to file before May 1, 1984 for an award for the 1984-85 academic year.

Another 18 percent (20 of 114) have application deadline dates that fall between the first of May and the end of August, 1984, for the 1984-85 academic year. The remaining 22 percent (25 of 114) will permit students to file applications for awards during the academic year for which the aid will be used.

It should be noted that several programs have different application deadline dates for different types of students, for example, "new" and "renewal" applicants, students attending different types of institutions, or students beginning their academic programs at different times during the academic year. A review to the responses to this survey item reveals a very wide diversity of deadline dates for different programs offered by individual states. The policies for setting deadline dates appear to serve a variety of purposes and student and program needs.

TABLE 13
ESTIMATED 1984-85 PROGRAM STATISTICS

State/Program	Applicants	Recipients	Percent <u>Aided</u>	Total Dollars Awarded	No Need Reject	Percent of Rejects	Reject Due to Lack of Funds	Percent of Rejects
Alabama				•				
Student Assistance Program	2,400	2,400	100.0	\$2,260,286	0	0.0	0	0.0
Alabama Student Grant Program	7,500	7,300	97.3	\$2,960,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
National Guard Education Assistance								
Program	600	450	75.0	\$186,000	0	0.0	N/A	N/A
Emergency Secondary Education								
Scholarship Program	500	250	50.0	\$960,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Alaska						,		
Student Incentive Grant	1,850	160	8.6	\$240,500	200	11.8	1,490	88.2
Arizona								
Student Incentive Grant Program	3,750	3,600	96.0	\$2,586,100	0	0.0	N/A	N/A
Arkansas								
State Scholarship Program	16,289	11,667	71.6	\$4,645,950	2,500	54.1	489	10.6
Governor's Scholars Program	835	100	12.0	\$200,000	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
California			?					
Cal Grant A	123,373	40,567	32.9	\$69,340,601	21,574	26.1	N/A	N/A
Cal Grant B	72,717	20,990	28.9	\$26,159,000	1,829	3.5	0	0.0
Cal Grant C	22,390	2,290	10.2	\$3,190,700	1,654	8.2	0	0.0
Bilingual Teacher Grant	2,009	933	46.4	\$3,175,383	124	11.5	334	31.0
Graduate Fellowships	6,405	850	13.3	\$2,589,574	777	14.0	2,139	38.5
Law Enforcement Personnel	20	8	40.0	\$11,520	3	25.0	0	0.0
Colorado								
Student Incentive Grants	N/A	3,600	N/A	\$2,074,266	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Grants	N/A	10,862	N/A	\$6,666,185	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Graduate Grant	N/A	625	N/A	\$753,748	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Undergraduate Merit Awards	N/A	11,000	n/a	\$6,454,769	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Veterans Tuition Assistance	N/A	475	N/A	\$225,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
National Guard Tuition Assistance	N/A	300	N/A	\$153,131	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dependents Tuition Assistance	4	4	100.0	\$2,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Graduate Fellowship	N/A	700	N/A	\$985,394	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Connecticut								
Scholastic Achievement Grants	7,000	3,400	48.6	\$2,989,084	1,200	33.3	2,400	66.7
Independent College Student Grant								
Program	N/A	4,880	N/A	\$4,987,115	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
State Supplemental Grant Program	N/A	3,500	N/A	\$1,900,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
High Technology Graduate Scholarship								
Program	55	10	18.2	\$100,000	0	0.0	N/A	N/A

State/Program	Applicants	Recipients	Percent Aided	Total Dollars <u>Awarded</u>	No Need Reject	Percent of Rejects	Reject Due to Lack of Funds	Percent of Rejects
Delaware	4,200	1,200	28.6	\$745,000	1,000	33.3	2,000	66.7
Postsecondary Scholarship Fund	4,200	4	100.0	\$16,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Optometric Institutional Aid				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Educational Benefits for Children of	4	4	100.0	\$6,400	0	0.0	0	0.0
Deceased Veterans and Others	1,500	200	13.3	\$200,000	0	0.0	1,300	100.0
Diamond State Scholars	1,300			, - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
District of Columbia	1,286	800	62.2	\$1,106,446	65	13.4	400	82.3
Incentive Grants	1,260			7-7-1-7				
Florida	63,000	21,000	33.3	\$14,000,000	15,000	35.7	27,000	64.3
Student Assistance Grants	50	45	90.0	\$32,928	0	0.0	0	0.0
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship								
Georgia	31,815	14,147	44.5	\$4,215,900	3,004	17.0	14,664	83.0
Student Incentive Grants	31,613	17,17,		7 - 7 7		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
Hawaii	N/A	n/A	N/A	\$492,772	N/A	· N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Incentive Grants	N/A	11/11		7 7				
Idaho	N/A	825	N/A	\$508,690	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Incentive Grants	650	79	12.2	\$118,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Scholarship Program	5	5	100.0	\$7,500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship	 		100.0	7.7000				
Illinois	290,000	104,000	35.9	\$110,400,000	24,000	12.9 -	40,000	21.5
Monetary Award Program	290,000	104,000	33.9	V110,400,000				
Indiana	64 010	31,071	48.5	\$25,221,541	22,448	68.1	0	0.0
Higher Education Awards	64,019	6,691	63.5	\$7,474,639	2,300	59.8	0	0.0
Freedom of Choice Grants	10,540	0,091	03.3	97,474,035			···	
Iowa	2 650	1,272	48.0	\$700,000	620	45.0	100	7.3
Scholarship Program	2,650	10,000	34.6	\$20,583,500	1,641	8.7	4,294	22.7
Tuition Grant Program	28,886	3,273	28.9	\$1,139,047	669	8.3	1,318	16.3
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	11,338	114	87.7	\$24,300	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
National Guard Educational Benefits	130		81.2	\$1,500,000	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
Science and Math Grants	6,973	5,660	01.2	71,300,000	11/11			
Kansas	2 500	2 000	57.1	\$764,438	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
State Scholarships	3,500	2,000 4,050	55.9	\$4,077,183	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tuition Grants	7,250	4,050	22.3	34,077,103	H/A		,	
Kentucky	40.000	0. 500	23.8	\$4,112,000	12,000	39.3	3,500	11.5
Incentive Grant Program	40,000	9,500	12.6	\$4,536,300	1,200	3.4	400	1.1
Tuition Grant Program	40,000	5,040	14.0	34,550,500	1,200			

.

			Percent	Total Dollars	No Need	Percent	Reject Due to Lack	Percent
State/Program	Applicants	Recipients	<u>Aided</u>	Awarded	Reject	of Rejects	of Funds	of Rejects
Louisiana								
Incentive Grant Program	N/A	3,550	N/A	\$2,162,824	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rockefeller Scholarships	158	103	65.2	\$126,000	N/A	N/A	19	34.5
T. H. Harris Scholarships	12,000	8,000	66.7	\$1,154,824	N/A	N/A	2,050	51.3
Maine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
Incentive Grant Program	12,398	2.253	18.2	\$547,317	3,096	30.5	5,801	57.2
Maryland	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
General State Scholarship	25,000	6,900	27.6	\$4,647,902	3,000	16.6	10,000	55.2
Senatorial Grants	28,000	7,174	25.6	\$2,726,000	3,000	14.4	10,000	48.0
Proprietary School Grants	600	345	57.5	\$200,000	50	19.6	200	78.4
House of Delegate Grants	500	228	45.6	\$296,100	N/A	N/A	272	100.0
War Orphan Grants	180	180	100.0	\$85,000	0	0.0	Ō	0.0
Professional Scholarships	675	240	35.6	\$150,000	75	17.2	360	82.8
Family Practice - Medicine	7	6	85.7	\$19,500	0	0.0	1	100.0
Children of Deceased Firemen	8	6	75.0	\$4,900	0	0.0	0	0.0
Distinguished Scholar	1,314	1,114	84.8	\$880,000	0	0.0	200	100.0
Graduate Nursing	20	20	100.0	\$30,600	Ō	0.0	0	0.0
Children of POW Grants	2	2	100.0	\$1,500	0	0.0	0	0.0
Reimbursement of Firemen	160	155	96.9	\$81,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Teacher Education - Critical Shortage	200	34	17.0	\$80,000	0	0.0	100	60.2
Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar	36	25	69.4	\$20,000	- 0	0.0	10	90.9
Massachusetts								
General Scholarships	100,000	44,000	44.0	\$38,400,000	0	0,0	56,000	100.0
Christian Herter Program	100	62	62.0	\$262,850	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medical/Dental Scholarship	923	640	69.3	\$750,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Graduate Student Grant Program	N/A	1,000	N/A	\$1,500,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Honor Scholarships	2,500	650	26.0	\$700,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fire/Police/Corrections Program	85	76	89.4	\$65,000	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
War Orphans Program	120	100	83.3	\$80,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Michigan		•						
Differential Grants	46,000	46,000	100.0	\$14,864,600	0	0.0	0	0.0
Competitive Scholarships	36,780	17,837	48.5	\$16,599,063	7,980	42.1	5,200	27.5
Tuition Grants	28,467	15,500	54.4	\$19,223,400	3,467	26.7	2,755	21.2
Indian Tuition Waiver	1,100	1,100	100.0	\$750,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Minnesota								
Scholarship and Grant Program	105,000	62,000	59.0	\$50,700,000	30,000	69.8	0	0.0
Mississippi		-,						 _
Student Incentive Grants	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,285,782	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Name				Percent	Total Dollars	No Need	Percent	Reject Due to Lack	Percent
Student Grant Frogram 57,225 9,000 15,7 89,145,298 10,000 20,7 23,500 48,7	State/Program	Applicants	Recipients			Reject	of Rejects	of Funds	of Rejects
No.	Missouri								
Incentive Grants	Student Grant Program	57,225	9,000	15.7	\$9,145,298	10,000	20.7	23,500	48.7
Nobraska	Montana								
N/A 1,850 N/A \$1,089 0.94 N/A N/A	Incentive Grants	2,500	1,200	48.0	\$400,493	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Addition	Nebraska							_	
Student Incentive Grants	Incentive Grant Program	N/A	1,850	N/A	\$1,089,094	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hampshire 10,300	Nevada								
Incentive Grant Program 10,300 1,300 1,300 1,300 25,00 4,500 50.0 4,500 50.0	Student Incentive Grants	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$414,318	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nursing Education Grants 184 150 81.5 356,243 10 29.4 0 0.0	New Hampshire								
New Jorsey	Incentive Grant Program								
New Jersey	Nursing Education Grants								
Tuition Aid Grants	War Orphans Scholarships	10	10	100.0	\$3,330	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Scholarships	New Jersey								
## POW/MIA	Tuition Aid Grants	114,500	45,120	39.4	\$45,577,000	19,500		N/A	
Public Tuition Benefits	Garden State Scholarships	114,500	8,668	7.6	\$3,600,000	N/A			
Educational Opportunity Fund - Undergraduates	POW/MIA	8	8	100.0	\$25,000			0	
Undergraduates	Public Tuition Benefits	N/A	26	N/A	\$30,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Undergraduates	Educational Opportunity Fund -			7					
Student Incentive Grant N/A 1,700 N/A \$650,000 N/A N		114,500	11,692	10.2	\$7,575,266	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Mexico Student Incentive Grant N/A 1,700 N/A \$775,161 N/A									
New Mexico Student Incentive Grant N/A 1,700 N/A \$775,161 N/A	Graduates	N/A	222	N/A	\$650,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New York Tuition Assistance Program 500,000 350,000 70.0 \$382,000,000 N/A N/A 0 0.0									
New York Tuition Assistance Program 500,000 350,000 70.0 \$382,000,000 N/A N/A 0 0.0 Regents Scholarship Program N/A 67,000 N/A \$17,800,000 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Aid for Part-Time Study N/A N/A N/A N/A \$11,000,000 N/A N/A	Student Incentive Grant	N/A	1,700	N/A	\$775,161	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tuition Assistance Program 500,000 350,000 70.0 \$382,000,000 N/A N/A 0 0 0.0 Regents Scholarship Program N/A 67,000 N/A \$17,800,000 0 0.0 0 0.0 Aid for Part-Time Study N/A N/A N/A \$11,000,000 N/A						-			
Regents Scholarship Program N/A 67,000 N/A \$17,800,000 0 0.0 0 0.0 Aid for Part-Time Study N/A		500,000	350,000	70.0	\$382,000,000	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
Aid for Part-Time Study N/A N/A N/A \$11,000,000 N/A		N/A	67,000	N/A	\$17,800,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance N/A N/A N/A \$3,000,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A North Carolina Student Incentive Grants 12,500 5,000 40.0 \$3,265,898 3,500 46.7 3,000 40.0 Legislative Tuition Grants N/A 24,200 N/A \$18,122,000 N/A		N/A		N/A	\$11,000,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
North Carolina Student Incentive Grants 12,500 5,000 40.0 \$3,265,898 3,500 46.7 3,000 40.0			N/A	N/A	\$3,000,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Incentive Grants 12,500 5,000 40.0 \$3,265,898 3,500 46.7 3,000 40.0 Legislative Tuition Grants N/A 24,200 N/A \$18,122,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A Board of Governors Medical Scholarships N/A 69 N/A \$561,950 N/A N/A N/A N/A Board of Governors Dental Scholarships N/A 20 N/A \$160,346 N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian Scholarship Fund N/A 235 N/A \$99,000 N/A N/A N/A Minority Presence Scholarship Fund N/A 2,172 N/A \$1,500,000 N/A N/A N/A North Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5									٠.
Legislative Tuition Grants N/A 24,200 N/A \$18,122,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A Board of Governors Medical Scholarships N/A 69 N/A \$561,950 N/A N/A N/A N/A Board of Governors Dental Scholarships N/A 20 N/A \$160,346 N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian Scholarship Fund N/A 235 N/A \$99,000 N/A N/A N/A Minority Presence Scholarship Fund N/A 2,172 N/A \$1,500,000 N/A N/A N/A North Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5		12,500	5,000	40.0	\$3,265,898	3,500	46.7	3,000	40.0
Board of Governors Medical Scholarships N/A 69 N/A \$561,950 N/A N/A N/A N/A Board of Governors Dental Scholarships N/A 20 N/A \$160,346 N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian Scholarship Fund N/A 235 N/A \$99,000 N/A N/A N/A Minority Presence Scholarship Fund N/A 2,172 N/A \$1,500,000 N/A N/A N/A North Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5							N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Governors Dental Scholarships N/A 20 N/A \$160,346 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A				N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian Scholarship Fund N/A 235 N/A \$99,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Minority Presence Scholarship Fund N/A 2,172 N/A \$1,500,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5						N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Minority Presence Scholarship Fund N/A 2,172 N/A \$1,500,000 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A NORTH Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5							N/A	N/A	N/A
North Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5							N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Financial Assistance Program 3,700 1,100 29.7 \$504,000 514 19.8 1,600 61.5									
Bendent Financial Assistance Flogram		3.700	1.100	29.7	\$504,000	514	19.8	1,600	61.5
	Tuition Assistance Grant Program	925	510	55.1	\$209,000		72.3		27.7

State/Program	Applicants	Recipients	Percent Aided	Total Dollars Awarded	No Need Reject	Percent of Rejects	Reject Due to Lack of Funds	Percent of Rejects
Ohio								
Instructional Grants	118,000	71,650	60.7	\$45,204,864	16,000	34.5	0	0.0
Academic Scholarship	4,500	1,000	22.2	\$4,000,000	ρ	0.0	0	0.0
War Orphans Scholarship	978	978	100.0	\$1,293,949	0	0.0	0	0.0
Student Choice Grants	10,000	10,000	100.0	\$5,000,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oklahoma			-					
Tuition Aid Grant	40,000	15,000	37.5	\$7,738,000	3,500	14.0	17,000	68.0
Oregon	45 500							
Need Grant	45,700	14,880	32.6	\$8,616,458	22,345	72.5	4,000	13.0
Cash Award	45,700	836	1.8	\$541,575	N/A	N/A	4,000	8.9
Foreign Student Fee Remission	70	70	100.0	\$140,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
EOSC Fee Remission	66	66	100.0	\$67,518	0	0.0	0	0.0
Pennsylvania	220 700	100 700	50.2	601 130 000	46 700	20.2	12.020	
State Grant Program Rhode Island	239,790	120,720	50.3	\$91,130,000	46,780	39.3	13,230	11.1
	18,000	11 700	65.0	¢7 736 E30	2 300	26 5	1 550	24.6
Scholarship and Grant Program South Carolina	18,000	11,700	05.0	\$7,736,539	2,300	36.5	1,552	24.6
Tuition Grant Program	10,457	7,000	66.9	\$13,728,298	203	5.9	824	23.8
South Dakota	10,457	7,000		915,720,250	203			23.0
Student Incentive Grants	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$431,202	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tuition Equalization Grants	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$100,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tennessee							,	,
Student Assistance Awards	34,107	17,808	52.2	\$8,833,010	7,393	45.4	3,658	22.4
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	500	250	50.0	\$200,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Texas				,			**************************************	
Tuition Equalization Grants	N/A	17,400	N/A	\$23,313,530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public Education Grants	N/A	5,500	N/A	\$3,087,798	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
State Scholarship Program for								
Ethnic Recruitment	N/A	650	N/A	\$500,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Utah								
Incentive Grants	20,000	940	4.7	\$1,288,598	0	0.0	2,500	13.1
Educationally Disadvantaged	5,000	800	16.0	\$516,801	0	0.0	4,000	95.2
Career Teaching Scholarship	1,000	365	36.5	\$545,000	0	0.0	635	100.0
Western Interstate Commission for	· ····································							
Higher Education	162	106	65.4	\$1,093,500	0	0.0	56	100.0

 $\mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i}$

State/Program	Applicants	Recipients	Percent <u>Aided</u>	Total Dollars Awarded	No Need Reject	Percent of Rejects	Reject Due to Lack of Funds	Percent of Rejects
Vermont								
Incentive Grant	13,012	8,200	63.0	\$7,450,000	910	18.9	750	15.6
Part-Time Student Grant	1,835	1,200	65.4	\$175,000	550	86.6	50	7.9
Educational Grants for Unemployed								
Vermonters	650	265	40.8	\$45,000	25	6.5	0	0.0
Virginia								
Tuition Assistance Grant Program	17,000	12,900	75.9	\$12,577,500	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
College Scholarship Assistance Program	N/A	6,500	N/A	\$4,419,870	500	N/A	20,000	N/A
Virginia Scholars Program	500	50	10.0	\$150,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington								
Need Grant Program	16,500	11,900	72.1	\$7,094,479	N/A	N/A	600	13.0
West Virginia								
Higher Education Grant Program	16,900	6,400	37.9	\$4,877,120	1,641	15.6	3,200	30.5
Wisconsin								
Tuition Grant Program	12,000	8,300	69.2	\$11,207,300	3,700	100.0	0	0.0
Higher Education Grant Program	80,000	25,000	31.3	\$10,376,371	55,000	100.0	0	0.0
Indian Student Grant	1,100	950	86.4	\$976,400	100	66.7	50	33.3
Talent Incentive Grant Program	2,500	2,500	100.0	\$2,600,000	0	0.0	0	0.0
Handicapped Student Grants	80	57	71.3	\$80,000	23	100.0	0	0.0
Puerto Rico								
Student Incentive Grant Program	10,000	3,000	30.0	\$1,362,000	N/A	N/A	7,000	100.0
Educational Fund	N/A	9,000	N/A	\$2,300,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Legislative Awards	N/A	40,000	N/A	\$8,433,450	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TABLE 14

COMMENTS TO HELP SURVEY READERS BETTER UNDERSTAND AGENCY POSITIONS

State	Response						
Alabama	The Alabama National Guard Educational Assistance Program and the Emergency Secondary Education Scholarship Program are new programs, becoming operational with the 1984-85 academic year.						
Arizona	The only program we have in Arizona is SSIG and four people of our agency (two state funded, two non-state funded) administer it. The two non-state funded people are paid by a 4% administrative fee from participating institutions.						
California	The California legislature increased both the number of awards and award maximums in the Commission programs for the 1984-85 academic year.						
Colorado	Administration of Colorado student aid programs is decentralized. The legislature appropriates funds to the Colorado Commission on Higher Education (CCHE). CCHE allocates the funds among eligible institutions within the state. The institutions make awards to students using guidelines established by CCHE.						
Connecticut	The Student Financial Aid Division is a very small section of the Connecticut Department of Higher Education. There are other state aid programs where appropriations are made to Connecticut public colleges and over which the DHE has no jurisdiction.						
Delaware	Program manager and president of Financial Aid Officers Association conducted a successful eight-month legislative educational effort.						
Dist. of Columbia	The District of Columbia sponsors only one student assistance program, the D.C. State Student Incentive Grant.						
Florida	There have been personnel changes in management positions. A reorganization of the Office of Student Financial Assistance is underway. The new organization will be functional and programmatic. All policies are being reviewed and an area for research and evaluation is being added. Information dissemination and training will be emphasized.						
Georgia	No major policy or procedural changes during the 1984-85 academic year.						
Idaho	Idaho has a decentralized SSIG Program system. Award recommendations are made at the institution level, as well as actual disbursements to students.						
Illinois	Agency has recently undergone administrative reorganization to merge program staffs, services to clients, and improve overall agency efficiency and operation. We no longer have grants and loans separatelyrather client services.						
Indiana	Not being on-line presents a tremendous workload on staff when 65,000 applications must be handled numerous times and individual paper files maintained. Plans for future implementation of on-line system being discussed.						
Kentucky	The basic mission of this agency will remain the same for 1984-85 with efforts being made to refine programs to better meet the needs of Kentucky students.						

State	Response
Maryland	General State Program is largest and goals are to help more applicants and to meet 30% of unmet need after impact of Pell. \$1.7 million increase in fiscal year 1985 (1984-85) allowed about 2,000 more grants and some movement toward the 30% target grant level.
Massachusetts	The scholarship office received a major increase from \$34 million to \$50 million. The increase provided for the establishment of two new programs and the continuation of the pilot program initiatives developed in the 1984 fiscal year budget.
Minnesota	The state scholarship and grant program uses an award formula called "shared responsibility," which requires that students provide half of the cost of education through sources other than parental contribution, Pell grant, or the state award. To help students accomplish this, a new state loan program called the Student Educational Loan Fund will be developed.
Missouri	Level funding with 1983-84 with request for increased funding for 1985-86 and increased number of student applicants.
Montana	The Montana Student Incentive Grant Program is decentralized. Money is allocated to the eligible campuses on an enrollment based formula. They determine the recipients on the CSS Needs Analysisor other equivalentor the Uniform Methodology.
Nebraska	Ours is a small agency. Four employees: two professional, two clerical. We administer the SSIG Program. However, eligibility and distribution is handled at the campus level. The legislature has passed a State Scholarship Program. However, to date, no monies have been appropriated.
New Hampshire	This year is the first during which portability was extended to institutions in Maine. Reciprocal agreements are now in effect for all New England states.
New Jersey	New Jersey has a wide variety of student aid programs that recognize the needs of its residents. Aid for students that are very needy and require additional academic support services and graduate fellowships for high academic achievers are representative of the wide variance of program offerings. The primary program is the Tuition Aid Grant Program and other aid programs addressing other student needs can be added to this grant which meets the annual tuition charges for the neediest students. Individual program regulations or policies may appear to be very detailed or explicit which, in part, may be caused by the need of each program to define major goals and objectives. Also, some repetition may be found as individual program regulations and policies are formulated by different governing boards.
New Mexico	As of the 1984-85 fiscal year, the New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation assumed responsibility of administering the state grant and loan programs.

State	Response
New York	Significant increases in award amounts for the major state grant program (TAP) were enacted. Also, new programs enacted for 1984-85 school year included a campus-based grant program for part-time students; scholarships and fellowships to students pursuing teacher certification in math and science; and tuition awards for Vietnam veterans who served in Indo-China.
Oregon	A plan to move from the current centralized mode of operation to a decentralized one is under consideration. The adoption of such a plan would entail a move from a central review of applications to a tape only system, with the review and verification of applications at the institutional level. These changes are being considered for administrative reasons.
Pennsylvania	Within the State Grant Program, an increase of 7% in state appropriation coupled with restored SSIG funds permitted Agency to restore prior year program cuts and make needed inflationary adjustments to award calculation formula.
Rhode Island	Scholarship and grant awards presently meet 17% of a student's need. Awards range from \$250 to \$1,500. Student must evidence at least \$1,000 in financial need to be eligible for state aid.
South Carolina	South Carolina Tuition Grant maximum awards vary at the different colleges depending on the tuition and fee charges at the colleges. The maximum grant at colleges is the higher of 47% of tuition and fees or \$1,560.
Tennessee	The State Grant Program is operating at a level of funding approximately 25% higher than the 1983-84 level. The 1984-85 TSAA Program is assisting more students than it ever has. Loan Program is also expected to be at an all time high.
Virginia	The merit-based Virginia Scholars Program (VSP) was authorized and funded for 1984-85 during the last General Assembly session. Also, the non-need based Tuition Assistance Grant Program (TAGP) was extended to include graduate students at private colleges in Virginia.
Washington	Washington's student financial aid programs are administered within the state's higher education coordinating board and not as a separate student aid commission.
West Virgiņia	For the third consecutive year, funding limitations prevented all of the eligible on-time applicants from being assisted. Although the number of grants increased by virtue of increased state and federal funding allocations, the waiting list continued to exceed past years. For 1984-85 the approximate number on the waiting list totals 3,200 which is 400 more than at this time last year. The depressed economic conditions which still exist in this state coupled with the increased cost of education may be a possible reason for the expansion.
Puerto Rico	These programs are operated on a decentralized basis. The Council assigns block amounts to higher education institutions, both public and private. The institutions in turn determine student's need, award individual aid, pay the student, perform all record keeping functions, and submit performance reports to the Council.

State/Program	Year Initiated	Maximum Award 1984-85	Average Award 1984-85	Awards R <u>Low</u>	ange From: <u>High</u>
Alabama					
Student Assistance Program	1975	\$2,000	\$450	\$300	\$1,500
Alabama Student Grant Program	1978	\$600	\$400	\$125	\$500
National Guard Education Assistance					
Program	1984	\$1,000	\$800	\$25	\$1,000
Emergency Secondary Education Scholarship					
Program	1984	\$3,996	\$3,000	\$666	\$3,966
Alaska					
Student Incentive Grant	1977	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
Arizona					
Incentive Grant Program	1976	\$2,000	\$718	\$100	\$2,000
Arkansas					
State Scholarship Program	1975	\$500	\$398	\$200	\$500
Governor's Scholars Program	1984	\$2,000	\$2,000	N/A	N/A
California					
Cal Grant A	1956	\$3,740	\$1,726	\$252	\$3,740
Cal Grant B	1969	\$4,730	\$1,422	\$300	\$4 , 730
Cal Grant C	1973	\$2,650	\$1,393	\$200	\$2,650
Bilingual Teacher Grant	1981	\$3,816	\$3,403	\$300	\$3,816
State Graduate Fellowship	1966	\$5 , 830	\$3,046	\$231	\$5 , 830
Law Enforcement Personnel	1970	\$1,500	\$1,420	\$150	\$1,500
Colorado					
Student Incentive Grants	1977	\$2,000	N/A	\$0	\$2,000
Student Grants	1971	\$1 , 500	N/A	\$0	\$1,500
Graduate Grant	1971	\$3,000	N/A	\$0	\$3,000
Undergraduate Merit Awards	1971	In-state	N/A	\$0	In-state
		tuition/fees			tuition/fees
Veterans Tuition Assistance	1974	\$486	\$486	\$16	\$486
National Guard Tuition Assistance	1981	In-state	\$500	\$0	In-state
		tuition			tuition
Dependents Tuition Assistance	1970	In-state	\$1,000	\$0	In-state
		tuition			tuition
Graduate Fellowship	1971	Tuition plus	N/A	\$0	Tuition plus
		\$4,000 stipend			\$4,000 stipend

•	
₹	
•	~

State Program			Maximum	Average	_	
State Supplemental Grants 1973 \$1,500 \$450 \$100 \$1,500 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000		Year	Award	Award	Awards Ra	_
State Supplemental Grants	State/Program	Initiated	1984-85	<u>1984–85</u>	Low	<u> High</u>
Program	Connecticut					
Program	State Supplemental Grants	1973	\$1,500	\$450	\$100	\$1.500
Scholastic Achievement Grants 1981 \$1,500 \$740 \$100 \$1,500 \$1,500	Independent College Student Grant		<u> </u>		··	
Scholastic Achievement Grants 1981 \$1,500 \$740 \$100 \$1,500 \$1,500 \$1,600 \$1,500 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$1	Program	1971	\$1,870	\$1,016	\$100	\$1.870
High Technology Graduate Scholarship 1984 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000	Scholastic Achievement Grants	1981			\$100	
Diamond State Scholars 1984 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,00	High Technology Graduate Scholarship	1984				
Optometric Institutional Aid 1977 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$54,000 \$	Delaware		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
Determination	Diamond State Scholars	1984	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Postsecondary Scholarship Fund 1979 \$1,000 \$620 \$600 \$700	Optometric Institutional Aid	1977				
Educational Benefits for Children of Deceased Veterans and Others 1974 Full tuition \$1,600 \$1,710 \$2,900 District of Columbia 1975 \$1,500 \$1,000 \$400 \$1,500 Florida Student Assistance Grants 1972 \$1,200 \$900 \$200 \$1,200 Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship 1982 Recommended \$750 \$250 \$4,000 Florida 1974 \$450 \$298 \$150 \$450 Hawaii 1977 \$2,000 \$300 \$40 \$1,000 Student Incentive Grants 1977 \$2,000 \$300 \$40 \$1,000 Idaho 1978 1979 1979 1970 1970 1970 Student Incentive Grants 1975 \$2,000 \$265 \$100 \$2,000 Idaho Scholarship Program 1974 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 1979 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Ilinois Monetary Award Program 1978 \$2,400 \$1,000 \$1,000 Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Frogram 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Tuition Grant Frogram 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978	Postsecondary Scholarship Fund	1979	\$1,000			
District of Columbia 1975 \$1,500 \$1,000 \$400 \$1,500 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000	Educational Benefits for Children					
District of Columbia 1975 \$1,500 \$1,000 \$400 \$1,500 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000	of Deceased Veterans and Others	1974	Full tuition	\$1,600	\$1,710	\$2.900
Student Assistance Grants	District of Columbia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 ' - •		7-7000
Student Assistance Grants	Incentive Grants	1975	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$400	\$1.500
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship 1982 Recommended by tribe Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship 1982 Recommended by tribe Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship Student Incentive Grants 1974 \$450 \$298 \$150 \$450	Florida				7.55	72/000
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship 1982 Recommended by tribe Student Incentive Grants 1974 \$450 \$298 \$150 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450	Student Assistance Grants	1972	\$1,200	\$900	\$200	\$1.200
Student Incentive Grants 1974 \$450 \$298 \$150 \$450	Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship	1982				
Student Incentive Grants 1974 \$450 \$298 \$150 \$450 Hawaii Student Incentive Grants 1977 \$2,000 \$300 \$40 \$1,000 Idaho Student Incentive Grants 1975 \$2,000 \$265 \$100 \$2,000 Idaho Scholarship Program 1974 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 1979 \$1,500 \$1,000 N/A N/A Illinois Monetary Award Program 1958 \$2,400 \$1,062 \$240 \$2,400 Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$213 \$75 \$250			by tribe		·	, -,
Student Incentive Grants 1977 \$2,000 \$300 \$40 \$1,000	Georgia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Student Incentive Grants 1977 \$2,000 \$300 \$40 \$1,000 Idaho	Student Incentive Grants	1974	\$450	\$298	\$150	\$450
Student Incentive Grants 1975 \$2,000 \$265 \$100 \$2,000 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200	Hawaii					
Student Incentive Grants 1975 \$2,000 \$265 \$100 \$2,000 \$260 \$260 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2	Student Incentive Grants	1977	\$2,000	\$300	\$40	\$1,000
Idaho Scholarship Program 1974 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 1979 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Illinois Monetary Award Program 1958 \$2,400 \$1,062 \$240 \$2,400 Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Idaho					1 - 1 - 1
Idaho Scholarship Program 1974 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 1979 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Illinois Monetary Award Program 1958 \$2,400 \$1,062 \$240 \$2,400 Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Student Incentive Grants	1975	\$2,000	\$265	\$100	\$2,000
Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship 1979 \$1,500 \$1,500 N/A N/A Illinois Monetary Award Program 1958 \$2,400 \$1,062 \$240 \$2,400 Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$450 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250		1974		\$1,500		
Monetary Award Program 1958 \$2,400 \$1,062 \$240 \$2,400		1979	·			
Indiana Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Illinois	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Higher Education Awards 1981 \$978 \$811 \$250 \$978 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,450 \$1,	Monetary Award Program	1958	\$2,400	\$1,062	\$240	\$2,400
Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Indiana		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Freedom of Choice Grants 1973 \$1,450 \$1,117 \$250 \$1,450 Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Higher Education Awards	1981	\$978	\$811	\$250	\$978
Iowa Scholarship Program 1965 \$600 \$550 \$200 \$600 Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Freedom of Choice Grants	1973	\$1,450			
Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Iowa			······································		
Tuition Grant Program 1969 \$2,250 \$2,025 \$200 \$2,250 Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Scholarship Program	1965	\$600	\$550	\$200	\$600
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants 1973 \$450 \$428 \$200 \$450 National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250	Tuition Grant Program	1969				
National Guard Educational Benefits 1978 \$250 \$213 \$75 \$250		1973				
	National Guard Educational Benefits	1978	\$250			
	Science and Math Grants	1983		\$242	\$240	\$250

State/Program	Year Initiated	Maximum Award 1984-85	Average Award 1984-85	Awards Rang Low	e From: High
Kansas					
State Scholarships	1963	\$500	\$500	\$50	\$500
Tuition Grants	1972	\$1 , 200	\$1 , 150	\$200	\$1,150
Kentucky					
Student Incentive Grant Program	1974	\$400	\$340	\$300	\$400
Tuition Grant Program	1975	\$1 , 000	\$900	\$200	\$1,000
Louisiana					
Incentive Grants	1975	\$1,500	\$571	\$200	\$1,500
T. H. Harris Scholarships	1938	\$175	\$158	\$140	\$175
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		semester	semester	semester	semester
Rockefeller Scholarships	1981	\$1,000	\$1,000	N/A	N/A
Chiropractic Scholarships	1980	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$902	\$1,000
High School Rally Scholarships	1971	\$500	\$250	N/A	\$500
			semester		
Maine					
Incentive Grant	1978	\$1,500	\$266	\$200	\$300
Maryland					
General State Scholarships	Prior to 1970	\$2 , 000	\$674	\$200	\$2,000
Senatorial Grants	Prior to 1970	\$1,500	\$380	\$200	\$1,500
Proprietary School Grants	1979	\$1,500	\$580	\$200	\$1,500
House of Delegate Grants	Prior to 1970	\$1,500	\$1,300	\$650	\$1,500
War Orphan Grants	Prior to 1970	\$500	\$480	\$0	\$500
Professional Scholarships	Prior to 1970	\$1,000	\$625	\$200	\$1,000
Family Practice - Medicine	1977	\$7 , 500	\$3,500	\$1,500	\$7,500
Children of Deceased Firemen	1973	\$1,000	\$800	\$700	\$1,000
Distinguished Scholar	1979	\$800	\$790	\$800	\$800
Graduate Nursing	1981	\$2 , 000	\$1 , 500	\$1,500	\$1,500
Children of POW Grants	1977	\$1,500	\$700	\$0	\$1,500
Reimbursement of Firemen	Prior to 1972	\$1,500	\$525	\$0	\$1,500
Teacher Education - Critical Shortage	1984	\$4,800	\$2,353	\$1,400	\$4,800
Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar	1984	\$800	\$800	\$800	\$800

		Maximum	Average		
	Year	Award	Award		Range From:
State/Program	Initiated	<u>1984–85</u>	1984-85	Low	High
Massachusetts					
General Scholarships	1957	\$1,320	\$872	\$360	\$1,320
Christian Herter Program	1972	\$7,320	\$4,240	\$1 , 470	\$7,320
		(depending upon			
		college costs)			
Medical/Dental Scholarships	1958	\$1,320	\$1,172	\$990	\$1,320
Graduate Student Grant Program	1984	\$2,000 public	\$1,500	\$100	\$2,000 public
		\$4,000 private			\$4,000 private
Honor Scholarships	1967	\$1,209	\$950	\$888	\$1,209
Fire/Police/Corrections Program	1966	\$1,209	\$855	\$672	\$1,209
War Orphans Program	1966	\$750	\$750	\$750	\$750
Michigan					
Competitive Scholarships	1964	\$1,200	\$864	\$100	\$940
Tuition Grants	1966	\$1,300	\$1,270	\$100	\$1,300
Differential Grant	1978	\$500	\$198	\$67	\$400
Indian Tuition Waiver	1978	Tuition	\$870	N/A	N/A
Minnesota					
Scholarship and Grant Programs	1968	\$3,406	\$900	\$100	\$3,406
Mississippi					
Student Incentive Grants	1976	\$1 , 500	\$565	\$200	\$1,500
Missouri					
Student Grants	1972	\$1,500	\$990	\$70	\$1,500
Montana					
Student Incentive Grants	1976	\$600	\$400	\$100	\$600
Nebraska					
Incentive Grants	1974	\$1,800	\$235	\$50	\$800
Nevada					
Student Incentive Grants	1977	N/A	N/A	\$200	\$2,000
New Hampshire					
Incentive Program	1976	\$700	\$350	\$200	\$700
Nursing Education Grants	1959	\$1,000	N/A	\$275	\$700
War Orphans Scholarships	1943	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

$\boldsymbol{\smile}$.,
O	7
_	-

	Year	Maximum Award	Average Award	Awards Range	From:
State/Program	Initiated	1984-85	1984-85	Low	High
New Jersey					
Tuition Aid Grants	1978	\$1,900	\$1,010	\$200	\$1,900
Garden State Scholarships	1978	\$500	\$415	\$200	\$500
Educational Opportunity Fund -					
Undergraduates	1968	\$1,400	\$648	\$200	\$1,400
Educational Opportunity Fund -					
Graduates	1968	\$4,000	\$2 , 928	\$200	\$4,000
Public Tuition Benefits	1980	Maximum tuition charged each recipient	\$911	\$550	\$1,490
POW/MIA	1976	Maximum tuition charged each recipient	\$3,723	\$1,490	\$9,450
New Mexico					
Student Incentive Grant	1977	\$2,000	\$500	\$200	\$750
New York	•				
Tuition Assistance Program	1974	\$2 , 700	\$1,200	\$300	\$2,700
Regents Scholarship Program	1913	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250
Aid for Part-Time Study	1984	\$2,000	N/A	\$100	\$2,000
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance	1984	\$500	N/A	\$250	\$500
		semester			semester
North Carolina					
Student Incentive Grant	1975	\$1,500	\$641	\$200	\$1,500
American Indian Scholarship Fund	1981	\$420	\$420 Fixed stipend for full-time students Pro-rated for part-time students	N/A	N/A
Legislative Tuition Grants	1975	\$850	\$850 fixed stipend	N/A	N/A
Board of Governors Medical Scholarships	1974	Tuition and required fees plus a stipend of \$4,000	\$7,644		\$13,644
Board of Governors Dental Scholarships	1978	\$10,145	\$7, 307	\$5,611	\$10,145
Minority Presence Scholarship Fund	1977	Not to exceed			
		educational costs	s N/A	N/A	N/A

		Maximum	Average		
	Year	Award	Award	Awards Ra	nge From:
State/Program	Initiated	1984-85	<u> 1984-85</u>	Low	<u> High</u>
North Dakota					
Tuition Assistance Grant Program	1979	\$1,500	\$392	\$200	\$814
Student Financial Assistance Program	1973	\$500	\$450	\$450	\$450
Ohio	1373	\$300	\$450	3430	3450
Instructional Grants	1970	\$2 , 478	\$630	\$156	¢2 470
Academic Scholarship	1976	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$2,478
War Orphans Scholarship	1956	Public tuition			
war Orphans Scholarship	1930	and fees	\$1,489	Public tuit	ion and fees
Student Choice Grants	1984		\$500	dr.oo	4500
Oklahoma	1984	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500
Tuition Aid Grants	1974	¢1 000	¢E.63	ĆE O	41 000
	1974	\$1,000	\$563	\$50	\$1,000
Oregon	1071	¢1 244	37 /3	61.06	41 244
Need Grant	1971	\$1,344	N/A	\$186	\$1,344
Cash Award	1961	\$672	\$672	\$672	\$672
EOSC Fee Remission	1961	\$1,023	\$1,023	\$1,023	\$1,023
Foreign Student Fee Remission	1973	\$3,744	\$2,012	\$1,023	\$3,744
Pennsylvania		44 500	4-0-		
State Grant Program	1966	\$1,500	\$833	\$100	\$1,500
Rhode Island			_		
Scholarship and Grant Program	1978	\$1,500	\$703	\$250	\$1,500
South Carolina					
Tuition Grant Program	1970	\$2,520	\$1 , 943	\$0	\$2,520
South Dakota					
Student Incentive Grants	1974	\$600	\$230	\$100	\$300
Tuition Equalization Grants	1978	\$250	\$247	\$100	\$250
Tennessee					
Student Assistance Awards	1976	\$1,098	\$496	\$100	\$1,098
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	1984	\$1,500	\$800	\$113	\$1,500
Texas					
Tuition Equalization Grants	1971	\$1,630	\$1,200	\$50	\$1,630
Public Education SSIG Program	1974	\$2,000	\$476	\$50	\$2,000
State Scholarship Program for			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ethnic Recruitment	1973	\$1,000	\$738	\$250	\$1,000
Utah			 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Incentive Grants	1975	\$2,000	\$600	\$62	\$1 , 975
Educationally Disadvantaged	1970	\$2,000	\$600	\$62	\$1,975
Career Teaching Scholarship	1898	\$5,667	\$1,493	\$696	\$4,167
Western Interstate Commission for					
Higher Education	1953	\$13,100	\$9,705	\$5,600	\$13,100

i i

State/Program	Year Initiated	Maximum Award 1984-85	Average Award 1984-85	Awards Range Low	From: High
Vermont					
Incentive Grant	1965	\$2,650	\$955	\$200	\$2,650
Part-Time Student Grant	1981	\$390	\$201	\$140	\$390
Educational Grants for Unemployed					
Vermonters	1983	\$250	\$170	\$125	\$250
Virginia					
College Scholarship Assistance Program	1973	\$1,000	\$700	\$400	\$1,000
Tuition Assistance Grant Program	1973	.\$975	\$975	\$975	\$975
Virginia Scholars Program	1984	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000
Washington					
Need Grant Program	1970	\$810	\$596	\$300	\$810
West Virginia					
Higher Education Grant Program	1968	\$1,340 in-state private	e \$77 4	\$375	\$1,340
		\$870 in-state public			
		\$600 out-of-state			
Wisconsin					
Tuition Grant Program	1965	\$2,000	\$1,350	\$50	\$2,000
Higher Education Grant Program	1973	\$1,800	\$415	\$200	\$725
Indian Student Grant	1971	\$1,800	\$1,060	\$50	\$1,800
Talent Incentive Grant Program	1972	\$1,800	\$1,080	\$1	\$1,800
Handicapped Student Grants	1975	\$1,800	\$1,400	\$50	\$1,800
Puerto Rico					
Student Incentive Grant Program	1974	\$2,000	\$200	\$75	\$500
Educational Fund	1969	N/A	\$250	\$150	\$700
Legislative Awards	1955	N/A	\$200	\$50	\$1,000

TABLE 16

APPLICATION PROCÉDURES

	Need Analysis	Merit Criteria	_	Pe	ell Data Utili	zation		Need Concept	Independent Student Criteria
	A=ACT P=Pell	A=ACT Scores	Is	_		Pell	0=	Used	F=Federal
	C=CSS	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In		Other	A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
		HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation			N=	R=Relative	of Independent
State/Program	0=Other	CG=College Grades O=Other	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	Status
State/F10gram	0=Other	O=Otner	Eligibility?	Award	Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Alabama									
Student Asst. Program	A,C,P		N					5	_
National Guard Educ. Asst	t.		Ñ					R N	F
Emergency Secondary Educ.					···			N .	N
Scholarship Program		CG	N					37	
AL Student Gr. Prog.			N	···				N	N
Alaska								N N	N
Student Incent. Gr.	С		Y		х			D	_
Arizona								R	F
Incentive Grant Program	A,C,P,O		N					_	_
Arkansas								R	F
State Scholarship Prog.	A	HS	N					_	_
Governor's Scholars								R	F
Program		A,S,HS,CG,O	N						
California								N	
Cal Grant A	С	S*,HS,CG	Y*			х		_	
Cal Grant B	Ċ	HS*,CG*	Y	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>X</u>		R	0
Cal Grant C	С	HS*,CG*	Y			X		R	0
Bilingual Teacher Grant	С		Y	X	Х	<u>^</u>		R	0
Graduate Fellowship	C, (UM)	CG,O	N	:-	A	<u> </u>		R	
Law Enforcement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						R	0
Personnel	С		Y			х		-	_
Colorado				······································		^_	·	R	0
Student Incent. Grants	A,C,(UM)		Y			х		_	
Student Grants	A,C,(UM)		Y			X		R	F
Graduate Grants	A,C,(UM)		N			^		R	F
Undergrad. Merit Awards		0	N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			R	F
Veterans Tuition Assistan	ce		N					N	N
National Guard	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 							N	N
Tuition Assistance			N						
Dependent's Tuition			41					N N	N
Assistance			N						
Graduate Fellowship		Ö	N N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			N N	N
			·		·			N	N

-	
	•
_	_

				•					Student
	Need Analysis	Merit Criteria A=ACT Scores	Is	Pell Data Utilization				Need Concept	Criteria
	A=ACT			Pell			0=	Used	F=Federal
	P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In	Subtracted	Other	A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
	C=CSS	HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation	Calculation	From Need	N=	R=Relative	of Independent
		CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	Status
State/Program	0=Other	0=Other	Eligibility?		Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Connecticut									
Scholastic Achievement									•
Crants	С	S,HS	Y				N	R	F
Independent College Stude									
Grant Program								R	
State Supplemental Gr. Pr	ro.							R	F
High Tech. Grad. Scholars			N			 		N N	<u> </u>
Delaware				·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Diamond State Scholars		S, HS, CG, O	N				N	N	N
Optometric Inst. Aid			N				N N	N N	N
Postsecondary Scholar.	S		Y			Х		R	F
Ed. Benefits for					·				
Children of Deceased									
Vets and Others			N				N	N	N
District of Columbia			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Incentive Grants	λ,C,P,O		N		,	x		R	F
Florida				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································				
Student Asst. Grants	A,C		Y		х			С	F
Seminole/Miccosukee									
Indian Scholarship	A,C	A,S,HS,CG	N		¥			A,O	
Georgia									
Student Incentive Grants	C,P		Y	x		x		R	F
Hawaii						· -			
Student Incentive Grants	С		Y					R	F
Idaho									<u>-</u>
Student Incentive Grants	C,P		Y			х		R	F
Idaho Scholarship Prog.		A,HS,O	N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			N N	E .
Paul L. Fowler Memorial		37,7-2			" 				
Scholarship		A, HS, CG, O	N					N	
Illinois								- N	······
Monetary Award Program	A*,C*,P*		N*	x	x	x		R	F
Indiana	, - , -							- R	<u> </u>
Higher Ed. Awards	С		Y		x			R	F
Freedom of Choice Gr.			-		<u>A</u>			R	F
Iowa				···				Λ	
Scholarship Program	A,C	A,HS	N					R	F,0
Tuition Grant Program	A,C		N N	···				R,O	F,0
	/-							х,о	F,U

Independent

-	_
L	_
•	

									Student
	Need Analysis	Merit Criteria		Pe	ell Data Utili	ization		Need Concept	Criteria
	A=ACT	A=ACT Scores	Is			Pell	0=	Used	F=Federal
	P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In	Subtracted	Other	A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
	C=CSS	HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation	Calculation	From Need	N=	R=Relative	of Independent
	S=State System	CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	Status
State/Program	O=Other	O=Other	Eligibility?	Award	Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	A,C		N					R	F,0
National Guard		-							
Educational Benefits			. N				N	N	
Science & Math Grants		0	N				N	N	
Kansas									
State Scholarships	Α	A	N					0	F
Tuition Grants								Ö	
Kentucky									
Student Incentive									
Grant Program	С		Y				0	o ·	F
Tuition Grant Program	C, (UM)		Y	Х	Х	X	ō	R,O	F
Louisiana							-		
Incentive Grant	A,C,P,(UM)	A,HS,CG,O	Y	х		X		R	F
T.H. Harris Scholarships		A,HS,CG	N					N	
Rockefeller Scholarships		A, HS, CG	N					N	N
Maine									
Incentive Grant	С		Y	x	x	х		R	N
Maryland									
General State Scholar.	A*,C*	s*	N	х	x	х		R,O	F
Senatorial Grants	A*,C*	S*, HS*, CG*	N	Х	Х	X	,	R	F
Proprietary School Gr.	C,P		Y	X	Х	Х		R	F
House of Delegate Grants	A,C	S,HS,CG	N						F
War Orphan Grants	 		N				N	N	
Professional Scholarships	c C		N				N	Ř	F
Family Practice-Medicine	С		N				N	N	F
Children of Deceased						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Firemen	A*,C*		N	x		х		R	F
Distinguished Scholar		S,HS,CG	N					N N	
Graduate Nursing	С		N				N	R	F
Children of POW Grants			N			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N	N	
Reimbursement of Firemen			N				N	N	
Teacher Education -					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Critical Shortage		s,cg,o	N				N	N	
Teacher Education -									
Distinguished Scholar							N	N	
Massachusetts		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
General Scholarships	С		Y					A	F
			-	***********					

Independent

\sim 1	
N 3	

Part										Independent Student
Part		Need Analysis	Merit Criteria		Pe	ll Data Utili			Need Concept	Criteria
		A=ACT	A=ACT Scores	Is						
S-State System CG-College Crades For of State State		P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In					
State Program		C=CSS	HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation	Calculation	From Need	N=		-
State/Program		S=State System	CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	
N	State/Program	O=Other	0=Other	Eligibility?	Award	Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Medical/Dental Scholars. C N A F Graf. Student Grant Prog. A,C,CUM) N R O Nonor Scholarships S N N N War Orphans Program N N N N War Orphans Program N N N N Wichigan N N X R F Competitive Scholar. A,C A N X R F Differential Grant N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Christian Herter Program	ı C	•	N			Х		R	
Student Grant Prog. A,C, (UM)				N						
Indian		. A,C,(UM)		N						0
Pite/Police/Corrections Prog. N		<u> </u>	S	N						
Name		Prog.		N						
Michigan Competitive Scholar. A,C A N X				N					N	
Competitive Scholar. A,C A N X										
Tuition Grants		A.C	А	N	x				R	
Differential Grant				N	X				R	
Indian Tuition Waiver				N						
Scholarship and Grant				N				N	N	N
Scholarship and Grant										
Program										
Mississippi	-	A,O	HS	N			X		R	F
Student Incentive Grants										
Missouri Student Grants A,C Y X X R F Montana Student Incentive Grant A,C N X X X R F Nebraska Incentive Grants O Y X X X X O R F Nevada Student Incentive Grants A,C,P N X X X T F New Hampshire Incentive Grants P HS,CG Y X X R F Nursing Education Grants C N X R F N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		A,C,P		Y			X		R	
Student Grants		<u></u>								
Montana Student Incentive Grant A,C N X X X R F Nebraska Incentive Grants O Y X X X O R F New Jense Student Incentive Grants A,C,P N R F N R F New Hampshire Incentive Grants P HS,CG Y X R F N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N </td <td></td> <td>A,C</td> <td></td> <td>Y</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td>R</td> <td>F</td>		A,C		Y	X		Х		R	F
Nebraska		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Nebraska	Student Incentive Grant	A,C		N		X	x		R	F
Nevada										
Student Incentive Grants	Incentive Grants	0		Y	X	X	X	0	R	F
New Hampshire	Nevada									
New Hampshire Incentive Grants P HS,CG Y X R F Nursing Education Grants C N N R N War Orphans Scholarships N N N N New Jersey Tuition Aid Grants C* Y R F*,O Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N N	Student Incentive Grants	A,C,P		N					R	F
Incentive Grants										
Nursing Education Grants C N R N War Orphans Scholarships N N N New Jersey Tuition Aid Grants C* Y R F*,O Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N		P	HS,CG	Y		X			R	F
War Orphans Scholarships N N N New Jersey Tuition Aid Grants C* Y R F*,O Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N		C		N					R	N
New Jersey Tuition Aid Grants C* Y R F*,O Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N				N					N	N
Tuition Aid Grants C* Y R F*,O Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N										
Garden State Scholar. C* S,HS,CG N R F* Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N		C*		Y					R	F*,0
Educational Opportunity Y R O Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N		C*	S,HS,CG	N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			R	F*
Fund Program - Undergraduate C Y R O Educational Opportunity Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N										
Educational Opportunity N R F* Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N		luate C		Y					R_	0
Fund Program - Graduate C N R F* Public Tuition Benefits N N N N										
Public Tuition Benefits N N N N N		С		N					R	F*
				N				N	N	N
	POW/MIA			N	····			N	N	N

•	
1	Ŋ

	Need Analysis	Merit Criteria		Pe	ell Data Utili	zation		Need Concept	Student Criteria
	A=ACT	A=ACT Scores	Is			Pel1	0=	Used	F=Federal
	P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In	Subtracted	Other	A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
	C=CSS	HS≃HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation	Calculation	From Need	N=	R=Relative	of Independent
	S=State System	CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	Status
State/Program	O=Other	0=Other	Eligibility?		Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Deace, 110gram									
New Mexico									
Student Incentive Grant	A,C		N						F,O
New York									
Tuition Asst. Program	S		N				0	0	0
Regents Scholar. Prog.		A,S	N					A	N
Aid for Part-Time Study	0		Y					0	0
Vietnam Veterans							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Tuition Assistance			Y					0	N
North Carolina									
NC Student Incent. Gr.	A,C		Y			x		R	F
NC Legis. Tuition Gr.			N			· ····································		N	N
Bd. of Governors Medical					···			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Scholarships	C,0	CG,O	N					R	F
Bd. of Governors			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Dental Scholarships	C,0	CG,O	N					R	F
American Indian Scholar.									
Fund	A,C		N					R	F
Minority Presence Scholar	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Fund	A,C		N					R	F
North Dakota									
Tuition Asst. Gr. Prog	A		Y			x		R	F
Student Financial									···
Assistance Program	A		Y			x		A	F
Ohio									
Instructional Grants	S		N					0	0
Academic Scholarship		A, HS	N				N	N N	N
War Orphan Scholarship			N				N	N N	N
Student Choice Grants		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N				N	N N	N
Oklahoma			- -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Tuition Aid Grant	s*		N				N	R	F
Oregon							••		
Need Grant	С		Y				0	R	F
Cash Award	c c	A,S,HS	N N				`		F
EOSC Fee Remission	<u>c</u>		N					A A	
Foreign Student Fee	 · · ×							<u> </u>	
Remission	С		N					R	
Pennsylvania			14					Τ.	
State Grant Program	s		Y			x		R	0
Deate Grant Flogram					 				

Independent

_	
-	
•	

					-1				Student Criteria
<u></u>	Need Analysis	Merit Criteria		Pe	ll Data Utili			Need Concept	F=Federal
	A=ACT	A=ACT Scores	Is			Pell	0=	Used A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
	P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In		N=	R=Relative	of Independent
	C=CSS	HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required		Calculation			N=Relative O=Other	Status
		CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
State/Program	O=Other	0=Other	Eligibility?	Award	Award	Award	usea	N=Non-Need Based	0-Ocher
Rhode Island									_
Scholar. & Grant Prog.	С	<u> </u>	N*			X		R*	0
South Carolina								_	F
Tuition Grant Program	S	HS	Y*			Х		R	F
South Dakota								_	_
Student Incentive Grant	P		Y	X				R	<u>F</u>
Tuition Equalization Grant	t P		Y	X				R	F
Tennessee									_
Student Asst. Awards	P		Y		X			0	F
Teacher Loan/Scholar. Proc	g.	A,S,HS,CG	N					N	<u> </u>
Texas									
Tuition Equalization									
Grants	A,C,P		N			X		R	F
Texas Public Ed. SSIGP	A,C,P		N			Х		R	F
State Scholarship Program				7					
for Ethnic Recruitment	A,C,P	A,S,HS	N			Х		R	N
Utah									
Incentive Grants	A,C		N			X		R	F
Career Teaching Scholarsh:	ips	HS,CG	N					N	
Western Interstate Commiss	sion								
for Higher Education		CG	N					N	N
Educationally Disadvantage	ed		N			X		R	F
Vermont									
Incentive Grant	A					X		R	0
Part-Time Student Grant	A		N					R.	0
Educational Grants for									
Unemployed Vermonters	S		N					0	0
Virginia									
College Scholar. Asst.									
Program	A,C,P		N			X		0	F
Tuition Asst. Grant Prog.			N					N	
Virginia Scholars Program		A,S,HS,CG,O	N					N	
Washington									
Need Grant Program	С		Y					A*	0
West Virginia					·				
Higher Ed. Grant Prog.	С	A, HS, CG	N*			Х		R*	F
Wisconsin									
Tuition Grant Program	A,C		N					R	F

Independent

<u>N</u>	eed Analysis	Merit Criteria		Pe	ll Data Utili	zation		Need Concept	Independent Student Criteria
	A=ACT	A=ACT Scores	Is			Pell	0=	Used	F=Federal
	P=Pell	S=SAT Scores	Pell	Used In	SAI Used In	Subtracted	Other	A=Absolute	N=No Consideration
	C=CSS	HS=HS GPA/Rank	Required	Calculation	Calculation	From Need	N=	R=Relative	of Independent
S	=State System	CG=College Grades	For	of State	of State	Before	Not	O=Other	Status
State/Program	O=Other	0=Other	Eligibility?	Award	Award	Award	Used	N=Non-Need Based	0=Other
Higher Ed. Grant Program	A,C		N					R	F
Indian Student Grant	A,C		N					R	F
Talent Incentive Grant									
Program	A,C		N					R	F
Handicapped Student Grants	A,C		N					R	F
Puerto Rico									
Student Incentive Grant	P		Y	X		х		R	F
Educational Fund	P		Y		X	Х		R	F
Legislative Awards	D		V			X		R	

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLE 16 Need Analysis - Comments (*) and Other Criteria

Arizona	
Incentive Grant Program	SAFE System
District of Columbia	
Incentive Grants	Approved system used by the institu- tion the student attends.
Illinois	
Monetary Award Program	A*, C* - For dependent students. P* - For independent students.
Maryland	
General State Scholarship	A*, C* - CSS System is first choice
Senatorial Grants	and ACT System is used as substitute.
Children of Deceased Firemen	
Minnesota	
Scholarship and Grant Program	Sigma Systems.
Nebraska	
Incentive Grants	Institutional perogative.
New Jersey	
Tuition Aid Grants	C* - With NJ modifications.
Garden State Scholarships	C* - With NJ modifications.
New York	
Aid for Part-Time Study	Educational cost minus other grant
Ald for fare filme beddy	aid. Schools select recipients.
North Carolina	did. Donoolo Doloos Loughander
Board of Governor's Medical Scholarships	GAPSFAS
Board of Governor's Dental Scholarships	GAPSFAS
Oklahoma	GAFSIAS
	
Tuition Aid Grant	then tested by specific state need analysis system.
Merit Criteria - Comments	analysis system.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program	then tested by specific state need analysis system.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT,
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational)
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determine
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determine
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected. Criteria established by each
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected.
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected. Criteria established by each
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C Colorado Undergraduate Merit Awards Graduate Fellowship	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected. Criteria established by each
Merit Criteria - Comments Arkansas Governor's Scholars Program California Graduate Fellowship Cal Grant A Cal Grant B Cal Grant C Colorado Undergraduate Merit Awards Graduate Fellowship Delaware	then tested by specific state need analysis system. (*) and Other Criteria School and Community Leadership. Graduate Test Scores: GRE, LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and DAT. S* - Only where GPA unavailable or in cases of reentry students with no grades in last 12 years. HS*, CG* - Part of selection criteria after need is established. HS*, CG* - After need has been established, GPA is used along with other information (such as vocational choice, work experience, teacher recommendation, etc.), each with a range of points possible, to determin a composite score by which recipients are selected. Criteria established by each institution.

Idaho	
Scholarship Program	Two letters of recommendation.
Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholarship	For returning students - letters from
	deans and advisors.
Iowa	
Science and Math Grants	Number of Science and Math units
	taken in high school.
Louisiana	
Incentive Grant	Average GED score of 45.
Maryland	
Teacher Ed Critical Shortage	Subject area which will be taught and recommendation.
General State Scholarships	S* - for half of need-based awards.
Senatorial Grants	S*, HS*, CG* - Decision up to local
	Senator.
North Carolina	
Board of Governor's Medical Scholarships	MCAT scores, recommendations,
	estimated potential to serve the
	State of North Carolina through
•	medical practice.
Board of Governor's Dental Scholarships	DAT scores, interviews, faculty
	recommendations, potential to serve
•	the State of North Carolina through
	dental practice.
Virginia	
Virginia Scholars Programs	Strength of preparatory programs
	(high school or two-year college).
California Cal Grant A	Y* - All applicants are expected to
	<pre>apply and Pell award estimates are made in every case.</pre>
Illinois	
Monetary Award Program	N* - However, all do anyway because
	our application piggy-backs the Pell
	application.
Rhode Island	
Scholarship and Grant Program	N* - Pell grant eligibility is counted
	as a resource, however, whether or
	not student applies.
South Carolina	
Tuition Grant Program	Y* - Not mandatory, but recommended.
West Virginia	
Higher Education Grant Program	N* - Although students are not
	required to apply, we use the index
	number as reported by CSS, and
	calculate the anticipated award.
Pell Data Util	ization - Other Criteria
Kentucky	
Incentive Grant Program	If potentially eligible for a Pell
	grant, must apply before receiving
	second semester SSIG disbursement.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 	become bemedeer bord arboursement.

·	
Tuition Grant Program	If potentially eligible for a Pell grant, must apply before receiving second semester KTG disbursement.
Nebraska	
Incentive Grants	Pell activity is a major factor in determining institution's allocation of SSIG funds.
New York Tuition Assistance Program	Ruled duplicate only for incarcerated students.
Oregon Need Grant	The combination of Pell and Need Grant may not exceed 55% of need.
Need Concept Used - Commer	nts(*) and Other Criteria
Florida	
Student Assistance Grants	Priority for distribution of grant moneys is given to students with the lowest total family resources, taking into consideration receipt of Pell grants and student contributions to educational costs.
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship	Recommended by tribe based on need and academic promise.
Iowa	
Tuition Grant Program	College costs and level of need.
Kansas State Scholarships	Financial need on the basis of cost and other resources.
Tuition Grants	Demonstrated financial need determined on the basis of cost and financial resources.
Kentucky	100041000
Incentive Grant Program	Expected parental contribution as computed by uniform methodology for dependent students. For self-supporting students, student contribution which is the sum of student/spouse 9 month contribution from taxed and untaxed incomes plus asset contribution. If PC/SC is \$0-\$400, SSIG award = \$400. If PC/SC is \$401-\$800, SSIG award = \$300. Award cannot exceed costs of tuition and fees.
Tuition Grant Program	Total cost of education minus the sum of parental or student contribution, Pell grant and SSIG to a maximum of \$1,000. Parental contribution for dependent students. Student contribution for independent students.
Maryland General State Scholarships	25-30% of unmet need after Pell impact.
New York Tuition Assistance Program	Relative award amounts are based on tuition costs and income.

Aid for Part-Time Study	Campus-based program - schools take into consideration education cost and other grant aid.
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance	All eligible veterans are eligible for \$250 per semester for part-time study or \$500 per semester for full-time study. The award plus other state aid cannot exceed tuition.
Ohio Instructional Grants	Awards are based on tables of grants one public, one private. Amount of grant based on family income and number of dependent children in family.
Rhode Island Scholarship and Grant Program	R* - Relative need based on school tuition plus standard living allowance (\$3,100 for 1984-85).
Tennessee Student Assistance Award	Eligibility is determined on the basis of the Pell grant SAI, the SAI cut-off and the maximum award is established annually by the agency. The amount of each award approximate one-half, the tuition and mandatory fees at the student's institution up to the maximum award amount.
Vermont Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters	Full tuition up to a maximum of \$125 per semester.
Virginia College Scholarship Assistance Program	Financial Need = (Cost of Attendance) - (Expected Family Contribution: UM) - (all other aid received).
Washington Need Grant Program	A* - Absolute, and then relative need within that category of eligibles, i.e., the neediest are served first; when funds are reduced a number of "eligible" students are not disbursed aid.
West Virginia Higher Education Grant Program	R* - Students are ranked according to the Family Contribution in ascending order within the two major priority groups (renewals and other). Need is determined by subtracting the family contribution from the educational cost (Budget). A minimum of \$500 in need is required. Awards are restricted to the payment of tuition and fees.
Independent Student Criteria - Co	omments (*) and Other Criteria
California Cal Grant A Bilingual Teacher Grant Cal Grant B Law Enforcement Personnel Cal Grant C Graduate Fellowship	State of California criteria - prior three years plus current year independent of parents.

Iowa	
Scholarship Program	Commission requires parental dis-
Tuition Grant Program	claimer for independent filers under
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	21 years of age.
Massachusetts	
Graduate Student Grant Program	Determined by the college or university.
New Jersey	
Tuition Aid Grants Educational Opportunity Fund - Undergraduate	\$1,400 of base-year resources; not considered a tax exemption on parents' return; did not live with parents for more than six weeks.
Tuition Aid Grants Educational Opportunity Fund - Graduate	F* - With New Jersey modifications.
Garden State Scholarships New Mexico	
Student Incentive Grant	Ask for 1040 Form from parents and CSS or ACT.
New York	
Tuition Assistance Program	Like federal criteria, except tax dependency, only asked for two previous years and under 22 years of age student must prove extraordinary circumstances.
Aid for Part-Time Study	Tax dependency in the prior tax year only.
Ohio	
Instructional Grants	Have not resided with, been claimed as income tax exemption, or receive financial support from parents in all of previous year, current year, or following year.
Pennsylvania	
State Grant Program	Veteran, or out of high school six years or more, or demonstration of independence through non-support from parents and available resource test.
Rhode Island	
Scholarship and Grant Program	Current year plus two prior years rather than one; no one under age 22 considered independent unless parents deceased or declared incompetent; student is married or had dependents; student is ward of the state. Once dependent, student remains dependent until completion of program. Exceptions may be made at discretion of authority.
Vermont	
Incentive Grant Part-Time Grant Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters	Federal definition plus one additional year.
Washington	Must represend and annual student status
Need Grant Program	Must prove independent student status for three years prior to award year.

TABLE 17

ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS

I = In-State Only

O = Out-of-State Only

State/Program	4-Yr Public	4-Yr Private	2-Yr Public	2-Yr Private	Public Vo-Tech	Private Vo-Tech	Public Nursing	Private Nursing	Other (Specify)
Alabama									
Student Assistance Program	I.	I	I	J.	I	I	I	I	
Student Grant Program		I		I					
National Guard Ed. Assis. Prog.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Emergency Secondary Ed. Scholar. Prog.	I	I	· ·-·-·						
Alaska			·						
Incentive Grant Program	В	В	В	В					
Arizona									
Incentive Grant Program	I	I	I	I	I	I			
Arkansas						-			
State Scholarship Program	I	I	I	I	I	I			
Governor's Scholars Program	I	I	I	I	I	I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
California									··· - · - · - · · - · · · · · · · · · ·
Cal Grant A	I	I		I	I*	I*	I*	I*	
Cal Grant B	I	I	I*	I*	I*	I*	I*	I*	
Cal Grant C	I	I	I*	I*	1*	I*	I*	I*	
Bilingual Teacher Grant	I	I	I						
Graduate Fellowships	I	I							0*
Law Enforcement Personnel	I	I	I	I	J.	I	I	I	
Colorado									
Student Incentive Grants	I	I	I		1	I	I	I	
Student Grants	I	I	I		I	I	I		*
Graduate Grants	I	I						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Undergraduate Merit Awards	I	I	I		I	I	I		
Veterans Tuition Assistance	I	I		I	I	I	I		
National Guard Tuition Assistance	I		I						
Dependents' Tuition Assistance	I	I	I		ī	I	I		
Graduate Fellowship	I	I							
Connecticut						-	-	·	
Scholastic Achievement Grants	B*	B*	B*	B*	B*	B*	B *	B*	
Independent College Student Grant Prog.		I		I		*			
State Supplemental Grant Program	I	I.	I	I					
Delaware	·								
Postsecondary Scholarship Fund	В	В	В	В			<u></u>		0*

I = 'In-State Only
O = Out-of-State Only

	4-Yr	4-Yr	2-Yr	2-Yr	Public	Private		Private	Other
State/Program	Public	Private	Public	Private	Vo-Tech	Vo-Tech	Nursing	Nursing	(Specify)
Educational Benefits for Children	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5	
of Deceased Veterans and Others	В	В	В	B	В	В	В	В	0.4
Optometric Institutional Aid		- · <u>-</u>	<u>_</u>						<u> </u>
Diamond State Scholars	В	В	<u>B</u>	В	В	В	В	В	···-
District of Columbia		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Incentive Grants	В	В	В	В	В	B	В	В	
Florida									
Student Assistance Grants	I	I.	I	I			I	····	
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholar.	I	I	I	I			I		
Georgia									
Student Incentive Grants	I	I	I	I	I		I	I	
Hawaii									
Student Incentive Grants	I	I	I	I	I.	I	I	I	
Idaho									
Student Incentive Grants	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I			
Scholarship Program	I	I	I	I	I				
Paul L. Fowler Memorial Scholar.	В	В							
Illinois							-		
Monetary Award Program	I	I	I	I			I	I	
Indiana									
Higher Education Awards	I	I	I	I	I			I	
Iowa									
Scholarship Program	I	I	I.	I	I		I	I	0*
Tuition Grants		I		I			 	Ī	0*
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants			I	···	I				
National Guard Educational Benefits	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Science and Math Grants	I	I	I	I	I		J	I	
Kansas									
State Scholarships	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	J	
Kentucky									
Incentive Grant Program	I	I	I	I		I			
Tuition Grant Program		I		I					
Louisiana							 		
Incentive Grants	I	I	I	I.	I	I	I	I	
T. H. Harris Scholarships	Ī								
Rockefeller Scholarships	I.								
				 					

I = In-State Only

O = Out-of-State Only

	4-Yr	4-Yr	2-Yr	2-Yr	Public	Private		Private	Other
State/Program	Public	Private	Public	Private	Vo-Tech	Vo-Tech	Nursing	Nursing	(Specify)
Maine									
Incentive Grants	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	
Maryland						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
General State Scholarship	В	В	I	I			I	I	
Senatorial Grants	В	В	I	I			····I	Ī	
Proprietary School Grants	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					I			
House of Delegate Grants	Ī	I	I	I			I	I	
War Orphan Grants	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	
Professional Scholarships								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0*
Family Practice - Medicine	I					-		· ·	
Children of Deceased Firemen	I	I	I	I	ī	I	I	I	
Distinguished Scholar	I	I	I	I			I	I	
Graduate Nursing	· · · · · ·					**	I		
Children of POW Grants	I		I	-					
Reimbursement of Firemen	I	I	I	I					
Teacher Ed Critical Shortage	I	I							~
Teacher Ed Distinguished Scholar	I	I							
Massachusetts									
General Scholarships	B*	B*	B *	B*	I*	I**	B*	B *	
Christian Herter Program	В	В	В	В			В	В	
Medical/Dental Scholarship									B*
Graduate Student Grant Program	I	I	I	I			I	I	
Honor Scholarships	I								
Fire/Police/Corrections Program	I	•	I						
War Orphans Program	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	
Michigan									
Competitive Scholarships	I	I	I	I				I	
Tuition Grants		I		Ī		-			
Differential Grants		I		I					
Indian Tuition Waiver	I		I						
Minnesota					···				-
Scholarship and Grant Program	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Mississippi									
Student Incentive Grants	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I*	
Missouri									
Student Grant Program	I	I	I	I	I		I		
· · · · 									

I = In-State Only
O = Out-of-State Only
B = In-State and Out-of-State

Montana Student Incentive Grants Nebraska Incentive Grants Nevada	I I B	Private I I	I	Private I	Vo-Tech I	Vo-Tech_	Nursing	Nursing	(Specify)
Student Incentive Grants Nebraska Incentive Grants	I			I	I				
Student Incentive Grants Nebraska Incentive Grants	I			I	I				
Nebraska Incentive Grants	I			J.	<u></u>				
Incentive Grants		I	-						
		· ·		-	+	-	_	-	
	В		I	I	I	I	I	I	
Student Incentive Grants	ב	В	В	В	В	В			
New Hampshire		В	Д	ь	ь	в	 		
Incentive Program	I	I	I	I	I	T	т.	T	0*
Nursing Education Grants	B	В	<u>+</u> B	В	В	I	B B	I B	
War Orphans Scholarships	<u></u>	ь		<u>Б</u>	I			ь	
New Jersey				<u> </u>					
Tuition Aid Grants	В	В	В	В		I*			
Garden State Scholarships	I I	I	I	I					
Educational Opportunity Fund - Undergrad.	<u>_</u>	I	I I	<u>_</u>					
Educational Opportunity Fund - Grad.		<u>_</u>	 	<u>_</u>					·
MIA/POW	<u> </u>	I	I	Ī		<u>,</u>			
Public Tuition Benefits		I	I	Ī					
New Mexico					<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Student Incentive Grant	I	I	I	I	I	J	I	I	
New York				·					
Tuition Assistance Program	I	I	I	I	I	I*	I	I	0*
Regent Scholarships	I	Ī	I	Ī	T	 _		_	
Aid for Part-Time Study		I*	1*						
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance	I*		I*	I*				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
North Carolina									
Student Incentive Grants	I	I.	I	I	I	I	I*	I*	
Legislative Tuition Grants		I		I					
Bd. of Governors Medical Scholarships	I*	I*				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Bd. of Governors Dental Scholarships	I							-	
American Indian Scholarship Fund	I					·			
Minority Presence Scholarship Fund	I			·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				······································	
North Dakota					·				
Tuition Assistance Grant Program		I							
Student Financial Assistance Program	I	I	I		Ī		Ī		0*
Ohio					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Instructional Grants	B*	B*	B*	B*		в*	в*	B*	
Academic Scholarship	I	I.	I	I		I*	I	Ī	

I = In-State Only
O = Out-of-State Only

	4-Yr	4-Yr	2-Yr	2-Yr	Public	Private	Public	Private	Other
State/Program	Public	Private	Public	Private	Vo-Tech	Vo-Tech	Nursing	Nursing	(Specify)
The Color of Land	_	_	_	_			_	_	
War Orphans Scholarship	I	I	I	I			I	I	
Student Choice Grants		I		•••					
Oklahoma	_	_	_	_	_				
Tuition Aid Grants	<u>I</u>	I	I	I	I		I		
Oregon	_	_	_						
Need Grant	I	<u> </u>	I	<u>I</u>		I*	I	I	
Cash Awards	I	I	I	I			I	I	
EOSC Fee Remission	I*				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 	
Foreign Student Fee Remission	I						·		
Pennsylvania									
State Grant Program	В	В	I	В		В*	В	В	O*
Rhode Island									
Scholarship and Grant Program	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	
South Carolina	·		-				<u>-</u> .		
Tuition Grant Program		I		I					
South Dakota					· .				
Student Incentive Grants	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Tuition Equalization Grants		I		Ī		I		I	
Tennessee									
Student Assistance Awards	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	I*	I*	 				 		
Texas		····			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tuition Equalization Grants		В		В					
Public Educational SSIG Grants	В		В				· · · · · ·		
State Scholarship Program for							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ethnic Recruitment	I								
Utah					 				
Incentive Grants	I	I	I		I				
Educationally Disadvantaged	Ī		Ī				 		
Career Teaching Scholarship				 		 			
Western Interstate Comm. for Higher Ed.	-								
Vermont	<u></u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Incentive Grant	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	0*
Educational Grants for Unemp. Vermonters	В	В В	В	В	В	В	В	В	
Part-Time Student Grant	В	В	В	В	B	В В	В	В	O*
Virginia	ь		D	ъ	ь	ъ	ь	D	
College Scholarship Assistance	I	I	I	I					
©	<u>+</u>	т	<u> </u>	<u>+</u>					

I = In-State Only
O = Out-of-State Only
B = In-State and Out-of-State

4-Yr	4-Yr	2-Yr	2-Yr	Public	Private	Public	Private	Other
Public	Private	Public	Private	Vo-Tech	Vo-Tech	Nursing	Nursing	(Specify)
	I		I					
I I	I							
				_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I	I	I.	I	I*	1*			0*
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
В*	B*	B*	B*				B**	
								
	I		I				I	
I		I		I				
Ī	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
I	I	I		I		I	I	
В	В	В	В	В	В	··· ·		
							-	
I		I						
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
	I		I		I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I	I	I	I	I	I			
	Public I I I B* I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Public Private I I I I I I I I I I I I I B* B* I I I I	Public Private Public I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Public Private Public Private I I I I I I B* B* E* B* I I I I I I I B I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech I I I I I I I I I* I*	Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech Vo-Tech I I I I I I I I I* I* I* I* I* I* I* I* I* I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I <td>Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech Vo-Tech Nursing I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</td> <td>Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech Vo-Tech Nursing Nursing I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</td>	Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech Vo-Tech Nursing I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Public Private Public Private Vo-Tech Vo-Tech Nursing Nursing I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

California	
Cal Grant A	<pre>I* - Only in instances where program is at least two years in length.</pre>
Cal Grant B	I* - Recipients must be enrolled in an instructional program of at least
Cal Grant C	nine-months duration.
Cal Grant C	I* - Recipients must be enrolled in a vocational program of not less than 4 months nor longer than 2 years, except hospital-based nursing programs.
Graduate Fellowship	O* - Graduate/Professional Institutions.
Connecticut	
Scholastic Achievement Grants	B* - Only in reciprocal states.
Delaware	
Postsecondary Scholarship Fund	O* - Graduate Schools.
Optometric Institutional Aid	O* - The Pennsylvania College of Optometry.
Iowa	
Scholarship Program	O* - Business and Bible Schools.
Tuition Grants	O* - Business and Bible Schools.
Maryland	
Professional Scholarships	O* - Public or private professional schools of law, dentistry, or medicine.
Massachusetts	
General Scholarships	B* - Out-of-State - Limited to states with portability or states which have
	reciprocity with Massachusetts, regionally accredited schools.
	<pre>I* - If offering 1-year programs, must charge tuition, Pell eligible.</pre>
	<pre>1** - If offering 2-year Pell eligible program.</pre>
Medical/Dental Scholarships	B* - Graduate School of Medicine or Dentistry.
Mississippi	
Student Incentive Grants	<pre>I* - Pell eligibility of institution is required.</pre>
New Hampshire	
Incentive Grants	O* - Out-of-State awards are made to students attending institutions accredited
	by the New England Association of Schools and Colleges. The states are
	Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Vermont.
New Jersey	
Tuition Aid Grants	I* - Can be used at proprietary schools in New Jersey that have approved programs.
Educational Opportunity Fund -	I* - With graduate programs.
Graduate	jedada programo.
New York	
Tuition Assistance Program	<pre>I* - Registered business schools.</pre>
	O* - 6 out-of-state medical programs.
Aid for Part-Time Study	I* - Degree-granting institutions only.
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Asst.	I* - Degree-granting institutions only.
North Carolina	
Student Incentive Grants	<pre>I* - Degree only.</pre>
Bd. of Governors Medical Scholar.	I* - 4 Medical schools in the state.

North Dakota	
Student Financial Asst. Program	O* - Handicapped students can take a grant to a school that can meet the needs of their handicap.
Ohio	
Instructional Grants	B* - Pennsylvania institutions only.
Academic Scholarship	<pre>I* - Bachelors degree only.</pre>
Oregon	
Need Grant	<pre>I* - Non-profit private vocational technical schools.</pre>
EOSC Fee Remission	I* - Eastern Oregon state.
Pennsylvania	
State Grant Program	 B* - Culinary Institute of America and Cincinnati College of Mortuary Science are the only two approved out-of-state proprietary schools since they offer a course of study that is not provided at any Pennsylvania institution. O* - Contiguous states must have a reciprocity agreement with Pennsylvania.
Tennessee	
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	I* - Public and private institutions which have approved teacher education programs.
Vermont	
Incentive Grant	O* - Accredited foreign schools.
Part-Time Student Grants	O* - Eligible foreign schools.
Washington	
Need Grant Program	 I* - If accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by rule of the Council. O* - If encompassed by a reciprocity agreement as authorized by the Legislature.
West Virginia	
Higher Education Grant Program	B* - Out-of-State - Educational institutions located in Pennsylvania resulting from a reciprocal agreement. B** - Only non-profit diploma nursing schools.

 ∞

PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR NEED-BASED UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

Y = Eligible, N = Not Eligible, O = None in State, Blank = No Response to Item P = Pell Eligibility of Institution Required, R = Reserve if Funds Available

3	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Alabama									_						_										
Student Assistance Program Alaska	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			-	- 1	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Arizona Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		0	N	,,	77	,			.,	,,		.,
Arkansas	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		0	٦	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
State Scholarship Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
California																									
Cal Grant A Cal Grant B	Y Y	Y	N	N N	Y	Y Y	Y	Y Y	Y	R Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y	Y Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N N	N N	Y Y	N N	Y	Y
Cal Grant C	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Bilingual Teacher Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Law Enforcement Personnel	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Colorado				-			ļ									}				ı		Ì		- 1	
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Grant	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y

2			
-	ŧ	•	•
	>	٠	ı

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Connecticut																			İ			Ì			
Scholastic Achievement Grant	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Independent College Students Grants State Supplemental Grants	Y Y	Y Y	N Y	N Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N Y	N Y	Y Y	Y	N N	N N	N N	N N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N N		
Delaware	*	. 1	1	1	-		-		1	1	-	1	-"	"	- 1	1	-	-	"	1	- 1	1	۱"		1
Postsecondary Scholarships	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
District of Columbia							l			İ		ł	ł				i				1		1	,	
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Florida	١				,,		,,	,,	,,			,,		_,					,,	,,	,,	.,			
Student Assistance Grants Seminole/Miccosukee Indian	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Scholarships	Y	Y	Y	Y				ļ	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N		- 1			İ	Y	N	Y	Y
Georgia	-		-	-				Ì	-	-		-	-	-	-	-"	1	- 1		- 1	H	-	-	_	-
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Hawaii									Ì				ļ	ŀ			ł			- 1		į	1	.	
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Idaho	,,	1,7	η,	.,	τ,	1,7	.,	.,	.,	.,	.,	.,	.,	,,	,,		.,	.,	ι,	,	ι,		,,	. ,,	.,
Student Incentive Grants Illinois	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Monetary Award Program	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Indiana		-	-				_		_	-]	_	-	- }				- 1			-	-`	- 1	-	_	_
Higher Education Awards	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Iowa								- 1	į			-	i		ļ		ĺ	ŀ			ļ				
Scholarship Program	Y	N	N	N		N	N	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N		Y	N		Y
Tuition Grant Program	Y	Y	N	N			Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y		Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Kansas		27																							
State Scholarships Kentucky	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	.,	77	.,	.,	,,	.,		.,	.,
Tuition Grant Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	N N	Y Y	N N	Y Y	Y
Louisiana		-11	-"	-``	1			-	-"	- 1		-	1	14	~`\	1	- 1	- 1	-1	-	IN]	-	IN	I	1
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Maine		-`	-``	-``	-	-1	- 1		-				-		-]	-``	1	- 11	14	-"	- 1	- 1	1	-	1
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Maryland						l			ŀ				-1	-	-	-	-]	-``	- 1	- 1	-`\	- [-`\	- [-
General State Scholarships	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Senatorial Grants	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Ϋ́
Children of Deceased Firemen	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Postsecondary Proprietary Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Massachusetts	1 1	i	- [- }	- 1	- 1		- 1	- 1	Ì		- 1	- 1			- 1	ļ	1		ļ	ļ	ļ	- 1	
General Scholarships	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				Y	N	Y	Y
Christian Herter Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Michigan Compositive Scholaushing	,,	NT.	, I	,,	.,	.,	.,	,,														[
Competitive Scholarships Tuition Grants	Y	N Y	N	N Y	Y	Y	Y	- 1					N			N		Y	Y	N			N	Y	Y
Minnesota			-	-	-1	1	1	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Scholarship and Grant Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Mississippi	1 [- 1	-	-	-	-			-	- 1		-			-`\	-]	-		•	"		14	-1	1
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	P	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
Missouri		ļ			-	1								- 1		-						-}	-`	-	-
Student Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	N	Y	Y

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	School Gr	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	Private	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Montana																									
Student Incentive Grants Nebraska	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Nevada								٠,																	
Student Incentive Grants	Y		Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	0	N	Y	Y		N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Hampshire Incentive Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Nursing Education Grants	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Jersey																							-	_	. [
Tuition Aid Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Garden State Scholarships Educational Opportunity Fund	Y	N N	N Y	N N	Y	Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Mexico	1	l N	Y	1/4	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y				Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N									
New York																									
Tuition Assistance Program	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Aid for Part-Time Study North Carolina	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N						Y	N	Y	Y
Minority Presence Scholarships	Y	N	Y		_			-	Y	N	N		N	N	N	N						Y	N		. 1
American Indian Scholarships	Y	N	Y	N					Y	N	N		N		N	N						Y	N	. 1	
North Dakota			1																						
Tuition Assistance Grants	Y	N	l .	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Ñ	N	N	N	N	Y	Y				Y	N	Y	Y
Student Financial Assistance	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
		}			1																			i	

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	shmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Kansas																									
State Scholarships	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Kentucky		İ		1							- 1	ŀ	- }		I	ł	ŀ						- 1	- 1	- 1
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Tuition Grant Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Louisiana	1									[[1			1	
Student Incentive Grants Maine	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	v	v	v	v	Ţ	.,	v	v	.,	.,		7,	.,		.,						
Maryland	"	IN	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
General State Scholarships	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Senatorial Grants	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Children of Deceased Firemen	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Postsecondary Proprietary Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Massachusetts		1							- 1		Į	- 1			- 1					İ			- 1	- 1	
General Scholarships	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ì			Y	N	Y	Y
Christian Herter Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Michigan																									
Competitive Scholarships Tuition Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y		Y	Y	Y				N				- 1		Y		N		N	Y	Y
Minnesota	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Scholarship and Grant Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ţ	, AT	Y	Y	Ų,	NT	, I	,,	,,		
Mississippi		*	**	IN	-	1	1	<u>, </u>	1	ĭ.	1	1	1	1	Y	N	1	1	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	P	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
Missouri				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-"		-"	-``	- 1	-*	1	ا"	1	14
Student Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	N	Y	Y

٠	-	-
€	•	
÷		٠,
ľ	٠	

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Montana																									
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y
Nebraska																									_
Student Incentive Grants Nevada	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y		Y	l	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	.Y	Y	0	0	N	Y	Y	1	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Hampshire			1	l			1	-	-		-	-	-	Ĭ	Ĭ		-	-		-1	-``	1		- 1	-
Incentive Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Nursing Education Grants	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Jersey													Ì		Ì					1	- 1		}		
Tuition Aid Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Garden State Scholarships Educational Opportunity Fund	Y	N N	N Y	N N	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y N	N N	N N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
New Mexico	1	14	1	14	1	1	1	1	1	I	I	1	IN	N	IN	N	Y	x			l	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	N	N	N	1			ļ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N						I	l		
New York				İ											i								-	ļ	
Tuition Assistance Program	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Aid for Part-Time Study	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	. Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
North Carolina																	İ	ŀ					ł	1	
Student Incentive Grants Minority Presence Scholarships	Y Y	N N	N Y	N N	Y			Y	Y Y	Y N	Y N	Y	Y	N	N	N						Y	N	Y	Y
American Indian Scholarships	Y	N	Y	N					Y	N	N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N		- 1		1		Y Y	N N		
North Dakota		44	*	-"						7.4	7.4	7.4	14	۲,	7,	1,					ł	1	14		
Tuition Assistance Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y			Y	И	Y	Y
Student Financial Assistance	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	1	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Ohio Tantana I Canala																							_		
Instructional Grants Oklahoma	Y	N	N	N			l	ĺ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	ļ					Y	N	Y	Y
Tuition Aid Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Oregon	-	_	_		-			1	-				-		1	-``	-			. "	-"		1		1
Need Grants	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Cash Awards	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	_ [Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Foreign Student Fee Remission	Y	N	Y	N	Į			- 1	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Ĭ	
EOSC Fee Remission	Y	N	N	N					Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Pennsylvania					- 1						ł	-					l	İ		1			ł	i	
State Higher Education Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Rhode Island					}													}	[]	1	
Scholarship and Grant Program	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
South Carolina Thitian Cranta Program	Y	NT.	NT.	, T	7,	77	.,	.,	N	ν.	7,	.,	, I	NT	B.T.		τ,	٠,,		,,	3.7	٠,	7.7	.,	,,
Tuition Grants Program South Dakota	I	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	1/1	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	И	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Tuition Equalization Grants	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Tennessee										ŀ				-								_	-		-
Student Assistance Awards	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Texas						l			ŀ		Ì	- 1	Ì			- 1			ł					- 1	
Tuition Equalization Grants	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Public Educational SSIG Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
State Scholarship Program for Ethnic Recruitment	,,	7.7	, l	,,	ν,	,,	ξ,	,,	τ,	χ.]	,,	,	,	,	,]	,	,,	,,	,		,	,,	,		,,
Ecumic Recitionent	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y

	Full-Time Undergraduates	Part-Time Undergraduates	Full-Time Graduate Students	Part-Time Graduate Students	1984 High School Graduates	Other Freshmen	Upper Class First-Time	Renewal	4-Year Public Colleges	2-Year Public Colleges	4-Year Private Colleges	2-Year Private (Junior) Colleges	Proprietary/Vocational/Technical	Hospital Schools of Nursing	Hospital Allied Health Programs	Out-of-State Institutions	Citizens	Permanent Residents	Refugees	Other	Residency Status Unknown	State Residents	Non-State Residents	Dependent Students	Independent Students
Utah																							1		
Student Incentive Grants	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Educationally Disadvantaged	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N							- 1	ļ	
Vermont																									
Incentive Grants	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Part-Time Student Grants	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	- }	ļ			Y	N		
Virginia	*	1	1						1	1	1	. 1										1	- 1	1	
College Scholarship Assistance	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Washington	-		"				_	_					- }					_	_					_ [_ }
State Need Grants	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y
West Virginia		ł												- }									- 1		
Higher Education Grant Program	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Wisconsin		۱									,,,														
Tuition Grants	Y	Y	N N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y	Y
Higher Education Grants Indian Student Grants	Y	Y	Y	N Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	N Y	N Y	Y Y	N Y	N Y	N N	Y	Y Y	Y Y			Y Y	N	Y	Y
Talent Incentive Grants	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y			Y	N	Y Y	Y
Handicapped Student Grants	Y	1		N	- 1		. 1		1				1	1	N	Y	Y	, ,	Y			Y	N	Y	Y
Puerto Rico	-	1	``		-	_	_	-	_	-	-	- 1			_``	-	1	-	-			-	-"	-	
Student Incentive Grants	1	1							Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N									
Legislative Awards	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y					1	Y	N	N						Y	N	Y	Y
Educational Funds									N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N									

TABLE 19

PUBLISHED APPLICATION DEADLINE DATES

State/Program	Response
Alabama	
Student Assistance Program	November 15
Student Grant Program	September 15, January 15, February 15,
bedaene drane rrogram	April 15
National Guard Education	August 1
Assistance Program	nugust 1
Emergency Secondary Education	November 1
Scholarship Program	NOVELEGI I
Alaska	
Incentive Grants	May 31
Arizona	nay Ji
Incentive Grants	June 15
Arkansas	ouic 15
State Scholarship Program	July 1
Governor's Scholars Program	March 15, 1985
California	1.0.1 20 / 2505
Cal Grant A	February 9, 1984
Cal Grant B	restuary sy asor
Cal Grant C	
Bilingual Teacher Grant	
Law Enforcement Personnel	
Graduate Fellowship	
Colorado	
Student Grant	Institutions establish their own
Student Incentive Grants	application deadlines.
Graduate Fellowship	application deadlines.
Graduate Grant	
Undergraduate Merit Awards	
National Guard Tuition Assistance	Deadlines are established and publicized
mademat data tareton hobitetano	by Department of Military Affairs.
Dependents Tuition Assistance	No application deadline.
Connecticut	no application accarring.
Scholastic Achievement Grants	February 15
High Technology Graduate Scholarship	March 1
Delaware	1102011
Postsecondary Scholarship Fund	April 30
Educational Benefits for Children	None
of Deceased Veterans and Others	
Optometric Institutional Aid	None
Diamond State Scholars	August 6
District of Columbia	
Incentive Grants	June 29, 1984
Florida	
Student Assistance Grant	April 1 preceding academic year.
Seminole/Miccosukee Indian	No deadline.
Scholarship	
Georgia	
Student Incentive Grants	June 1
Idaho	
Student Incentive Grants	No single date. Institutions establish
	in our decentralized program.
State Scholarship Program	December 31
Paul L. Fowler Memorial	
	-
Scholarship	Program criteria.

State/Program	Response
*11	
Illinois Monetary Award Program	June 1, 1984 for continuing students and October 1, 1984 for initial applicants for full-year awards; March 15, 1985 for second term only awards.
Indiana	
Higher Education Award	March 1
Freedom of Choice	None noted.
Iowa	Name 1 (first priority). Type 1 (gagand
Scholarship Program	<pre>March 1 (first priority); June 1 (second priority)</pre>
Tuition Grant Program Vo-Tech Tuition Grants	priority)
Science and Math Grant	December 1
Kansas	December 1
State Scholarship	April 21
Kentucky	
Student Incentive Grants	No deadline. Priority filing date is
Tuition Grant Program	April 1.
Louisiana	
Incentive Grants	November 1 (Fall); March 1 (Spring)
T. H. Harris Scholarships	June 1 for Fall semesters; December 31
Rockefeller Scholarships	for Spring semesters
Maine	
Incentive Grant Program	May 1 for upcoming academic year.
Maryland	Wangh 1 of academic warm aid is sought
General State Scholarships Senatorial Grants	March 1 of academic year aid is sought. March 1 of year aid is sought.
Proprietary School Grants	Apply for Pell, then perhaps one month
riopiletary believe Granes	before award decision get nominated by the school.
House of Delegate Grants	None, but urge direct contact with the delegate and certainly by May of year of aid.
War Orphan Grants	May of year aid is sought but deadline not rigid.
Professional Scholarships	March 1 of year aid is sought.
Family Practice - Medicine	March 1 of year prior to aid school year
	but not rigid.
Children of Deceased Firemen	March 1 of year aid is sought.
Distinguished Scholar	Enter process at end of junior year of
	high school.
Graduate Nursing	March 1 of year aid is sought.
Children of POW Grants	At least 2-3 months prior to start of payment period.
Reimbursement of Firemen	Payments made one year after completion
Relibulsement of filemen	of academic year, so one month lead time required at least.
Teacher Education - Critical	July for 1984-85 grants. Earlier date
Shortage	for 1985-86 grants.
Teacher Education - Distinguished Scholar	Spring for coming academic year.
Massachusetts	
General Scholarships	March 1
Christian Herter Program	Students are nominated in 10th and 11th
	grades. Applicant means 1984-85 FAF was
	received.

State/Program	Response
Medical/Dental Scholarships	March 1
Graduate Student Grant Program	Determined by the college or university.
Honor Scholarships	Last SAT test date in January, 1985.
Fire/Police/Corrections Program	None.
War Orphans Program	Before student attains age 25 and two
·	years of matriculation.
Michigan	
Competitive Scholarship	Freshmen - February 15; Upperclass -
	March 15
Tuition Grant	Freshmen - February 15; Upperclass -
**************************************	March 15
Differential Grant	Varies each semester/term.
Minnesota	
Scholarship and Grant Program	May 31, 1985 for the 1984-85 academic
	year.
Mississippi	
Student Incentive Grants	None.
Missouri	
Student Grant Program	April 30
Nebraska	
Incentive Grant Program	Established at campus level.
New Hampshire	
Incentive Grants	May 1 (preceding Fall term)
Nursing Education Grants	June 1
New Jersey	
Tuition Aid Grant	October 1, 1984 for entire year grants;
	March 1, 1985 for Spring term only.
Educational Opportunity Fund	October 1, 1984 for entire year grants;
	March 1, 1985 for Spring term only.
Garden State Scholarships	October 1, 1984 for entire year grants;
	March 1, 1985 for Spring term only.
Public Tuition Benefits	October 1, 1984 for Fall 1984 and Spring
	1985; March 1, 1985 for Spring 1985 only.
MIA/POW	October 1, 1984 for Fall 1984 and Spring
	1985; March 1, 1985 for Spring 1985 only.
New Mexico	
Student Incentive Grant	May 1
New York	
Tuition Assistance Program	March 31 of the school year.
Regents Scholarships	March 31 of the school year.
Aid for Part-Time Study	Institutions must select and report award
•	recipients within 21 days of the start of
	the term.
Vietnam Veterans Tuition Assistance	March 31 of the school year.
North Carolina	
Student Incentive Grants	Opening date for FAF or FFS filing until
	March 15.
North Dakota	
Student Financial Assistance Program	April 15
Tuition Assistance Grant Program	April 15
Ohio	
Instructional Grants	August 17, 1984
Academic Scholarship	February 23
War Orphans Scholarship	July 1
Student Choice Grants	Set by each institution.
pendent Choice Granes	occ by each institution.

State	Response
Ohlahama	
Oklahoma	Mar 1 for 1004-05, March 1 for 1005-06
Tuition Aid Grant	May 1 for 1984-85; March 1 for 1985-86.
Oregon Need Grant	Preferred application date is April 1. Applications received after this date are considered on a "funds available" basis.
Cash Award	Preferred application date is April 1.
Foreign Student Fee Remission	Each participating institution has its own deadlines.
EOSC Fee Remission	Institutional deadline.
Pennsylvania	
State Grant Program	May 1, 1984 - For all renewal applicants and those non-renewal applicants who plan to enroll in baccalaureate degree programs including those enrolled in college transfer programs at Pennsylvania community or junior colleges. August 1, 1984 - For non-renewal applicants who plan to enroll in business, trade, technical schools, hospital schools of nursing, or two-year terminal programs at community, junior, or four-year colleges.
Rhode Island	Tour-year correges.
Scholarship and Grant Program	March 1
South Carolina	rial Cir I
Tuition Grant Program	None. Applications funded until money is all awarded.
Tennessee	
Student Assistance Award	August 1
Teacher Loan/Scholarship Program	October 15, 1984 for first year of operation; May 15 for subsequent year.
Texas Tuition Equalization Grant Program Public Educational SSIG Program State Scholarship for Ethnic Recruitment	Set by each institution.
Utah	
Career Teaching Scholarship	March 1
Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education	November 1
Vermont	
Incentive Grant Program	March 1
Part-Time Grant Program	January 31
Educational Grants for Unemployed Vermonters	None.
Virginia	
College Scholarship Assistance Program	March 15 of the award year or the closing date for financial aid applications set by each institution; whichever is earlier.
Tuition Assistance Grant Program	June 1; September 10 (Late)
Virginia Scholars Program	March 30
Washington Need Grant Program	August 1 and October 1 of each fiscal year.

State/Program	Response	
West Virginia		
Higher Education Grant Program	March 1, 1985 for the 1985-86 processing cycle. Although late filers are accepted and processed, those applications are placed in a lower priority category.	
Wisconsin		
Tuition Grant Program	None; funds usually last through the academic year.	
Higher Education Grant	None; in 1983-84 awarding was stopped in September; usually is in December.	
Talent Incentive Grant	Funds are normally fully committed by September.	
Indian Student Grant	None; usually run out of funds by January.	
Handicapped Student Grants	None; usually have funds until April.	
Puerto Rico		
State Student Incentive Grant Program	August 31	
Legislative Awards Educational Fund	Set by each institution.	

SECTION IV POLICY ISSUES AND CONCERNS

This section of the survey report analyzes responses to a variety of policy issues and concerns and describes planned or potential changes in state supported aid programs. The responses indicate that state activities in developing new programs or modifying new ones are rich and varied.

Significant Changes Planned for Programs in 1985-86

Five states (California, Delaware, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin) reported that expected increases in program appropriations will permit them to expand their programs in 1985-86 (see Table 20). Minnesota and Washington plan to award aid to part-time students next year. Kansas, Maryland, Oregon, and Tennessee are considering implementation of new administrative procedures to improve their aid delivery systems. Kansas will implement a new student eligibility verification procedure. Kentucky and California are planning to change their student need analysis and criteria for assessment of student eligibility. Iowa, Massachusetts, and Washington plan to modify their scales for determining how much aid students will receive under varying financial circumstances.

New Financial Aid Programs Under Consideration

Ten states are considering legislating/implementing merit-based scholarships for top academic scholars. These states are Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Nebraska, and New Jersey (see Table 21). The development of state-supported work-study programs is being considered by eight states: Arizona, Delaware, Iowa, New Jersey, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, and Virginia. Massachusetts and Maine are considering new teacher-incentive aid programs and Connecticut plans to implement its new "loan forgiveness" program for talented students in teacher education programs.

Most Important Policy Issues Facing State Programs This Year

The major policy issues facing state programs this year often reflect classic policy issues in aid programs. For example, ten states reported concern for increased funding of existing programs (see Table 22). Four states (Colorado, Michigan, Nebraska, and Utah) are concerned with establishing programs and/or achieving program "balance" need-based among need-based and merit-based aid programs. Alaska is concerned with the balance between grant and loan aid and Connecticut finds a "battle for funding" between proponents of categorical and generally available need-based aid programs. Three states (Florida, Minnesota, and Tennessee) are wrestling with issues of centralized and decentralized aid delivery systems. Nine states are addressing problems of clarification of eligibility requirements and targeting aid to specific groups of students. Maryland and Wisconsin reported concerns with increasing average awards to their current numbers of recipients or increasing the numbers of recipients aided. New Hampshire is concerned with assuring the effectiveness of its program awards.

Responses to National Interest in Merit-Based Aid Programs

Because their is increasing interest in implementing merit-based criteria for receipt of federally-funded student aid, the survey asked respondents if their programs had responded to this interest at the state level. Of the 28 states that responded to this survey item, eight indicated no program responses were necessary at this time. Seven of these states already employ merit criteria for their programs. Five states (Arkansas, Delaware, Illinois, Massachusetts, and New Jersey) have or will soon implement new merit-based scholarship programs. Another three states (Kansas, Kentucky, and Virginia) are taking steps to implement newly authorized merit-based programs for scholars. Michigan, New Mexico and North Dakota have seen merit scholarship bills introduced in their legislatures but none has passed. Three states (Georgia, Iowa, and Maine) are considering establishing merit-based scholarship programs. Florida, New york, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee have responded to the interest in merit-based aid programs by establishing categorical grant aid programs for prospective teachers. Oregon has accepted and administers a number of privately funded scholarship programs.

Agency Policies on Satisfactory Academic Progress

Respondents were asked to briefly describe their agency policies on "satisfactory academic progress", that is, the policies which determine student academic eligibility for renewal awards. Over two-thirds of the states (30 of 43) said they relied on use of individual institutional standards for receipt of financial aid. Put another way, if the aid applicants are considered to be making satisfactory academic progress by their institutions and are eligible for aid from other aid programs, they will be considered eligible for state grant aid (see Table 24). Three states (Arkansas, Colorado, and Tennessee) rely on institutional standards which are subject to agency review/approval. The remaining ten states employ their own definitions of "satisfactory academic progress." Three of these states (New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and South Carolina) use no grade point average criteria in their standards but the remaining seven (Alaska, Delaware, Florida, Kansas, Louisiana, Oregon, and West Virginia) require maintenance of a cumulative grade point average of "C" or better.

Reactions to Mandated Portability of SSIG Grant Awards

Periodically the State Student Incentive Grant (SSIG) program has considered requiring states to let SSIG award recipients use their funds to attend institutions in other states. The respondents were asked how they would react to a mandated proposal. Only five states said they would have no major problems implementing such a policy, primarily because their current policies permit "portability" of SSIG-funded awards. These states were the District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont (see Table 25). Connecticut, another "portability" state, said it would not oppose the mandate but would have to have new legislation to implement it. Delaware said it would have problems implementing the mandate if it is likely that more aid recipients would leave than would enter the state for their education.

Five states (Iowa, Louisiana, New York, Oregon, and Virginia) said that the mandate would require statutory or other major changes to their programs. Another five states (Arizona, Idaho, Minnesota, Missouri, and Oregon) said they would discontinue their participation in the SSIG if portability was

required. California, Massachusetts, and Wisconsin said their responses are unknown. The remaining 23 responding states said they would definitely oppose the mandate but the extent of their opposition is unknown.

TABLE 20

SIGNIFICANT CHANGES PLANNED FOR PROGRAMS OR OPERATIONS IN 1985-86

State	Response
Alabama	We will review the \$200,000 funding for the ANGEAP to determine if additional state appropriation is needed. We will review the ESESP to determine if a loan assumption program will enhance the goals of this teacher education program.
Alaska	A new state Teacher Scholarship Loan will be available for up to \$7,500 per year at 5% interest with 100% forgiveness if teacher works in rural Alaska.
California	The Commission will request additional numbers of grants and increased grant maximums for the 1985-86 award year. The Commission is also considering the use of a parental contribution limitation for eligibility, instead of an income ceiling, in its major grant program.
Delaware	41% increase in state appropriations to need-based program, which will be the first significant increase in five years. Establishment of Delaware's first merit-based scholarship program.
Florida	Significant changes will occur in the quantity and quality of information provided to the legislature. Office and institutional procedures will be updated. A Program Director will be added for GSL and additional professionals will be added in both GSL and State Programs.
Illinois	Agency staff currently engaged in management system planning activities. Objectives of this year-long exercise are to define and articulate missions, goals, objectives, standards, etc., for divisions and individuals.
Iowa	Possible implementation of a sliding scale of awards on the private College Tuition Grant Program. The greater the need the larger the award and the less needy receive a partial award with award parameters based on level of Total Family Contribution.
Kansas	Changes being made in the administrative process used to verify student eligibility. Some major verifications will be made by the institution in which the student will enroll. Also considering major changes in the computer system used to process awards.
Kentucky	Total Expected Family Contribution (TEFC) will replace the standard UM contribution in the KTG formula. The TEFC will also be used to determine SSIG eligibility for dependent and self-supporting students.
Louisiana	A new "Governor's Scholar" scholarship program will be initiated if funds become available.
Maryland	We hope for more grant funds and expect to be in a on-line computer operation by July 1, 1985. This will improve our ability to administer the 15 complex grant programs under our control.
Massachusetts	Considering a scale of award values which would replace the current single value of scholarships.

State	Response
Minnesota	(1) State Scholarship and Grant Program award eligibility will be extended to part-time students. (2) Program will consider any student who is not 22 by October 1 of that academic year to be dependent on parental support.
Missouri	Purchased new computer software package for Student Grant Program processing.
Nebraska	A bill for funding the State Scholarship Program will be introduced into the next legislative session.
New Jersey	A new financial aid program has been legislated for the 1984-85 award year, with awards to be made for the 1985-86 academic year. This provides for the granting of annual \$1,000 awards to the highest New Jersey secondary school academic achievers. The award is determined on the basis of high school records and Scholastic Aptitude Test scores, and is provided to residents who plan to continue their educations at New Jersey colleges or universities. In the initial year, approximately 1,400 non-need-based distinguished scholar awards will be offered to high school seniors in the fall of their 1984-85 school year. Their names will be provided to New Jersey colleges and universities for recruitment purposes. The creation of this program has not affected the funding levels of other
North Carolina	legislated student aid programs, as funds will come from loan reserves. We do not plan major program changes at this time. However, the policy of loan forgiveness for service in under-served areas or fields is being reinstated for the North Carolina Student Loan Program for Health, Science and Mathematics which is administered by the Office of State Budget and
Oregon	Management. A plan to move from the current centralized mode of operation to a "decentralized" one is under consideration. The adoption of such a plan would entail a move from a central review of applications to a tape-only system, with the review and verification of applications at the institutional level. These changes are being considered for administrative reasons.
Tennessee	For administrative reasons streamlining the grant program delivery system is planned.
Utah	A request for an additional line item appropriation, to supplement accrued funds for State College Work-Study, will be made to the legislature.
Washington	The requested budget reflects significant expansion in both work and grant programs including a proposal to extend grant eligibility to part-time students and to place grants on a more variable basis.
West Virginia	The Agency will eliminate the receipt of the hard copy of the FAF form and rely solely on the tape for processing purposes. The responsibility for review will be transferred to the campus.
Wisconsin	This is a state budget year so there could be program changes other than increased appropriations.

WHAT NEW FINANCIAL AID PROGRAMS IS YOUR STATE CONSIDERING IMPLEMENTING IN THE NEAR FUTURE?

State	Response		
Arizona	Our Commissioners will decide at the fall meeting whether to propose a state work-study program to the next legislative session.		
Connecticut	Academic Scholarship Loan Program has been created to attract talented high school students into teacher training programs by providing loans which have forgiveness provisions if the borrower teaches in Connecticut.		
Delaware	Possibly state-funded work-study program, which would include profit-making organizations as participating employers.		
Georgia	A state non-need based academic scholarship program may be implemented for academic year 1985-86.		
Illinois	Staff is researching impact of college work-study programs on student academic performance and financial need.		
Iowa	Considering a no-need state work-study program for non-need state scholars or a straight \$200 no-need scholarship award.		
Kansas	Regents Distinguished Scholar Program designed for high academic students. Student must have ACT composite of 30 or higher. Funds will be awarded on the basis of merit. Need will not be a factor.		
Kentucky	Considering a merit scholars program. Program dollars would be directed toward top scholars for use at institutions within Kentucky.		
Louisiana	A new "Governor's Scholar" scholarship program will be initiated if funds become available.		
Maine	Legislation is currently under consideration for aid programs to: (1) high school seniors with high academic promise; (2) students entering or enrolled in teacher education; (3) teachers furthering their education. Criteria for development and implementation are still in developmental stages.		
Maryland	Two started in academic year 1984-85, so no new programs are expected in 1985-86. Those just started are both teacher education programs designed to encourage bright people to teach especially in the shortage areas of math and science.		
Massachusetts	New programs are under development to provide incentive to prospective teachers. A program designed to reward meritorious students is also being developed.		
Michigan	A Merit Scholarship Program has been introduced in the legislature.		
Minnesota	The Student Educational Loan Fund (SELF) should be operational in December, 1984, to aid students who are not able to receive adequate assistance from NDSL or GSL.		
Nebraska	A bill for funding the State Scholarship Program will be introduced into the next legislative session.		

State	Response	
New Jersey	Considering the establishment of a college work-study program. A co-op type program is desired to permit students to work in their field of study while earning funds to offset their cost of education. Industry matching of a state appropriation is also one of the main objectives. It is felt that future employees with on-the-job training would be easily identified by participating companies. Also under consideration is a program to fund students who need to purchase a data processing computer to augment their educational experience.	
New York	A new campus-based grant program for part-time students is to be implemented for the 1984-85 school year.	
Ohio	Implementing a student choice grant program in 1984-85. Grants for Ohio residents attending Ohio non-profit colleges: bachelors degree programs only; non-need; grant equal to one fourth of state subsidy to public universities; students must be enrolled full-time.	
Oklahoma	Work-study program.	
Oregon	The Agency has submitted a proposal for a state-funded work- study program. However, because of the state's economic conditions, it is unlikely that such a proposal will be approved.	
Pennsylvania	Several programs of aid to scholars have been introduced in the General Assembly but all pending bills will die prior to to enactment. Some could be reintroduced during the 1985-86 session.	
Texas	Two programs for teachers, featuring loans to be forgiven once the student has taught in Texas a given number of years.	
Utah	A state college work-study pilot program will be implemented in Fall, 1985.	
Virginia	A Virginia Work-Study Program (VWSP) was authorized in 1983 but not funded. It may be revived if revenue picture improves by the 1986-88 biennium.	
West Virginia	Legislation is likely to be reintroduced for the creation of a scholarship/loan program with forgiveness provisions for math and science education students.	

WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT POLICY ISSUES FACING YOUR PROGRAM(S) THIS YEAR?

State	Response	
Alabama	(1) Increasing appropriation and award maximums for Alabama Student Grant Program. (2) Evaluating if participating institutions must be accredited. (3) Re-evaluating standards of satisfactory academic progress requirements for student assistance program.	
Alaska	Levels of student indebtedness - Loans vs. Grants.	
Arizona	Continuing SSIG in the reauthorization process. Increasing the level of state appropriation matching for SSIG.	
Arkansas	Satisfactory academic progress.	
California	The possible replacement of the Cal Grant A income ceiling with a parental contribution limitation for eligibility, instead of an income ceiling in its major grant program.	
Colorado	The appropriate balance between need-based and merit-based programs funded through state appropriations.	
Connecticut	The battle for funding between proponents of categorized aid programs which are intended to accomplish certain objectives (recruitment of teachers) and proponents of need-based programs designed to insure access and choice.	
Florida	Reorganization, establishment of clear and relevant policies. Possible decentralization of the state grant program.	
Georgia	Increased funding for state need-based SSIG grants and private college tuition equalization grants.	
Illinois	(1) Funding. (2) Fraud and abuse. (3) Program reviews and audits. (4) Loan collections. (5) Systems (management) development.	
Kansas	The restructuring of procedures used to identify eligible applicants to become more effective and efficient in serving students and institutions in Kansas.	
Kentucky	Policies affecting selection of target groups for receipt of funds.	
Louisiana	Program funding levels.	
Maine	Program funding levels.	
Maryland	The transition to on-line computer services has a major impact on procedures and staff assignments. We still debate numbers of awards vs. the size of awards. Also the independent student evaluations, validations, and budgets.	
Massachusetts	Review of eligibility guidelines and parameters is the major focus of policy issues.	
Michigan	Whether to implement a merit award program.	
Minnesota	(1) Centralized vs. decentralized delivery of aid. (2) The part-time student. (3) Full funding to compensate for inflation and previous political retrenchment. (4) Funding graduate students.	
Mississippi	Program funding levels.	
Missouri	Aiding students who receive state grant funds and enter a church-related career after graduation.	
Nebraska	A bill for funding the State Scholarship Program will be introduced into the next legislative session.	

Response

New Hampshire	How to assure effectiveness of awards.
New Jersey	Under the Tuition Aid Grant Program, which provides assistance
	to students based upon a financial eligibility index and the
	type of institution, policy issues relating to the format of
	the Tuition Aid Grant Award Table and the future funding
	patterns for the program may be considered. Changes in the
	cost of college attendance and family resources or ability to
	pay for higher education occur from one year to the next,
	which have a dramatic effect on program expenditures and
	appropriations. Student awards for summer attendance will
New Mexico	also be studied.
	Proper allocation of funds.
New York	Policy issues to be addressed this year would likely include
	consideration of improvements in award amounts for graduate
	students and independent students.
North Dakota	Securing funding sufficient to allow the program to expand to
	a four-year program. Students are currently funded for two
	years.
Pennsylvania	Inability of state funding to compensate for federal
	reductions and inflation of costs.
Rhode Island	Making fair award amounts to students in programs (e.g.
	proprietary schools, evening programs, part-time programs)
	with irregular dates, program length, etc.
South Carolina	Increasing our program appropriation so that we can make
	more awards and at the same time keep them large enough to
	be effective.
Tennessee	Studying whether the Agency will move from a centralized to a
	decentralized administrative system in its effort to improve
	the grant program delivery system.
Utah	(1) Should state financial aid programs be awarded only on
	a need-base? (2) Repayment is not consistently applied to
	state scholarship programs. WICHE recipients are only
	"morally" obligated whereas Utah Career Teaching Scholarship
	recipients are required to repay if they do not teach in Utah.
Virginia	(1) How should need-based aid in the CSAP be allocated to
· == 9 	institutions to meet unmet needs? (2) Should the state
	require uniform student budgets for purposes of allocating
West Virginia	CSAP and Discretionary Aid to institutions? Increasing funding to meet the increased demand caused by
	the rising costs and the reduction in second family
	the rising costs and the reduction in expected family
Wiggonsin	contributions. Increasing student loan indebtedness.
Wisconsin	Whether to decrease the number of recipients and increase
	the average awards and better target the available aid to the most needy students.

HAS IT BEEN NECESSARY FOR YOUR PROGRAM(S) TO RESPOND TO INCREASING NATIONAL INTEREST IN MERIT-BASED AID PROGRAMS? IF SO, HOW HAVE YOU RESPONDED?

State	Response	
Arkansas	Implemented the Governor's Scholars Program.	
California	California's largest grant program is a need-based and	
V	merit-based program.	
Connecticut	No, the need-based Connecticut Scholastic Achievement Grant	
	Program has an academic screening component to it.	
Delaware	Yes, our second priority request for merit-based financial	
	aid was funded by the governor in his budget with no increase	
	in need-based. Negotiated increase in need-based aid with	
	the legislature.	
Florida	The Florida legislature has increased the number of categor-	
	ical programs directed toward excellence in education; i.e.,	
	the Teacher Shortage Programs and Academic Scholars. The	
	future role of the Office of Student Financial Assistance	
	will be to evaluate the effectiveness of these programs and	
	provide leadership in the establishment of new programs.	
Georgia	Yes, looking into the possibility of funding an academic	
•	scholarship program but final criteria and funding have not	
	yet been approved.	
Illinois	Illinois legislature enacted new program, called "Merit	
	Recognition Scholarship", effective 1985-86, which makes \$500	
	scholarship awards to students who graduate in the top 5%	
	of their high school class. Scholarship will be renewable	
	for second year of college.	
Iowa	Proposals have been made each year but, due to state budgetary	
	constraints, merit-based programs (non-need) have not passed.	
	A minor exception is the new Iowa Science and Math Grant	
	which is a non-need program based on numbers of science and	
	math units taken in high school.	
Kansas	Currently in process of getting approval and funding for	
	a non-need, merit-based program, the Regents Distinguished	
	Scholar Program designed for high academic students.	
	Students must have ACT composite of 30 or higher. Program	
	needed to avoid further loss of outstanding students to	
	out-of-state institutions.	
Kentucky	Taken steps to develop a merit-based scholarship program in	
	response to concern for the number of top scholars leaving	
	the Commonwealth to attend school.	
Maine	Legislation is currently under consideration for programs	
	to aid:	
	(1) high school seniors with high academic promise;	
	(2) students entering or enrolled in teacher education;	
	(3) teachers furthering their education.	
	Criteria for development and implementation are still in	
	developmental stages.	
Maryland	We were one of the first to start such merit-based awards	
	and numerous states are seeking to follow many of our	
	program's features. We are not pressured by the programs	
	in other states at all.	

State	Response	
Massachusetts	The Commonwealth Scholars Program is being developed in response to the interest in merit-based aid. The program will recognize approximately 1,000 meritorious students during 1984-85.	
Michigan	Michigan has attempted to respond to merit-based aid; however, more from a state than a national issue. Legislation has been introduced.	
New Jersey	New Jersey will begin its first undergraduate merit-only program by offering distinguished scholarship awards to students who are seniors in high school during the 1984-85 school year. These awards will be announced in early fall (1984) to permit students to select a New Jersey college or university that can meet their educational goals and objectives. The \$1,000 annual award, which is renewable throughout the recipient's undergraduate course of study, will be given without regard to the recipient's cost of college attendance or financial need. Funding of \$1.4 million will be made available from loan reserves to assist approximately 1,400 students who will begin to receive payment of their awards for the 1985-86 collegiate academic	
New Mexico	A merit-based program bill was introduced to the 1983-84	
New York	legislature but was not passed. For 1984-85, a new program of Empire State Scholarships and Fellowships has been established for students who pursue teacher certification in math and science. Merit and promise of completing teacher certification requirements are to be taken into consideration.	
North Carolina	Yes, we helped the Board of Governors and the General Assembly decide to forego a "merit only" program because so much remains to be done to meet the needs of "merit and need-based" programs. The proposals for "merit only" would have been funded out of the "merit and need" existing appropriations.	
North Dakota	In the 1981 and 1983 legislative sessions, merit scholarship bills were introduced. None passed. It is likely that similar bills will be introduced in the 1985 session.	
Ohio	No. Ohio Academic Scholarship Program in place since 1976.	
Oregon	We have accepted a number of privately funded scholarship programs for administration by the agency. Although most of the 46 privately funded scholarship programs which we administer have need as a criterion for selection, awards are also highly competitive and recipients are academically excellent students. For 1983-84, a total of \$202,169 was expended from the private scholarship programs. For 1984-85, the Commission is projecting a total of at least \$316,500.	
Pennsylvania	Very little interest in Pennsylvania to use tax revenues for merit-based aid. PHEAA's position has been that need-based programs should not be adversely impacted by desire to fund any merit-based program.	
Rhode Island	No. Although we award both scholarships and grants, the awards in both cases are need-based. We expect this practice to be continued.	

State	Response Not as of this time, but we expect more interest in this area within the next year since our state has recently passed an Education Improvement Act.		
South Carolina			
Tennessee	Yes, Teacher Incentive Program has "merit level" academic requirements.		
Utah	We have state programs that have always been based on merit, e.g., WICHE, Utah Career Teaching Scholarships, and tuition remissions which may be awarded for either "meritorious or impecunious" at the discretion of the president at each public institution. Have no plans to make changes to accommodate more merit-based programs.		
Virginia	The new merit-based Virginia Scholars Program, authorized by the 1983 General Assembly, was initiated on the recommendation of a statewide task force established by the State Council of Higher Education in 1982 to study future financial aid needs in Virginia. The program preceded the present national interest in merit-based aid programs.		
West Virginia	An academic requirement has always been a critical component in the selection criteria for grant assistance. The inclusion of the requirement has probably been an influencing factor in not having to create a separate program. Although some measure of academic potential must be attained, it has limited value unless the student demonstrates financial need.		

BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR PROGRAM'S POLICIES ON STUDENT DEMONSTRATION OF "SATISFACTORY ACADEMIC PROGRESS."

Alabama Generally, students must meet the institution's standards of satisfactory academic progress. A "C" average is required for the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. For the ANGEAP. Student must be able to complete the program in a time frame not to exceed 25% beyond normal time for completion required by the program. Alaska State defines "Good Standing" undergraduate as enrolled in and successfully completing at least 12 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least 3.00 ("B"). Graduates: At least 9 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least 3.00 ("B"). Arizona Determined by the institutional financial aid officer in accordance with the established institution policy. Arkansas Institutions may use their own policies if they meet our established criteria. California Require colleges to certify that their Cal Grant recipients are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid als been suspended because of lack of academic progress, will have their Cal Grants suspended for a like period. Colorado Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. requlatio	State	Response	
Alaska State defines "Good Standing" undergraduate as enrolled in and successfully completing at least 12 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least 2.00 ("C"). Graduates: At least 9 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least 3.00 ("B"). Arizona Determined by the institutional financial aid officer in accordance with the established institution policy. Arkansas Institutions may use their own policies if they meet our established criteria. California Require colleges to certify that their Cal Grant recipients are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid has been suspended because of lack of academic progress, will have their Cal Grants suspended for a like period. Colorado Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Towa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-a	Alabama	satisfactory academic progress. A "C" average is required for the ANGEAP. For the ASGP, a student must be able to complete the program in a time frame not to exceed 25% beyond	
Arkansas Institutions may use their own policies if they meet our established criteria. California Require colleges to certify that their Cal Grant recipients are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid has been suspended because of lack of academic progress, will have their Cal Grants suspended for a like period. Colorado Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. Sc. programs requires C-average accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Alaska	State defines "Good Standing" undergraduate as enrolled in and successfully completing at least 12 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least 2.00 ("C"). Graduates: At least 9 hours per term with a cumulative GPA of at least	
Arkansas Institutions may use their own policies if they meet our established criteria. Require colleges to certify that their Cal Grant recipients are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid has been suspended because of lack of academic progress, will have their Cal Grants suspended for a like period. Colorado Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Iowa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Arizona		
are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid has been suspended because of lack of academic progress, will have their Cal Grants suspended for a like period. Colorado Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Towa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Arkansas	Institutions may use their own policies if they meet our	
Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written policy which is reviewed annually by the Commission. Connecticut Left to the student's institution. Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Iowa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	California	are making normal academic progress according to the same standards that they have established for determining federal financial aid eligibility. Students whose federal financial aid has been suspended because of lack of academic progress,	
Delaware Maintenance of 2.0 cumulative grade point average with course load of 12 credits per term. Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must. maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.		Standards for academic progress are left to institutional discretion. However, the institution must have a written	
Dist. of Columbia Accept the definition and determination of "satisfactory academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2,0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must. maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Connecticut		
academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution the student attends. Florida A 2.0 on a 4.0 scale with completion of 24 semester hours per year. Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Towa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must. maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.			
Georgia Left up to the policies of individual institutions. Idaho Determined at institutional level. Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Iowa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Dist. of Columbia	academic progress" as stated and indicated by the institution	
Idaho Determined at institutional level. We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Iowa Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Florida	year.	
Illinois We require institutions to adopt and enforce their own policies on satisfactory academic progress. Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Georgia	Left up to the policies of individual institutions.	
policies on satisfactory academic progress. Follow standards set up by the individual institutions which should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Idaho	Determined at institutional level.	
Should be in alignment with U.S.D.E. regulations. Kansas Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Illinois		
maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning 1985-86 academic year). Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Iowa		
Kentucky Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	Kansas	Students participating in the State Scholarship Program must maintain a cumulative grade point average of 3.0. Tuition Grant recipients must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress by maintaining a cumulative GPA of 2.0 (beginning	
Louisiana Minimum GPA of 2.0 is required.	Kentucky	Grant programs leave determination to the institutions. GSL program requires C-average accumulated in postsecondary work. Work-Study Program requires accumulated C-average in postsecondary work or in high school if student is an incoming freshman.	
	Louisiana	Minimum GPA of 2.0 is required.	

State	Response		
Maine	Maine uses each college's standard for academic progress at the institution.		
Maryland	Maryland uses the definitions of the colleges and hopes that colleges do not take positions that are extreme.		
Massachusetts	Satisfactory academic progress is determined by the college or university the scholarship recipients attend.		
Michigan	The federal guidelines are used for institutional policy which our three programs follow.		
Minnesota	Require compliance with the institutions policies.		
Mississippi	Accept the institution's policy on satisfactory progress.		
Missouri	Rely on the educational institutions to monitor "satisfactory academic progress" for student recipients.		
Nebraska	Determined at institutional level.		
New Hampshire	Policy is identical to the SSIG policy.		
New Jersey	Program regulations basically require award recipients to earn a minimum of 12 college-level credits for each semester of payment received with a provision that permits regularly enrolled students one semester under which they may achieve less than 12 credits (or two semesters if enrolled in special programs of study) and still continue to receive the full		
•	benefits of their awards.		
New York	Students must demonstrate satisfactory academic progress according to their institutions and that they are pursuing an approved program of study.		
North Carolina	We use the schools' definitions of "satisfactory academic progress" in all programs.		
North Dakota	Our awardees come under the satisfactory progress policy of the institutions they are attending.		
Ohio	The standards at the institution are applicable to our programs.		
Oklahoma	Institutional guidelines are per Title IV Regulations.		
Oregon	Institutions define and verify satisfactory academic progress. (Usually completion of 12 hours per term/semester, with a GPA of 2.0 or above, for full-time students).		
Pennsylvania	Recipients must successfully complete at least 24 semester credits each year to renew their awards.		
Rhode Island	Applicants beyond the first year of postsecondary education must meet their institution's federally established guidelines governing satisfactory progress.		
South Carolina	Students must be full-time (24 S.H. or more) to receive awards. To receive renewal awards, students must complete 24 S.H. per year (Summer School work can be included).		
Tennessee	In addition to meeting the institution's policies regarding satisfactory progress, the student must also progress to the next class level to renew a TSA award.		
Texas	Allow schools to use their own policies.		
Utah	Utah uses the federal guidelines on satisfactory academic progress.		
Vermont	Student must be enrolled in a degree program.		
Virginia	The policies for the Virginia grant programs are those adopted by institutions for Title IV federal campus-based aid.		

State	Response	
West Virginia	A recipient who must complete the equivalent of 24 semester	
	hours and maintain a 2.0 cumulative grade point average.	
	The recipient must also meet the college's satisfactory	
	academic progress standard.	
Wisconsin	Grant recipients must remain in good academic standing as	
	determined by the institutions.	

HOW WOULD YOUR STATE GRANT PROGRAM(S) REACT TO MANDATED PORTABILITY OF SSIG FUNDS?

State	Response Since matching funds have been provided by in-state institutions in Alabama, this mandate probably would not affect Alabama.		
Alabama			
Alaska	Awards are already portable from our state.		
Arizona	The legislature would most likely disapprove of the program entirely.		
Arkansas	Negatively.		
California	Unknown at this time, but probably would accept the funds and allow portability.		
Colorado	There would be strong resistance to providing state dollars to be used for study outside the state of Colorado.		
Connecticut	Although it would require amending existing legislative, not a lot of opposition to full portability is seen.		
Delaware	Would expect real problems with the Scholarship Advisory Council and the financial aid community unless we could prove that Delaware institutions would draw in more funds than they would lose. A sensitive issue.		
Dist. of Columbia	The D.C. SSIG program has full portability of grant awards.		
Florida	The Florida legislature has historically provided aid only to Florida residents who are attending Florida colleges and universities. A negative reaction expected.		
Georgia	Until our program is fully funded for in-state students, we would not favor portability. If portability was mandated, the state would most likely comply.		
Idaho	Would probably discontinue the program.		
Illinois	Unfavorably. While manageable, very difficult administratively since state dollars are restricted to in-state use.		
Iowa	Current state legislation prohibits state grant portability. Mandated portability would require statutory changes.		
Kansas	Probably would oppose mandated portability if this would result in a decrease in the level of funding for Kansas institutions.		
Kentucky	Unfavorably; since only 23 percent of our SSIG funds come from the federal government.		
Louisiana	Change in entire program would be required.		
Maine	Mandated portability for SSIG would be adversely viewed by Maine as funding is very limited.		
Maryland	We are portable now, but only with those states that reciprocate, so such a ruling would not change us at all.		
Massachusetts	The Massachusetts response would be based upon a review of the impact of the mandate.		
Michigan	Unfavorably.		
Minnesota	If portability were mandated by SSIG, Minnesota would drop the SSIG program. It is very unlikely that Minnesota would make the state award portable in the current enrollment environment.		
Mississippi	Adverse.		
wrooroothhr	WAGISE.		

State	Response
Missouri	A possible reduction in state appropriated general revenue dollars for the grant program.
Montana	Oppose it.
Nebraska	Unfavorable, pending more adequate funding of student aid for residents.
New Jersey	Although New Jersey does not wish to support such a proposal, our current application and notification processing system can permit the awarding of federal funds to students who wish to attend out-of-state institutions.
New York	Mandated portability of SSIG funds would require legislative consideration of whether funds currently being provided to residents attending in-state institutions should be diverted to residents attending out-of-state institutions. Portability of SSIG funds would require either a \$12 million decrease in funds for students at in-state institutions or additional state funding of \$12 million. Portability would also add considerable complexity of administration to the state's program.
North Carolina	Negatively. State funds are required to be used to attend North Carolina schools.
North Dakota	Our authorizing stature prohibits portability. It is very unlikely that our legislature would amend the law to allow portability.
Ohio	Would not participate in the program.
Oklahoma	Unfavorable.
Oregon	Providing for the portability of state grants would require amendment of existing statutes by the legislature. Proposals to allow portability unsuccessfully have been presented to the Oregon legislature several times over the past six to eight years. If portability was mandated, we would seek the support of the legislature to insure continued participation in the SSIG program.
Pennsylvania	Philosophically, PHEAA objects to further mandates within SSIG regardless of their nature. Practically, such a policy would have little impact since, with few exceptions, PHEAA state grants are now portable.
Rhode Island	Our program is already fully portable.
South Carolina	South Carolina would oppose such a mandate since 99% of our SSIG funds are used for students attending in-state private colleges, using state grant funds as the match. In-state public colleges must provide their own matching dollars under 34 CFR 74.52.
Texas	We would have no immediate way to match funds for students going out of state. All matching funds are restricted to students attending in-state schools.
Utah	Not in favor of portability.
Vermont	We currently have full portability.
Virginia	If federal SSIG regulations permitted, Virginia might require SSIG match to come from the institutions, if a Virginia resident was attending a non-Virginia institution. If not, CSAP might be discontinued with the state portion being redirected to assist students attending in-state institutions under other state student aid programs (e.g., VSP, TAGP, VWSP, Discretionary Aid).

State	Response					
West Virginia	Anticipate a negative reaction on the part of the Board. There appears limited grass roots support for permitting it. With the number of current applicants being denied, there is little support for expanding the number of eligible schools. Although there is little hope of gaining support for total portability, there might be interest in limited reciprocity.					
Wisconsin	Impossible to say until/if the mandate occurs. Historically, grant funds have never been considered for use out of state.					

SECTION V

DISTRIBUTION OF UNDERGRADUATE NEED-BASED AID AMONG STUDENT CATEGORIES

Each year the survey respondents are asked to estimate the percentages of recipients and dollars received by students in various categories. Not all program respondents can answer all the requests because the data are not collected or are not available from their files. However, at least one-fourth and sometimes over nine-tenths of the state-supported need-based aid for undergraduates is represented in the various item response categories. Therefore, the respondents' estimates probably provide a realistic if not totally statistically reliable picture of some important characteristics of students who receive state grant aid. Moreover, because in succeeding years of the survey the same program respondents usually answer the same items, some year-to-year comparisons are possible — if caution is used in the interpretation of the data. (The data referenced for earlier years appeared in the previous NASSGP survey reports.)

Table 26 shows that over 98 percent of the need-based grant aid to undergraduates is received by full-time students. As noted earlier in this report, very few part-time students receive awards. However, part-time students have, since 1981-82, increased their access to state grants by considerable amounts. In that year, about 13,000 part-timers received \$6.1 million in grants from state programs. This year over 19,000 part-timers are expected to receive \$19.8 million. Therefore, in just four years the numbers of recipient part-timers grew by 46 percent and the dollar values of their awards tripled.

Each year since 1981-82, the proportion of recipients who are renewal applicants has declined, from 57.6 percent in 1981 to the expected 50.3 percent in 1984. Perhaps this trend indicates that fewer renewal applicants are meeting their programs' standards for satisfactory academic progress. Each year since 1981-82, the proportion of recipients who are first-time applicants in their sophomore, junior, or senior years has increased, from 6.2 percent in 1981 to the expected 14.3 percent in 1984. Perhaps as college costs increase, more and more continuing students are having to draw upon the resources of state grant programs.

The proportions of recipients and dollars awarded to the students at the public and private, two-year and four-year colleges have remained quite stable since 1981-82, with about 70 percent of all recipients enrolled at four-year colleges where they received approximately 75 percent of all the funds. However, it is noteworthy that proportionately slightly more recipients are attending public and private business, trade, and technical schools. In 1981, these students represented 4.8 percent of all recipients and they received 5.6 percent of all the grant aid. This year they are expected to represent 6.7 percent of all recipients and to receive 6.7 percent of all the grant aid. This increase is probably a consequence of growth in numbers of aid programs providing aid to students at these types of institutions.

In recent years, many financial aid policymakers have expressed concern that increasing numbers of students are declaring themselves independent of parental support for financial aid purposes and thus replacing parental

support with aid from public sources. While there may be a trend in this direction in the Pell Grant program or the federal campus-based aid programs, it does not appear in the state grant program data. The percentages of independent students receiving need-based state grant aid have remained quite constant, from 29.3 percent in 1981, to 26.5 percent in 1982, to 29.2 percent in 1983, to this year's expected 29.4 percent. Between 1981 and 1984 the percentages of grant dollars going to independent students decreased slightly, from 28.8 percent to 28.6 percent. The dollars in the annual samples represented over 80 percent of all grant aid dollars in each of the four years under analysis, so this pattern of little change is probably a good reflection of reality. The fact that some states recently have adopted more stringent criteria for students to demonstrate independence may have contributed to this pattern.

Only about one-fourth of the aggregate aid dollars are represented in the sample which describe recipients' racial-ethnic statuses. Therefore, year-to-year comparisons are, at best, uncertain. This year's data indicate that 36.2 percent of the recipients whose racial-ethnic statuses are known are members of some minority group. The respective percentages for earlier years were: for 1983, 36.2 percent; for 1982, 35.4 percent; and, for 1981, 38.1 percent. Since 1981, there appears to have been a modest decline in the percentage of non-white aid recipients.

However, the percentage of Black recipients apparently has declined sharply since 1981. In that year, 25.9 percent of the recipients whose racial-ethnic statuses were known were Black. This year only 20.8 percent of the known recipients were Black. In terms of numbers of students with known racial-ethnic statuses, about 26 percent of all recipients, the number of Black recipients has decreased by about 29 percent, from 91,000 to 65,000 since 1981-82. These figures represent a considerable decline in numbers and proportions of Black students served by the state grant programs. The decline in numbers and proportions of Black recipients served is probably a consequence of declining postsecondary education participation rates among Black students rather than a consequence of changes in state grant program policies.

With the increasing enrollment of older, non-traditional college students it was expected that more grant recipients would be older. The data confirm this expectation. This year 16 percent of the recipients will be 26 years of age or older. In 1981, only 11.8 percent of the recipients were in this age group and the proportion has increased each year.

In each of the four years under examination over 40 percent of the state grant recipients were represented in the sample responses to the items which requested recipient incomes. This year four out of every ten recipients (40.1 percent) will have annual family incomes of less than \$9,000. The respective percentages for the earlier years were: for 1983, 43.0 percent, for 1982, 37.5 percent; and, for 1981, 38.9 percent. Thus, after an apparent three year increase in proportions of low-income recipients, a decrease is expected. This slight decline could be a reflection of losses of Black recipients, who typically come from lower-income families.

At the other end of the income scale, increasing proportions of grant recipients are coming from families with incomes of \$25,000 or more. This year 18.7 percent of the recipients are expected to come from such families.

Last year, 1983, only 16.0 percent of the recipients had family incomes of \$25,000 or more. The respective percentages for the earlier years were: for 1982, 19.3 percent, and for 1981, 16.6 percent.

Between last year and this year the data indicate a slight decrease in proportions of low-income recipients and a slight increase in proportions of higher-income recipients. Average grant awards to the low-income recipients increased by only 11.4 percent between 1982 and 1984, from \$766 to \$853, but average grant awards to the higher-income recipients increased by 36.9 percent, from \$727 to \$995 during the same time period. Therefore, low-income students apparently are representing decreasing proportions of state grant recipients and the awards received by these students are, on the average, not growing as fast as are awards to higher-income students. One reason the low-income students' awards may be growing at a lesser rate is that Pell Grant awards are often subtracted from the students' needs for state grant aid. Low-income students' access to Pell Grant awards did not decline as much as did higher-income students' access during the past three years.

In summary, perhaps four important trends have appeared in the data on the characteristics of state grant recipients: (1) more part-time students are receiving grants; (2) proportionately more older students are receiving grants; (3) fewer Black students are receiving awards; and (4) slightly fewer low-income but slightly more higher-income students are receiving grants. To a lesser extent, a trend toward greater receipt of state grant aid among students in the non-collegiate sector of postsecondary education also appeared.

TABLE 26

ESTIMATED 1984-85 AWARD DISTRIBUTION BY CATEGORIES FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE NEED-BASED SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS

TOTAL OF ALL STATES REPORTING

	Nι	umber of	Number of	Total	Percent of	Percent
	9	States	Recipients	Dollars	Recipients	of Dollars
Category ·	ir	n Sample	in Sample	in Sample	in Sample	in Sample
						F
Full-Time Undergraduates		46	1,176,829	\$1,049,024,720	98.38	98.15
Part-Time Undergraduates	(89.3%)	46	19,429	19,761,338	1.62	1.85
1984 High School Graduates		21	216,055	\$232,968,460	23.48	25.23
Other Freshman Applicants		21	109,565	111,886,770	11.91	12.12
Soph, Jr, & Sr First-Time A	pp.	21	131,870	124,455,872	14.33	13.48
Renewal Applicants	(77.1%)	21	462,713	454,050,403	50.28	49.17
4-Year Public Colleges		33	419,154	\$306,838,042	40.44	30.38
2-Year Public Colleges		33	188,940	126,630,650	18.23	12.54
4- Year Private Colleges		33	304,987	454,361,693	29.43	44.99
2-Year Private (Jr) College		33	24,441	29,043,113	2.36	2.88
Proprietary/Vocational/Tech		33	69,261	67,664,129	6.68	6.70
Hospital Schools of Nursing		33	7,027	8,764,551	0.68	0.87
Hospital Allied Health Prog	rams	33	2,457	4,366,429	0.24	0.43
Out-of-State Institutions	(84.4%)	33	20,109	12,188,144	1.94	1.21
Citizens		22	389,570	\$307,996,022	96.11	95.34
Permanent Residents		22	11,536	11,707,136	2.85	3.62
Refugees		22	3,247	2,595,712	0.80	0.80 ~
Others		22	4	4,557	0.00	0.00
Residency Status Unknown	(27.0%)	22	979	744,532	0.24	0.23
State Residents		44	1,281,167	\$1,173,152,220	99.92	99.89
Non-State Residents	(98.1%)	44	1,006	1,347,245	0.08	0.11
Dependent Students		27	727,700	\$706,267,471	70.56	71.43
Independent Students	(82.6%)	27	303,681	282,440,906	29.44	28.57
Males		21	141,452	\$127,094,309	42.05	41.71
Females		21	190,868	174,458,658	56.74	57.25
Sex Unknown	(25.5%)	21	4,048	3,155,419	1.20	1.04
White		15	198,960	\$171,717,147	60.09	57.12
Black		15	64,745	50,593,350	19.55	16.83
American Indian		15	2,935	2,655,262	0.89	0.88
Oriental		15	19,983	25,356,105	6.04	8.43
Spanish American		15	25,123	29,485,442	7.59	9.81
Race Unknown	(25.1%)	15	19,355	20,826,917	5.85	6.93
18-21 Years Old		13	301,227	\$290,161,916	66.46	68.77
22-25 Years Old		13	78,997	71,804,065	17.43	17.02
26 Years and Older		13	72,700	58,649,343	16.04	13.90
Age Unknown	(35.2%)	13	310	1,311,719	0.07	0.31
Gross Family Income	•					
\$ 0-\$ 8,999		30	261,284	\$222,905,493	40.12	37.02
\$ 9,000-\$14,999		30	118,630	113,594,679	18.21	18.86
\$15,000-\$19,999		30	81,828	80,186,457	12.56	13.32
\$20,000-\$24,999		30	67,588	64,137,610	10.38	10.65
\$25,000 & Above	(50.3%)		121,972	121,367,314	18.73	20.15
725,000 a 1250vc	,,,,,,					 .

Numbers in parentheses indicate the percentage of $\underline{\text{all}}$ $\underline{\text{dollars}}$ represented by the amounts in the samples.

SECTION VI TRENDS IN NEED-BASED UNDERGRADUATE AID DOLLAR VOLUMES BY STATES

This year is exceptional in its significant growth in state grant programs in virtually every state. The rates of growth are seldom this large and more often sporadic. Table 27 shows the dollar volumes of grant programs for 1979-80 through 1984-85 for 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. These data reveal diverse and interesting trends.

That the vast majority of state-supported need-based undergraduate aid is offered by only a few states has been noted throughout this report. This situation is not new. For the six-year period under analysis the 16 states with the largest programs have consistently awarded approximately 88 percent of all the need-based grants to undergraduates. Four of these states (California, Illinois, New York, and Pennsylvania) have awarded between 57 percent and 60 percent of all the grants during each of the six years. The dozen states with programs which annually award between \$10 million and \$50 million have made awards representing between 28 percent and 31 percent of the totals for each of the six years.

Aggregate aid from programs in all 52 states/territories grew by 45.3 percent between 1979-80 and 1984-85, from \$822.8 million to \$1.195 billion. Two-thirds of this growth of \$372.5 million occurred in just the past two-years. Between 1979-80 and 1982-83, the growth rate was only 16.4 percent, from \$822.8 million to \$958.0 millions or \$135.2 million. Between 1982-83 and 1984-85, the growth rate was 24.8 percent, from \$958 million to \$1.195 billion or \$237.3 million. So the majority of the growth in aggregate aid occurred between 1982-83 and 1984-85, in terms of dollars and percentages. As a matter of fact, 43 percent of the total aggregate growth, \$159.96 million of \$372.53 million, will occur just this year. The data in Table 27 show that annual aggregate growth rates were less than 8.2 percent until this year's 15.4 percent.

An examination of the five-year growth patterns of individual state's programs shows that, on the average, a growth of 46 percent was experienced. So aggregate aid from all states' programs and the average state program growth are about equal. However, there is a wide range of growth rates among the 52 states/territories.

For example, eight states more than doubled their program award volumes in the period. These states are, in order of their magnitudes of growth rates: Puerto Rico, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Louisiana, South Dakota, and Rhode Island. Only three of these states (Minnesota, Massachusetts, and Puerto Rico) rank in the top sixteen in award volumes, that is, awarding at least \$10 million in 1984-85. Aggregate award dollars in these states increased from \$41.8 million in 1979-80 to this year's \$122.2 million. About 59 percent of this growth, \$47.1 million of \$80.4 million, occurred between 1982-83 and the current year.

Another ten states experienced five-year growth rates of 50 percent or more. They are, in order of their magnitudes of growth rates: Vermont, Texas, Kentucky, Ohio, West Virginia, New York, New Mexico, Georgia, Arizona, and Washington. Only New York, Ohio, and Texas rank in the top sixteen in award

dollar volumes. About 60 percent of the total growth in aid from these ten states' programs, \$104.9 million of \$175.6, occurred between 1982-83 and the current year.

A dozen states had five-year growth rates of 30 to 49.9 percent. They are, in order of their rate magnitudes: Tennessee, Connecticut, Iowa, North Dakota, Florida, Nevada, Delaware, New Jersey, Illinois, North Carolina, Maryland, and Oregon. Only Florida, Illinois, Iowa, and New Jersey rank among the top sixteen in award dollar volumes. Again, about 60 percent of the total growth in aid from these dozen programs, \$40 million of \$66.7 million, occurred between 1982-83 and the current year.

California and South Carolina, both in the top sixteen, experienced growth rates of 28.2 percent and 25.6 percent, respectively. Of the \$25 million growth in aid from these states' programs, 79 percent or \$19.7 million occurred after 1982-83.

Nine states had growth rates of 10.0 percent to 19.9 percent. They are, in order of their rate magnitudes: Utah, Virginia, Wyoming, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, New Hampshire, and Missouri. Pennsylvania, Indiana, Michigan and Wisconsin rank in the top sixteen states. About 89 percent of the growth in aid from these programs, \$30 million of \$33.6 million, occurred after 1982-83.

Six states had growth rates of less than ten percent and five states experienced losses of grant program dollars between 1979-80 and 1984-85. The six states with modest growth rates are: Hawaii, Kansas, Alabama, Montana, Nebraska, and Alaska. Only Alabama and Kansas award significantly more than \$1 million per year. Alaska, Kansas, Nebraska, and Hawaii will this year award less than they did in at least one earlier year under analysis. Only Alabama will award more dollars in 1984-85 than in some earlier year.

The five states which will award less grant aid in 1984-85 than in 1979-80 are, in order of the largest percentage <u>losses</u>: Maine, Mississippi, District of Columbia, Colorado, and Idaho. The District of Columbia and Mississippi will award less money in 1984 than in 1982 but more than in 1983. The other four states will award more money in 1984 than in 1982 but less than they awarded in earlier years. Maine and Mississippi experienced the greatest percentage reductions in dollar volumes. Maine will award \$547,000 this year, down from 1979-80's \$1.36 million. Mississippi will award \$1.29 million, down from 1979-80's \$2.52 million.

It was observed that programs which were larger in 1979-80 generally have had larger growth rates since that time. Smaller programs, those which award less than \$5 million per year, generally have not grown at as large a rate as larger programs. While there are exceptions to this generalization the general pattern is for larger programs to grow at faster rates than smaller ones. This suggests that most state-supported need-based grant program activity will continue to take place in just a small number of states.

Twelve of the top sixteen states had growth rates in excess of 20 percent with six having growth rates in excess of 50 percent. Nine of the eleven states which award between \$5 million and \$10 million had growth rates in excess of 20 percent with five having experienced growth rates in excess of 50 percent. Among states with \$1 million to \$5 million annual volumes, seven of thirteen

grew by 20 percent or more with six having growth rates in excess of 50 percent. However, only four of the twelve states with program volumes of less than \$1 million grew by more than 20 percent and just South Dakota experienced a growth rate of more than 50 percent. Three of these twelve smallest states with the smallest programs grew by less than ten percent and three experienced dollar volume losses between 1979-80 and 1984-85.

It is noteworthy that virtually all the programs with growth rates of 10 percent or more experienced the greatest proportion of their growth since 1982-83, regardless of their program volumes or growth rate levels. This indicates that the more recent years of state program operations are the ones of the most widespread growth.

It is significant that only five of the 52 states/territories experienced linear program growth during the six years under analysis. All but these five states experienced a year or years of program growth and then a year or more of program reduction: Illinois, Minnesota, Iowa, Rhode Island, and Vermont. This suggests that, while the general trend among most states is in the direction of growth, growth is sporadic. Thus the widespread growth of grant dollar volumes of the past two years is most unusual but the longer-term trends of growth suggest that this recent pattern may not be sustained.

To put the recent program growth into another perspective, it is observed that 83 percent of the \$372.5 million growth in grant aid after 1979-80 was generated by programs in just ten states where the growth exceeded \$10 million. The growth in New York's programs alone accounted for 36 percent (\$133.2 million of \$372.5 million) of the total growth. Minnesota, Illinois, Massachusetts, and California each saw their programs grow by more than \$22 million for a total of \$107.6 million or 29 percent of the total growth. And Ohio, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Puerto Rico each increased their programs' dollar volumes by more than \$10 million for a total of \$66.7 million or 18 percent of the total growth. Therefore, the total dollar growth of aid in the other 42 states' programs was only \$65 million or about \$1.5 million per state for a five year period.

Finally, another perspective illustrates how state programs' relative growth in grant aid dollar volumes remain quite stable over time. For example, nine of the top ten states of 1984-85 were in the top ten in 1976-77.

Massachusetts has replaced Wisconsin, exchanging ranks of eighth and eleventh. Between 1976-77 and 1984-85, only 21 of the 52 states/territories identified in Table 27 changed their rankings in grant dollar volumes by three or more positions; for example, from sixth to ninth, or from eleventh to fourteenth. Ten of these 21 states moved up in position and eleven dropped in the ranking.

Puerto Rico increased its rank from thirty-third to sixteenth, Arkansas increased its rank from forty-fifth to thirty-second, New Mexico increased its rank from forty-seventh to fortieth; and Tennessee increased its rank from twenty-sixth to twentieth. Minnesota and Oklahoma increased their ranks by four positions and Massachusetts, Oregon, and Nevada increased their ranks by three positions. Alabama increased its rank by five positions, from forty-fifth to fortieth. Minnesota and Massachusetts were the only states with large programs to significantly increase their ranks.

The biggest loss in rank was experienced by Kansas, which dropped from nineteenth to twenty-ninth. Washington, the District of Columbia, Delaware,

and Maine lost six positions in their rankings. Washington and Kansas were in the top twenty states in 1976-77; they ranked, respectively, twenty-sixth and twenty-ninth in 1984-85. Georgia's rank slipped from twenty-eighth to thirty-third. Colorado dropped from the top twenty, from seventeenth to twenty-first. Wisconsin dropped from the top ten, from eighth to eleventh; Missouri's rank dropped from sixteenth to nineteenth. Two states with small program volumes, Mississippi and Idaho, each lost four positions in their rankings.

Table 27
Total Dollars of Awards for Comprehensive
Undergraduate Need-Based Scholarship and Grant Programs
By States, Grouped By Payment Dollar Volumes
1979-80 to 1984-85
(amounts in millions)

State	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	<u>1984-85</u>	5-Year Change	Pct Change
California	\$ 78.812	\$ 85.540	\$ 86.363	\$ 82.753	\$ 86.031	\$ 101.019	\$ 22.207	+28.2%
Illinois	83.052	85.573	89.634	93.515	104.384	111.100	28.048	+33.8%
New York	252.200	245.507	280.280	299.880	327.320	385.360	133.160	+52.8%
Pennsylvania	78.100	79.879	77.572	87.644	83.474	91.148	13.048	+16.7%
Sub-Total	\$492.164	\$496.499	\$533.869	\$563.792	\$601,209	\$688.627	\$196.463	+39.9%
Pct Change		+0.9%	+7.5%	+5.6%	+6.6%	+14.5%	+39.9%	35.50
Indiana	\$ 27.674	\$ 23.255	\$ 20.576	\$ 19.880	\$ 20.380	\$ 32.697	\$ 5.023	+18.2%
lowa	15.196	15.544	15.629	17.259	20.263	22.423	7.227	+47.6%
Massachusetts	13.650	16.365	17.071	16.750	25.655	38.663	25.013	+183.2%
Michigan	30.531	27.821	28.626	30.499	30.753	34.477	3.946	+12.9%
Minnesota	18.400	26.500	28.019	29.217	46.600	50.700	32.300	+175.5%
New Jersey	41.213	43.649	39.774	45.690	47.980	56.752	15.539	+37.7%
Ohio	28.100	27.402	31.864	35.077	41.974	45.205	17.105	+60.9%
Texas	13.851	12.981	18.697	21.811	21.438	24.787	10.936	+79.0%
Wisconsin	<u>21.631</u>	21.397	20.829	23.040	23.011	25.239	3.608	+16.7%
Sub-Total	\$210.246	\$214.914	\$221.085	\$239.223	\$278.054	\$ 330.943	\$120.697	+57.4%
Pct Change		+2.2%	+2.9%	+8.2%	+16.2%	+19.0%	+57.4%	
Florida	\$ 9.847	\$ 11.527	\$ 12.302	\$ 13.405	\$ 12.515	\$ 14.026	\$ 4.179	+42.4%
Puerto Rico	1.458	1.458	1.458	12.057	11.215	11.505	10.047	+689.1%
South Carolina	10.930	11.069	12.631	12.275	12.558	13.728	2.798	+25.6%
Sub-Total	\$ 22.235	\$ 24.054	\$ 26.391	\$ 37.737	\$ 36.288	\$ 39.259	\$ 17.024	+76.6%
Pct Change		+8.2%	+9.7%	+43.0%	-3.8%	+8.2%	+76.6%	, , , ,
Top Sixteen	\$ 724 . 645	\$735.467	\$781.34 5	\$840.752	\$915 . 551	¢1 050 020	#334 401	
Pct Change	Ψ, Σ, , Ο , Ο	+1.5%	+6.2%	+7.6%	•	\$1,058.829	\$334.184	
		11.50	TU. 40	T/.0%	+8.9%	+15.6%	+46.1%	

							5-Year	Pct
<u>State</u>	1979-80	<u>1980-81</u>	<u>1981-82</u>	1982-83	<u>1983-84</u>	1984-85	Change	Change
Colorado	\$ 9.755	\$ 6.364	\$ 7.278	\$ 7.485	\$ 7.341	\$ 8.740	\$ (1.015)	-10.4%
Connecticut	6.690	7.189	8.792	8.594	9.371	9.876	3.186	+47.6%
Kentucky	4.991	6.627	6.322	6.316	7.886	8.648	3.657	+73.3%
Maryland	5.552	5.741	5.921	5.718	5.459	7.361	1.809	+32.6%
Missouri	8.144	9.817	8.941	8.694	8.766	9.145	1.001	+12.3%
Oklahoma	2.265	2.041	2.265	6.605	6.561	6.655	4.390	+193.8%
Oregon	7.090	6.660	7.669	8.660	8.546	9.293	2.203	+31.1%
Rhode Island	3.792	4.616	5.936	6.696	6.745	7.737	3.945	+104.0%
Tennessee	5.978	6.475	6.439	7.221	6.700	8.833	2.855	+47.8%
Vermont	4.168	4.875	5.531	6.381	7.039	7.558	3.390	+81.3%
Washington	4.501	4.677	5.304	5.979	<u>7.530</u>	7.095	2.594	+57.6%
Sub-Total	\$ 62.926	\$ 65.082	\$ 70.398	\$ 78.349	\$ 81.944	\$ 90.941	\$ 28.015	+44.5%
Pct Change		+3.4%	+8.2%	+11.3%	+4.6%	+11.0%	+44.5%	
Alabama	\$ 2.131	\$ 1.427	\$.505	\$ 1.556	\$ 1.731	\$ 2.192	\$.061	+2.9%
Arizona	1.643	1.639	2.283	2.305	2.027	2.576	.933	+56.8%
Arkansas	1.174	2.046	1.603	1.866	2.226	4.221	3.047	+259.5%
Georgia	2.641	3.569	3.493	3.661	3.683	4.216	1.575	+59.6%
Kansas	4.613	5.100	5.004	4.865	4.664	4.841	.228	+4.9%
Louisiana	.823	1.062	2.220	1.396	1.693	2.163	1.340	+162.8%
Mississippi	2.516	1.302	1.321	1.297	1.015	1.286	(1.230)	-48.9%
Nebraska	1.074	1.196	1.119	1.062	.860	1.089	.015	+1.4%
New Mexico	.646	.720	.720	1.000	.695	1.025	.379	+58.7%
North Carolina	3.504	3.694	3.299	4.421	3.974	4.668	1.164	+33.2%
Utah	1.504	1.504	1.171	1.174	1.538	1.804	.300	+19.9%
Virginia	3.698	3.829	3.733	4.000	4.075	4.420	.722	+19.5%
West Virginia	3.022	2.462	4.300	4.044	4.376	<u>4.877</u>	1.855	+61.4%
Sub-Total	\$ 28.989	\$ 29.550	\$ 30.771	\$ 32.647	\$ 32.557	\$ 39.378	\$ 10.389	+35.8%
Pct Change		+1.9%	+4.1%	+6.1%	-0.3%	+21.0%	+35.8%	
=								

State .	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	5-Year Change	Pct Change
Alaska	\$.240	\$.312	\$.329	\$.226	\$.189	\$.241	\$.001	+0.4%
Delaware	.456	. 453	.544	.531	.548	.633	.177	+38.8%
Dist. of Columbia	1.073	.789	1.118	1.117	.759	.959	(.114)	-10.6%
Hawaii	.452	.516	.737	.550	.493	.493	.041	+9.1%
l daho	.507	.512	.496	.462	.378	.478	(.029)	-5.7%
Maine	1.360	1.179	.537	.518	.477	.547	(.813)	-59.8%
Montana	.392	.353	.390	.400	.353	.400	.008	+2.0%
Nevada	.291	.287	.150	.402	.327	.414	.123	+42.3%
New Hampshire	.526	.631	.592	.567	.536	.593	.067	+12.7%
North Dakota	.496	.585	.672	.699	.635	.713	.217	+43.8%
South Dakota	.221	.427	.431	.531	.440	.531	.310	+140.3%
Wyoming	.251	.052	.049	.204	. 204	.204	(.047)	+18.7%
Sub-Total	\$ 6.265	\$ 6.096	\$ 6.045	\$ 6.207	\$ 5.339	\$ 6.206	\$ (.059)	-0.9%
Pct Change		-2.7%	-0.8%	+2.7%	-14.0%	+16.2%	-0.9%	
36 States	\$ 98.180	\$100.728	\$107.214	\$117.203	\$ 119.840	\$ 136 . 525	¢ 20 2hE	
Pct Change	4 50.100	+2.6%	+6.4%	+9.3%			\$ 38.345	
. oo onongo		. 2.00	TU. 40	77.3%	+2.2%	+13.9%	+39.1%	
Grand Total	\$822.825	\$836.195	\$888.559	\$957.955	\$1,035.391	\$1,195.354	\$372.529	
Pct Change		+1.6%	+6.3%	+7.8%	+8.1%	+15.4%	+45.3%	

SECTION VII NASSGP OFFICERS AND DIRECTORY

1984-85 NASSGP DIRECTORY

Association Officers

President: H. Kenneth Shook, Maryland

President-Elect: John E. Madigan, Rhode Island

Treasurer: R. Ross Erbschloe, Arizona

Secretary: Debra Wiley, Colorado

Past Presidents

1966-67 Arthur S. Marmaduke (Californ	nia))
---------------------------------------	------	---

- 1967-68 Joseph D. Boyd (Illinois)
- 1968-69 Ron Jursa (Michigan)
- 1969-70 Kenneth R. Reeher (Pennsylvania)
- 1970-71 Elizabeth L. Ehart (New Jersey)
- 1971-72 Jeffrey M. Lee (Oregon)
- 1972-73 Walter G. Hannahs (New York)
- 1973-74 Richard H. Johnston (Wisconsin)
- 1974-75 Ronald J. Iverson (Vermont)
- 1975-76 Hugh Voss (Missouri) and Stan Broadway (North Carolina)
- 1976-77 Stan Broadway (North Carolina)
- 1977-78 Haskell Rhett (New Jersey)
- 1978-79 Kenneth R. Reeher (Pennsylvania)
- 1979-80 Ron Jursa (Michigan)
- 1980-81 Eileen D. Dickinson (New York) and Ernest E. Smith (Florida)
- 1981-82 Ernest E. Smith (Florida)
- 1982-83 Barry M. Dorsey (Virginia)
- 1983-84 Gary K. Weeks (Oregon)

1984-85 NASSGP DIRECTORY

ALABAMA

Alabama Commission on Higher Education
One Court Square, Suite 221
Montgomery, Alabama 36197-0001
Telephone: 205-269-2700
Tom A. Roberson
Deputy Executive Director
for Student Assistance
Dr. William H. Wall
Associate Director for Grants
Jan B. Hilyer

Staff Assistant for Student Assistance

ALASKA

Alaska Commission on Postsecondary
Education
Pouch FP, 400 Willoughby Avenue
Juneau, Alaska 99811
Telephone: 907-465-2854
Jane Maynard
Director for Special Programs
Billie Jean Hall
Awards Supervisor

ARIZONA

Arizona Commission for Postsecondary
Education
1937 West Jefferson Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85009
Telephone: 602-255-3109
Dr. Ross R. Erbschloe
Executive Director
Louie Bustillo
Project Director

ARKANSAS

Arkansas Department of Higher Education
1301 West Seventh Street
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201
Telephone: 501-371-1441
Elizabeth N. Taylor
Coordinator
Larry Lanes
Assistant Coordinator
Marie Dow
Analyst

CALIFORNIA

Student Aid Commission 1410 Fifth Street Sacramento, California 94814 Telephone: 916-445-0880 Arthur S. Marmaduke Director Dortha L. Morrison Deputy Director Peter D. Prentiss Program Manager/Cal Grant A Program Albert Salgado Program Manager/Cal Grant B Program Linda Moore Program Supervisor/Cal Grant C Program Carlos Hernandez Program Supervisor/Graduate Fellowship Program Jose Michel Program Supervisor/Bilingual Teacher Grant Program Rick Reinhardt Associate Director/Guaranteed Student Loan Program Donald Hills Research Director Lois McNally Information Officer

COLORADO

Colorado Commission on Higher Education
1300 Broadway, Second Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203
Telephone: 303-866-2748
Debra Wiley
Director for Student Services
Blenda J. Wilson
Executive Director
John Ceru
Student Aid Program Review Assistant

CONNECTICUT

Connecticut Department of Higher Education 61 Woodland Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06105
Telephone: 203-566-2618
John J. Siegrist
Director of Student Financial Aid
Dennis J. Williams
Staff Associate, Financial Aid

DELAWARE

Delaware Postsecondary Education Commission 820 North French Street, 4th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: 302-571-3240 Marilyn Anderson-Baker Scholarship Coordinator Dr. John F. Corrozi Executive Director

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Office of Postsecondary Education,
Research and Assistance (OPERA)
1331 H Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202-727-3685
Eloise S. Turner
Chief, Office of Postsecondary
Education, Research and
Assistance (OPERA)
Jane E. Anderson
Student Financial Assistance Specialist

FLORIDA

Office of Student Financial Assistance
Florida Department of Education
Knott Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: 904-488-4095
Robert D. Henker
'Director
M. Elizabeth Sweeney, D.P.A.
Director of State Programs
Mary B. Willis

GEORGIA

Program Coordinator

Georgia Student Finance Authority
2082 East Exchange Place, Suite 200
Tucker, Georgia 30084
Telephone: 404-493-5402
Donald E. Payton
Executive Director
Robert G. McCants
Director, State Loans and
Grants Division
Ralph D. Roberts
Director, Administrative Services
Division
Ronald P. Lauver
Director, Computer Services
Division

HAWAII

Hawaii State Postsecondary Education
Commission
2444 Dole Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
Telephone: 808-948-8213 or
808-948-8294
Dr. Fujio Matsuda
Administrative Officer
Carl H. Makino
Educational Specialist
Norman Yamamura

IDAHO

Fiscal Officer

Office of the State Board of Education
Len B. Jordan Building, Room #307
650 West State Street
Boise, Idaho 83720
Telephone: 208-334-2270
Dr. Charles D. McQuillen
Executive Director
Ms. Kim A. Philipps
Public Information Officer

ILLINOIS

Illinois State Scholarship Commission
Executive Offices:
525 West Jefferson Street, Suite 111
Springfield, Illinois 62702
Telephone: 217-782-6767
Larry E. Matejka
Executive Director
Thomas G. Mortenson
Director, Research, Planning and
Analysis
Robert Clement
Director, Agency Relations

Illinois State Scholarship Commission
Program Operations:
102 Wilmont Road
Deerfield, Illinois 60015
Telephone: 312-948-8500
Dr. Keith Jepsen
Deputy Executive Director
Thomas Wenman
Director, Client Services

INDIANA

State Student Assistance Commission
964 North Pennsylvania Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Telephone: 317-232-2350
Edward N. Lutz
Executive Director
Sharon Miller
Deputy Director
Dennis Obergfell
Guaranteed Student Loan-Program
Director
Louis Fontaine
Scholarship/Grant-Program Director

IOWA

Towa College Aid Commission
201 Jewett Building
Ninth and Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50309
Telephone: 515-281-3501
James E. Shay
Executive Director
Gary W. Nichols
Assistant Executive Director
John W. Heisner
Associate Director, Scholarship
and Grant Division

KANSAS

Kansas Board of Regents Suite 609 Capitol Tower Eighth and Harrison Topeka, Kansas 66603 Telephone: 913-296-3517 Ms. Clantha McCurdy Program Associate

KENTUCKY

Kentucky Higher Education Assistance
Authority
1050 U.S. 127 South
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Telephone: 502-564-7990
Paul P. Borden
Executive Director
Londa Lewis Wolanin
Executive Assistant
Roger Tharp
Director of Program Administration
Edwin C. Manzer
Director of Fiscal Affairs

LOUISIANA

Governor's Special Commission on Education Services
P.O. Box 44127
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804
Telephone: 504-925-3630
Evelyn Y. Henry
Executive Director/Coordinator
Billie R. Ritter
Fiscal Officer
Mona H. Durham
Director, Scholarship Division

\mathtt{MAINE}

Department of Educational and
Cultural Services, Division of Higher
Education Services
State House, Station #23
Augusta, Maine 04333
Telephone: 207-289-2181
Nancy E. Martin
Consultant, Main Student Incentive
Scholarship Program

MARYLAND

Maryland State Scholarship Board
2100 Guilford Avenue
Baltimore, Maryland 21218
Telephone: 301-659-6420
Dr. H. Kenneth Shook
Executive Director
Martha Markland
Assistant to Executive Director

MASSACHUSETTS

Massachusetts State Scholarship Office of the Board of Regents of Higher Education 330 Stuart Street Boston, Massachusetts 02116 Telephone: 617-727-9420 Elizabeth K. Fontaine Assistant Director, Scholarship Office Dr. Charles Souris Director, Scholarship Office

MICHIGAN

Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority

P.O. Box 30008

Lansing, Michigan 48909

Telephone: 517-373-3394

Ronald J. Jursa

Executive Director

Jean Maday

Supervisor, Scholarships/Grants Program

Lee Peterson

Supervisor, Support Services Program

Minnesota Higher Education Coordinating Board

550 Cedar Street

Suite 400, Capitol Square Building

St. Paul, Minnesota 55106

Telephone: 612-296-9656

Dave Longanecker

Executive Director

H. James Leskee

Director, Division of Financial Aid

Arlon Haupert

Director, Administrative Services

Cheryl Maplethorpe

Manager, State Financial Aid Programs

Board of Trustees of State Institutions of Higher Learning

P.O. Box 2336

Jackson, Mississippi 39225-2336

Telephone: 601-982-6168

Jesse M. Martin

Financial Aid Officer

Dottie C. Strain

Assistant Financial Aid Officer

Ronnie Brinkley

Financial Aid Accountant

Nancy D'Angelo

Administrative Assistant

Earline T. Sutton

Administrative Assistant

MISSOURI

Coordinating Board for Higher Education

101 Adams Street

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Telephone: 314-751-3940

Dan Peterson

Senior Associate for Student Financial

Aid Programs

Margrace Parchman

Student Financial Aid Associate

Marie Anderson

Student Financial Aid Associate

Madelyn Peregrim

Student Financial Aid Associate

MONTANA

Montana University System

33 South Last Chance Gulch

Helena, Montana 59620

Telephone: 406-444-6570

William J. Lannan

Director of Special Projects

NEBRASKA

Nebraska Coordinating Commission

for Postsecondary Education

301 Centennial Mall South

P.O. Box 95005

Lincoln, Nebraska 68509

Telephone: 402-471-2847

Sue Gordon-Gessner

Assistant Director

NEVADA

University of Nevada System

405 Marsh Avenue

Reno, Nevada 89509

Telephone: 702-784-4958

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary, Board of Regents

Dr. Robert M. Bersi

Chancellor

NEW HAMPSHIRE

New Hampshire Postsecondary Education

Commission

61 South Spring Street

Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Telephone: 603-271-2555

James A. Busselle

Executive Director

NEW JERSEY

New Jersey Department of Higher Education Office of Student Assistance 4 Quakerbridge Plaza, CN 540 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 Telephone: 609-292-8770 Dr. Haskell Rhett Assistant Chancellor William C. Nester Deputy Assistant Chancellor Brett E. Lief Director, Tuition Aid Grants and Scholarship Programs Richard J. Innocenzi Director, Higher Education Assistance Authority Darwin P. Williams Executive Director, Educational Opportunity Fund Program Trudy J. Giemza Director, Office of Information Systems Lutz Berkner Coordinator, Student Assistance Research William R. Griggs Public Information Officer

NEW MEXICO

New Mexico Board of Educational Finance Administrative Agent: New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation 2301 Yale Boulevard Southeast Building F Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106 Telephone: 505-843-7010 Ted Martinez Executive, Secretary - New Mexico Board of Educational Finance (NMBEF) Porfirio Diaz Program Administration - New Mexico Board of Educational Finance (NMBEF) John Merrett President - New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation (NMEAF) Sarah S. Branch Vice President/Operations - New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation (NMEAF)

NEW YORK

New York Higher Education Services
Corporation
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12255
Telephone: 518-474-5592
Dr. Dolores E. Cross
President
Francis J. Hynes
Vice President, Grants and Scholarships
Dennis Cabral
Vice President, Research and Policy
Analysis

NORTH CAROLINA

North Carolina State Education
Assistance Authority
P.O. Box 2688
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27515-2688
Telephone: 919-549-8614
Stan C. Broadway
Executive Director
Charles F. George, Jr.
Associate Director

NORTH DAKOTA

North Dakota Student Financial Assistance Program State Capitol, 10th Floor Bismarck, North Dakota 58505-0154 Telephone: 701-224-4114 Clark J. Wold Assistant Commissioner

OHIO

Ohio Board of Regents
3600 State Office Tower
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: 614-466-7420
Charles W. Seward III
Director
Thomas L. Rudd
Assistant Director
Ellen Murphy
Assistant Administrator
Sue Minturn
Assistant Administrator

OKLAHOMA Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education 500 Education Building State Capitol Complex Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 Telephone: 405-521-2444 Larry Nutter Vice-Chancellor for Student Affairs Sheila Joyner Director, Oklahoma Tuition

Aid Grant Program

OREGON

Oregon State Scholarship Commission
1445 Willamette Street, Suite 9
Eugene, Oregon 97401
Telephone: 503-686-4166
 Jeffrey M. Lee
 Executive Director
 Douglas L. Collins
 Deputy Director
 Thomas F. Turner
 Director, Special Services
 James A. Beyer
 Director, Grant Programs

PENNSYLVANIA

Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency Towne House Apartments 660 Boas Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17102 Telephone: 717-257-2500 Kenneth R. Reeher Executive Director Thomas R. Fabian Executive Deputy Director Gary D. Smith Deputy for Grants Barbara T. Williams Deputy for Federal and Special Affairs James L. McKelvey Special Assistant for Planning Thomas J. Blackburn Chief, Fiscal Services Jerry S. Davis Director of Research and Policy Analysis

RHODE ISLAND

Rhode Island Higher Education Assistance
Authority
274 Weybosset Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02903
Telephone: 401-277-2050
John E. Madigan
Executive Director
Anthony M. Ferraro
Chief, Scholarships and Grant Division
Marjorie A. Keefe
Administrative Officer

SOUTH CAROLINA

South Carolina Higher Education Tuition
Grants Agency
411 Keenan Building
P.O. Box 11638
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
Telephone: 803-758-7070
Edward M. Shannon III
Agency Director
Julianne C. Price
Student Development Coordinator

SOUTH DAKOTA

Department of Education and Cultural
Affairs
700 North Illinois Street
Kneip Building
Pierre, South Dakota 57501
Telephone: 605-773-3134
Michael A. Card
Grant Coordinator
Dale Hegg
Administrative Services Officer
Roxie Thielen
Administrative Secretary

TENNESSEE

Tennessee Student Assistance Corporation
B-3 Capitol Towers
Nashville, Tennessee 37219
Telephone: 615-741-1346
Wayne Brown
Interim Executive Director
Ruth Vincent
Assistant Director
Carol Hooper
Programs Administrator
Carol Furey
Fiscal Officer

TEXAS

Coordinating Board, Texas College and
University System
P.O. Box 12788, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711
Telephone: 512-475-8169
Mack C. Adams
Assistant Commissioner for Student
Services
Jane Innis Caldwell
Director of Grant Programs
William Stewart
Director of Special Programs

UTAH

Utah State Board of Regents
807 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84108
Telephone: 801-533-5617
Lou Jean Flint
Research Analyst and Student Financial
Aid Program Officer
Arvo Van Alstyne
Commissioner of Higher Education
Chalmers Gail Norris
Associate Commissioner for Budget
and Finance

VERMONT

Vermont Student Assistance Corporation
P.O. Box 2000, Champlain Mill
Winooski, Vermont 05404
Felephone: 802-655-9602
Ronald J. Iverson
Executive Director
Donald R. Vickers
Assistant Executive Director
Britta Anderson
Director of Research and Policy Analysis
Edward P. Franzeim, Jr.
Director, Grant and Financial Aid Services
Pamela Chisholm
Assistant Director, Grant Program

VIRGINIA

State Council of Higher Education
Office of Financial Aid
James Monroe Building
101 North 14th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: 804-225-2600
Dr. Gordon K. Davies
Director
Dr. Barry M. Dorsey
Associate Director, Institutional
Approval, Student Aid, Federal
Affairs, Special Projects
Dr. David J. Carr
Coordinator, Financial Aid Programs

WASHINGTON

Council for Postsecondary Education 908 East Fifth Avenue Olympia, Washington 98504 Telephone: 206-753-3571 Denis J. Curry Deputy Coordinator Shirley A. Ort Associate Coordinator Linda LaMar Senior Program Associate Terri May Program Specialist - State Need Grant Program Marilyn Sjolund Program Specialist - Math/Science Loan Program; State Work Study Program Cindy Lushene Program Specialist - State Work Study Program

WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia Board of Regents
950 Kanawha Boulevard, East
Charleston, West Virginia 25301
Telephone: 304-348-2101
John F. Thralls
Director of Student and Educational
Services
Robert E. Long
Grant Program Coordinator
Betty L. MacQueen
Grant Progam Administrator

WISCONSIN

State of Wisconsin Higher Educational
Aids Board
25 West Main Street, P.O. Box 7885
Madison, Wisconsin 53707
Telephone: 608-267-2206
Robert H. DeZonia
Deputy Executive Secretary
Donovan K. Fowler
Director State Programs

WYOMING

Wyoming Community College Commission 1720 Carey Avenue Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 Telephone: 307-777-7763 Russell A. Hansen Executive Secretary

PUERTO RICO

Council on Higher Education

Box F, U.P.R. Station

Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

Telephone: 809-751-1136

Ramon Burgos-Diaz

Associate Executive Secretary