### NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS

### NASSGP

<del>\*\*\*</del>\*<del>\*\*</del>\*\*<del>\*\*</del>\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

10 th annual survey 1978-79 academic year

State/Territory Funded Scholarship/Grant Programs to Undergraduate Students with Financial Need to Attend Public or Private Post-secondary Educational Institutions.

Prepared by:

Joseph D. Boyd Executive Director Illinois State Scholarship Commission

Sybil E. Francis
Asst. to the Exec. Dir./Research Planning
Illinois State Scholarship Commission

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

rages	
1	Historical Data: Eight Year History - Dollar Value of Awards in 5 States With Largest Programs
1	Summary Data of Programs By Sector: Public and Private
1	Administrative Cost Data
1	S.S.I.G. Program Information: History of Federal State Student Incentive Grant Programs and State Funded Partnership in Scholarship/Grant Need Based Undergraduate Assistance
2-5	Comparative Report - 1977-78 abd 1978-79; Dollars, Number of Awards, and Related Data by States
6	Percentage of Awards and Dollars by Sector by Program
7	Comparison of State Award Dollars and Percentage Federal Dollars
8	Comparison of All 1978-79 Number and Dollars of State Undergraduate Need Based Awards
9	Index of Effort by State
9	Ranked Mean Award by State
10	Change in Number of Awards by State From 1977-78 to 1978-79
10	Change in Total State Funding for State Awards from 1977-78 to 1978-79
11	Competitive Programs: Awards and Dollars
12	Competitive Programs: Description of Academic Potential Measurement
13	State Agency's S.S.I.G. Involvement
14	Federal S.S.I.G. Applied to Specific State Programs
15	Responsibility for State Administration
16-17	Need-Based Undergraduate, Gift and Aid Programs Administered by

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pages</u>	
17-19	Programs Administered Through Other Agencies
19-21	Other Programs Administered by Respondents
21	Non-Funded Programs
22	State Advisory Committees
23-47	Program Characteristics
48-49	Agency's Definition of a Self-Supporting Student
50	Is the Agency Receiving Basic Grant Application Information Regularly? How is the Data Used?
51	What Impact/Decisions Relating to Federal BEOG Has the Agency Made?
52	Is the Existence of Basic Grants Causing Any Significant Change in State-Funded Gift Aid Programs?
53	How Does the Agency Attempt to Influence the Overall Packaging of Student Aid Awards?
54-55	What Decisions Have Been Made to Highlight the Purpose of Reasonable Choice?
56	What Decisions Have Been Made to Highlight the Purpose of Access?
57	Changes in Programs and/or Operations
58	Planned Changes in Programs and/or Operations
59	Have National Task Force Recommendations Had Any Impact on the Agency's Decisions for 1978-79 or 1979-80 Award Years?
60	What Are the Biggest Unresolved Philosophic Issues Faced by States?
61	Comments From Individual States
62-68	1978-79 NASSGP Directory

# HISTORY SINCE 1969-70 OF STATE FUNDED NEED BASED UNDERGRADUATE SCHOLARSHIP/GRANT PROGRAMS

YEAR	# OF STATES/ TERRITORIES	DOLLAR AWARDS *(MILLIONS)				
1969 - 70	19	470,000	\$199.9			
1970 - 71	21	535,200 (up 13.7%)	236.3 (up 18.2%)			
1971 - 72	23	604,000 (up 12.9%)	258.6 (up 13.7%)			
1972 - 73	29	661,700 (up 9.6%)	315.5 (up 17.5%)			
1973 - 74	31	733,300 (up 10.8%)	364.2 (up 15.4%)			
1974 - 75	37	813,100 (up 10.9%)	440.8 (up 21.0%)			
1975 - 76	48	901,900 (up 10.9%)	510.2 (up 15.7%)			
1976 - 77	55	1,104,400 (up 22.5%)	651.4 (up 27.7%)			
1977 - 78	56	1,161,400 (up 5.2%)	737.0 (up 1.31%)			
1978 - 79	57	1,242,500 (up 7.0%)	828.9 (up 12.5%)			

\* All figures except '78 - '79 are known enrolled winners with award dollars. '78 - '79 are best estimates. All figures are rounded and include both state and federal (SSIGP) dollars.

Beginning with the 1974-75 academic year, the federal State Student Incentive Grant Program (SSIGP) provided funds to assist in the establishment of new and the expansion of existing State Student Scholarship/Grant Programs based upon financial need for at least half-time study in undergraduate programs.

Growth represented since 1974 - 75 in the historical summary table above, to a large degree, is a response to the new SSIG Program which permits up to a S1,500 annual student award (equal shares of \$750 Federal/State) in this new form of State/Federal partnership.

Funding levels to date for the SSIGP are:

1974 - 75       \$ 19.0 million         1975 - 76       20.0 million         1976 - 77       44.0 million         1977 - 78       60.0 million         1978 - 79       63.75 million         1979 - 80       76.75 million	

Further information of the SSIGP, a new and most significant State/Federal delivery system of providing both access and reasonable choice to financially needy students, can be obtained from the State Student Incentive Grant Program, Bureau of Student Financial Assistance, U. S. Office of Education, Regional Office Building 3, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Room 4100, Washington, D. C. 20202. Telephone (202) 245-9717

#### HISTORICAL DATA

### EIGHT YEAR HISTORY - DOLLAR VALUE OF AWARDS IN 5 STATES WITH LARGEST PROGRAMS

#### IN DOLLARS IN 1971-72

#### PERCENTAGE OF ALL STATES' AWARD DOLLARS

State New York Pennsylvania Illinois New Jersey California All of Above	72 - 73 23.4 19.8 16.1 7.1 7.8	73 - 74 21.4 17.4 14.7 6.9 8.6	74 - 75 22.0 16.2 13.3 5.6 9.5	75 - 76 25.3 13.3 13.4 4.2 10.0	76 - 77 32.4 10.0 10.7 3.9 10.5	77 - 78 30.8 9.5 10.5 3.9 10.6	78 - 79 31.9 9.1 10.2 4.4 10.1					
All of Above	14.20	69.0%	00.0%	00.2.2	07.58	03.3%	05.72					
SUMMARY DATA ALL STATES' PROGRAMS BY SECTOR												
	<u> 1976-</u>	<u>77</u>		L I C 977-78		1978-7	<u>9</u>					
Awards to Students	678,60	0 (62.0%)	73:	5,458 (61.8	٤)	782,252	(63.0%)					
Dollars to Students	\$298,250,00	0 (46.2%)	\$338,27	5,000 (45.3	5)	\$374,887,000	(45.2%)					
Mean Award Value	\$ 44	.0	:	\$ 460		\$ 479						
•	1976-	<u>77</u>		V A T E 1977-78		<u> 1978-7</u>	9					
Awards to Students	416,70	00 (38.0%)	4	54,815 (38.2	25)	460,214	(37.0%)					
Dollars to Students	\$347,150,00	00 (53.8%)	\$407,7	38,000 (54.	7%)	\$453,996,000	(54.8%)					
Mean Award Value	\$ 83	33		\$ 896		\$ 986						
		. ,	ADMINISTRAT	IVE COST DAT	ΓΑ							
COST PER ENROL	LED STUDENT	WITH			STRATIVE	SHIP/GRANT P COSTS IS TO DS VALUE						
1974-75 Award		12.00		197	74-75 Awar		.09%					

COST PER ENROLLED STUDENT STATE AWARD	r with	ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS IS AWARDS VALUE	TO TOTAL
1974-75 Award Year	\$12.00	1974-75 Award Year	2.09%
1975-76 Award Year	\$14.06	1975-76 Award Year	2.43%
1976-77 Award Year	\$11.97	1976-77 Award Year	2.12%
1977-78 Award Year	\$14.48	1977-78 Award Year	2.45%
1978-79 Award Year	\$14.18	1978-79 Award Year	2.25%

### STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM INFORMATION

HISTORY OF FEDERAL (STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAMS) AND STATE FUNDED PARTNERSHIP IN SCHOLARSHIP/GRANT NEED BASED UNDERGRADUATE ASSISTANCE

YEAR	FEDERAL DOLLARS PERCENTAGE SSIG	STATE DOLLARS PERCENTAGE
1974-75	4.29%	95.71%
1975-76	3.88%	96.12%
1976-77	6.75%	93.25%
1977-78	8.03%	91.97%
1978-79	7.69%	92.31%

# DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS AND RELATED DATA BY STATES FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE STATE (COMPETITIVE AND NON-COMPETITIVE) SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS OF FINANCIAL AID BASED UPON NEED FOR RESIDENTS OF THE STATE TO ATTEND EITHER PUBLIC OR NON-PUBLIC COLLEGES OR UNIVERSITIES

#### COMPARATIVE REPORT

FOR 1977-78 AND 1978-79\* ACADEMIC YEARS

\*78-79 Data Is Best Estimate (All Data Includes SSIG)

<u>State/Territory</u> ALABAMA	# of Moneta 1977-78	ry Awards 1978-79	Percentag 1977-78		Payout ( (Mill 1977-78	ions)	Percentage 1977-78	e of Total 1978-79	Average Amou 1977-78		Amount of Dollars/Co to 1970 Populat 1977-78	ents 6 ion
Student Assistance Program	2,453	7,238		.58	\$546	\$ 2.171	.07		\$ 223	\$ 300	\$ .15	\$ .59
ALASKA			01		141	150		00	1 567	1 570	25	27
Scholarships	90			<b>0</b> L	141 _			.02	1,567_	1,579	,35	.37
Incentive Grant Program	1,958	2,200	.17	. 18	1.201	1.500	.16	. 18	613	682	.53	.67
ARKANSAS											',	
State Scholarship Program	2,289	3,200				.747		.09	218	233	.24	
CALIFORNIA  Educational Opportunity Grants					•							
.State Scholarship	39,964	39,871			55.874	55.584						
.College Opportunity Grants	15.498	17,917			19.804	24.511						
.Occupational Ed. & Training Grants		2,166			2.713	3.478	10.64	10.00	1 202	1 208	2.64	2.00
All Programs COLORADO	57,533	59,954	4.95	4.83	78.391	83.573	10.64	10.08	1,363	1,394	3.64	3.88
Student Grants	12,000	12,000			8.245	8.286		-				
Student Incentive Grants	1,500	2,400			1.526	1.670						
All Programs	<u> 13,500</u>	14,400	1,16_	1.16	9.771	<u>9.956</u>	1.33	1.20	724	691	3.79	3.87
CONNECTICUT	0.704	2 200			0.000	2 500						
State Scholarship Program Supplemental Grant Program	2,734 1,919	3,292 2,955			2.022 .899	2.560 .990						
Higher Education Grant Program	846	433			.280	.130				,		
Contracted Stud.(Ind. Colleges)	4,500	5,300			3.600	4.230						
All Programs	9,999	11,980	.86	.96	6.80]	7.910	.92	.95	680	660	2.19	2.55
DELAWARE Higher Education Scholarships	294	300			.200	.200						
Incentive Grants	294 500	475			.340	.341						
All Programs	794	775	.07	.06	.540	.541	.07	.07	680	<b>69</b> 8	.93	. 93
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				.05	.873	.873	.12	.11	1.099	1,343	1.25	1.25
Incentive Grants	794	650	.07			.0/3		···				
FLORIDA	7,802	9,572	.67	.77	8.290	9.151	1.12	1.10	1,063	956	.99	1.10
Student Assistance Grants GEORGIA	7,000					,						CA
Incentive Scholarship Program	8,000	11,000	.69	.89	2.807	3.175	38	.38	351	289	.56	.64
HVMVII		4 000	20	20	.298	.496	.04	.06	101	103	.34	.56
Incentive Grant Program	2,962	4,800	.26	39	.230							
IDANO Incentive Grant Program	686	800	.06	.06	.360	.412	.05	.05	525	515	.43	.49
ILL INOIS	200	, 200		- · · ·				10.17	002	862	6.62	7.53
Monetary Award Program	92,291	97,725	7.95	7.87	74.150	84.275	10.06	10.17	803	802	0.02	
INDIANA	16 200	16 000			13.350	13.500						
State Scholarships	16,320 5,090	15,920 5,500			3.700	4.000						
Educational Grants Freedom of Choice Grants	5,200	6,100			2.600	3.600						2.07
All Programs	26,610	27,520		2.21	19,650	21.100	2.67	2.55	738	767	3.70	3.97
and the same of th	,	. ,									4	

				1			'				•		
•													
									•				
											•	•	
10WA	1,104	1,100			.599	.661							
Scholarship Program Tuition Grant Program	9,676	9,700			10.631	12.180							
Yoc./Tech Tuition Grants	1,097	2,000		,	.295	.700		•					
All Programs	11,877	12,800	1.02	1.03	11.525	13.541	1.56	1.63	970	1,058	4.01	4.71	
KANSAS	'												
Scholarship Program	1,885	1,500			. 937	.740							
Tultion Grant Programs	3,731	3,870			3.498	3.675				505	1.03	1 00	
All Programs	5,616	5,370	.48	.43	4.435	4.415	.60	.53	790	822	1.93	1.92	
KENTUCKY	•						F0	FC	247	387	1.12	1.36	
State Grants	11,048	12,050	.95	.97	3.838	4.659	.52	.56	347		1.12	1.30	
LOUISIANA					ror	£00	.08	07	375	375	.15	. 15	
Incentive Grants	1,588	1,600	.14	.13	.595	.600		.07	3/3				
MAINE		7 740			.460	1.091							
Incentive Scholarships	1,112	1,740			.071	0				•			
Voc./Tech Program	450	-0-	.13	.14	531	1.091	.07	.13	340	627	.50	1.02	
All Programs	1,562	1,740				1.071					<del>_</del>		
MARYLAND	4,200	4,800			2.117	2.300							
General State Scholarships	6,178	6,400			2.372	2.700							
Senatorial Scholarships	$\frac{0,170}{10,378}$	11,200	.89	.90	4.489	5.000	.61	.60	433	446	1.09	1.21	
All Programs MASSACHUSETTS	10,570	17,200	• 9.7	•		233222							
General Scholarship Program	23,564	26,000	•		14.212	15.162							
Nursing Scholarship Program	410	340			. 125	.130							
Special Ed. Scholarship Program	36	40			.013	.015							
Consortium Scholarship Program	260	250			.150	.150							
Bus./Trade Non-Degree Tuition Program	207	220			.099	.100				F70	2 52	2.69	
All Programs	24,477	26,850	2.11	2.16	14.599	15.557	1.98	1.88	596	579	2.52		
MICHIGAN		,							•				
Competitive Scholarships	18,003	18,250			14.267	15.012							
Tuition Grant Program	12,665	12,450			13.432	13.700 28.712	3.76	3.46	903	935	3.04	3.15	
All Programs	30,668	30,700	2.64	2.47	27.699	20./12	3.70	J.40					
MINNESOTA	0 075	10 077			7.078	9.009							
Scholarship Program	9,276	10,877 19,337			10.814	17.818							
Grant Program	16,217 25,493	30,214	2,20	2.43	17.892	26.827	2,43	3.24	702	888	4.53	6.78	
All Programs		30,614	r.r.		1,,0,,							1 47	
MISSISSIPPI Incentive Grant	1,923	2,200	.17	. 18	1.064	1.109	.14	.13_	553	504 .	.45	1.47	
MISSOURI	19323											1.83	
Student Grant Program	15,750	26,000	1.36	2.09	6.330	8.765	.86	1.06	402	337	1.32	1.83	
MONTANA STATE Program										200		.46	
Incentive Grants	1,320	1,200	.11	.10	.330	.351	.04	.04	250	293	.44		
NEBRASKA								10	007	FOE	26	.54	
Incentive Grants	1,591	1,600	. 14	.13	.409	.840	.06	.10	257	525	.26		
NEVADA					11-	400	02	.05	527	800	. 28	.65	
Incentive Grants	328	500	.03	.04	.173	.400	.02		<u> </u>	000			
NEW HAMPSHIRE			0.7	00	270	Enn	.05	.06	465	500	.45	.60	
Incentive Program	800	1,000	.07	.08	.372	.500		.,00	403				

DOLLARS AND NUMBER OF AWARDS AND RELATED DATA BY STATES FOR COMPREHENSIVE UNDERGRADUATE STATE (COMPETITIVE AND NON-COMPETITIVE SCHOLARSHIP AND GRANT PROGRAMS OF FINANCIAL AID BASED UPON NEED FOR RESIDENTS OF THE STATE TO ATTEND EITHER PUBLIC OR NON-PUBLIC COLLEGES OR UNIVERSITIES

COMPARATIVE REPORT FOR 1977 - 78 AND 1978-79\* ACADEMIC YEARS \*78-79 Data is Best Estimate (All Data Includes SSIG)

State/Territory	of Monetar 1977-78	ry Awards 1978-79	Percentage	of Total 1978-79	Payout Do (Million 1977-78		Percentage 1977-78	e of Total 1978-79	Average Anno 1977-78	unt	Amount Dollars, to 19 Popula 1977-78	Cents 976 Lion
NEW JERSEY Tuition Aid Grants Garden State Scholarship Program Educ. Opportunity Fund Program (Below Being Phased Out)	0 0 12,379	40,593 3,800 12,379			\$ 0 0 8.891	\$ 21.423 1.431 6.878						•
State Scholarship Program Old Tuition Grant Program Public "Tuition Aid Grant" County Coll. Scholarship Program	14,801 5,048 15,222 350	7,280 1,571 2,175 174		,	7.365 4.403 3.028 .171	3.640 1.243 .435 .087						
Incentive Grants All Programs	8,176 55,976	$\frac{4,616}{72,588}$	4.82	5.84	2.617 26.475	$\frac{1.311}{36.448}$	3.59	4.40	473	502	3.61	4.97
NEW MEXICO Incentive Grant Program	1.450	1.500	.12	12	546_	600	,07	,07	377	400	.47	,51
NEW YORK Tuition Assistance Program Regents Scholarship Program All Programs	350,000 72,000 422,000	350,000 72,000 422,000	36.34	33,96	220.000 21.400 241.400	244.300 20.000 264.300	32.75_	31.89	572	626	13,37	14.64
NORTH CAROLINA Incentive Grants	4.457	5.100		41	2.570_	2,741	.35	.33	577	537	.47	.50
NORTH DAKOTA					.339	.343	.05	. 04	327	327	,53	.53
Student Assistance Program OHIO	1,037	1,050	.09	.08								
Instructional Grants Program OKLAHOMA	52.814	55,476	4.55	4.46	23,638	25.925	3,21	3,13	448	467	2.21	2.43
Tuition Aid Grants	7,663	8,214	.66	.66	1.672	1.873		.23	218	228	.60	68
OREGON Need Grant	9,161	13,146			3.528	5.990						
Cash Award .	686	792	0.5	1.12	325 3.853	376 6.366	.52	.77	391	457	1.66	2.74
A11 Programs PENNSYLVANIA	9,847	13,938	.85									
Higher Educ Grant Program RHODE ISLAND	118,636	120,000	10.22	9.66	72.308	75.500	9.81	9.11	609	629	6.13	6.40
Scholarship Program (New)	0	600			0	.641						
Grant Program (New) Scholarship Program (Old)	0 2,490	1,000 2,039			0 2.092	1.069 1.529						
Prof. Nurse Training Scholarship	70	99			.040	.053						
Bus. Ed. Teachers Scholarship War Orphans Scholarship	20 24	30 9			.010 .003	.011						
Need Based Awards		0			.652	0						
All Programs	3,260 5,864	3,777		30	2,797_	3.305	.38	.40_	477	875	2.99	3,53
SOUTH CAROLINA Tuition Grants	7 758	7,900	.67	64	8,907	9.994	1.21	1.21	1148	1265	3.13	3.51
SOUTH DAKOTA			•							189	3/1	
Incentive Grants TENNESSEE	1,300	1,400	11	.11		.265						
Student Assistance Award	4,958_	7.141		57	2.977	4,250			600	595	.70	1.00
TEXAS Tuition Equalization Grants	19,900	20,298			10.461	12.731						
Public Education Grants	5,650	6,319			2.363	2.643		1 05	502	578	1.02	1.22
All Programs	25,550	26,617	2.20	2.14	12.824	15.374	1.74	1.85	JUZ		1.02	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

												•	
UTAH	1.780	4,138	.15	.33	1.247	1.858	• .17	.22	701	449	1.01	1.51	
Incentive Grants VERMONT	1,700	4,130	113		1.64/	1.030	. 17	• L L	701	737			
Incentive Grants	5,438	5,600	.47	.45	3.199	3.855	.43	.47	588	688	6.71	8.08	
VIRGINIA		*											
Coll. Schol. Assistance Pr	og. 8,897	10,164	.77	.82	2.486	3.486	. 34	.42	279	343	.49	.69	
WASHINGTON					* 200	4 600		FC .	410	440	1 16	1 25	
Need Grant Program	10,474	10,474	.90	.84	4.292	4.609	.58	56	410	440	1.16	1.25	
WEST VIRGINIA	5 AE1	4 050	.43	.40	2.690	2,924	.36	.35	533	591	1.47	1.60	
Higher Ed. Grant Program	5,051	4,950	.43		2.030	2,364	.30		333	331		1.00	
WISCONSIN Tuition Grant Program	9,000	8,200			7.327	8.044							
Higher Ed. Grant Program	25,000	30,000			12.939	13.941							
Indian Student Grants	1,300	1,300			.977	1.100							
All Programs	35,300	39,500	3.04	3.18	21.243	23.085	2.88	2.79	602	584	4.61	5.01	
WYOMING												***	
Incentive Grants	95	430	.01	.03	.056	.195	.01	.02	589	453	.14	.50	
AMERICAN SAMOA	140	177	.01	.01	.293	.297	.04	.04	1966	1678	_	_	
Scholarship Program	149				.633	.671	.01		1300		<u> </u>		
GUAM Prof./Tech Award	56	56	.01	.01	.231	.231	.03	. 03	4125	4125	_		
NORTHERN MARIANAS					,	,							
Grant Program	0	350	_	.03	0	.496	. 07	.06	-	1417	_	-	
PUERTO RICO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											•	
Incentive Grants	1,550	1,600	.13	.13	1.092	1.160	.15	. 14	705	725	-		
TRUST TERRITORY		2			F.CO.			oc .	700	ENE		<del></del>	
Scholarships and Grants	718	1,000	.06	.08	.560	.505	.08	.06	780	505			
VIRGIN ISLANDS	270	202	.03	.03	\$ .473	\$.501	.06	.06	\$1251	\$1275	\$ -	t _	
Territorial Scholarship	378	393	.03	.03	<u> </u>	<b>3.301</b>			PICSI	41213		<b></b>	
GRAND TOTALS	1,161,376	1,242,466	100.00	100.00	\$737.004	\$828.883	100.00	100.00	\$ <u>635</u>	\$ <u>667</u>	\$ 3.42	\$ <u>3.84</u>	
UMNO FOITES	1,,,,,,,,			<del></del>					====			<del></del>	
		up 7%				up 12.5%							

### PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS - 1978-79

BEST ESTIMATES
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDS AND DOLLARS BY SECTOR BY PROGRAM

	•			
	Domontage	of Avanda	Percentage of	Award Pollars
	At	At	At	At
Olympia Marini kanan			st. Public Inst.	
State/Territory	runtic mac.	FLIVACE HE	3c. rupito 1183c.	. III. duc III.
3730310	64.0	36.0	64.0	36.0
ALABAMA	95.0	5.0	95.0	5.0
*ALASKA	95.0	5.0	90.0	10.0
ARIZONA				38.0
arkansas	80.0	20.0	62.0	30.0
CALIFORNIA .			1	02.0
.State Scholarships	52.0	48.0	17.0	83.0
.College Opportunity Grants	87.0	13.0	87.0	13.0
.Occup. Training Grants	43.0	57.0	14.0	86.0
COLORADO				
.Student Grants	100.0	.0	100.0	.0
.Student Incentive Grants	88.0	12.0	93.0	7.0
CONNECTICUT				
.State Scholarships	36.0	64.0	25.0	75.0
.Higher Ed. Grants	42.0	58.0	42.0	58.0
.Supplemental Grants	69.0	31.0	53.0	47.0
.Contractual Students	.0	100.0	.0	100.0
	••	100.0	••	25000
DELAWARE	40.0	60.0	25.0	75.0
* .Higher Ed. Scholarships	40.0	7.7.7	75.0	25.0
.Incentive Grants	80.0	20.0		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	30.0	60.0	40.0	50.0
FIORIDA	42.0	58.0	50.0	50.0
GEORGIA	75.0	25.0	72.0	28.0
*HAWAII	90.0	10.0	90.0	10.0
IDAHO	91.0	9.0	91.0	9.0
ILINOIS	61.0	39.0	35.0	65.0
INDIANA				
* .State Scholarships	60.0	40.0	55.0	45.0
* .Grants	78.0	22.0	76.0	24.0
* .Freedom of Choice Grants	.0	100.0	.0	100.0
	••	100.0	••	2000
IOVA	47.0	53.0	45.0	55.0
.State Scholarships				100.0
.Tuition Grants	.0	100.0	.0	
.Voc./Tech. Grants	100.0	.0	100.0	.0
Kansas				
.State Scholarships	83.0	17.0	86.0	14.0
.Tuition Grants	.0	100.0	.0	100.0
KENTUCKY	67.0	31.0	45.0	54.0
LOUISIANA	79.0	21.0	77.0	23.0
MAINE				
.Tuition Equalization Grants	40.0	60.0	38.0	62.0
* .Voc/Tech. Grants	100.0	.0	100.0	.0
MARYIAND	2000			
.General State Scholarships	73.0	27.0	63.0	37.0
	80.0	20.0	73.0	27.0
.Senatorial Scholarships	50.0	20.0	,3.0	
MASSACHUSETTS	50.0	50.0	27.0	73.0
General Scholarships	10.0	90.0	10.0	90.0
.Mursing Awards	20.0	80.0	10.0	90.0
.Special Ed. Awards		100.0	.0	100.0
.Consortium Awards	.0		.0	100.0
.Private Non-Degree Awards	.0	100.0	• • •	200.0
MICHIGAN			74.0	26.0
.Competitive Scholarships	80.0	20.0	74.0	100.0
. Tuition Grants	.0	100.0	.0	100.0
MINNESOTA				
.State Scholarships	53.0	47.0	41.0	59.0
.State Grants	73.0	27.0	63.0	37.0
	72.0	28.0	40.0	60.0
MISSISSIPPI	91.0	9.0	89.0	11.0
MISSOURI	91.0	9.0	91.0	9.0
*MCNIANA	37.00	3.4		
*AMERICAN SAMOA	60.0	40.0	60.0	40.0
*GIAM	29.0	71.0	68.0	32,0
*PUERIO RICO				
	35.0	65.0	30.0	70.0
*TRUST TERRITORY	65.0	35.0	75.0	25.0
*VIRGIN ISLANDS	55.0	45.0	55.0	45.0
	•			
ALL STATES/TERRITORIES	63.0%	37.0%	45.2%	54.8%

### PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS - 1978-79

## PERCENTAGE STATE AWARD DOLLARS - FEDERAL (SSIG) AND STATE RANK ORDER (LOW TO HIGH) 1978-79 AWARD YEAR

		% FEDERAL	% STATE
STA	<u>TE</u>	(SSIG)	FUNDS
1.	New York	2	98
2.	Illinois	4	96
3.	Minnesota	4	96
4.	Pennsylvania	4	96
5.	Vermont	4	96
6.	Iowa	5	95 95
7. 8.	N <del>ew</del> Jersey Indiana	4 5 5 6	95 94
9.	Wisconsin	<b>6</b>	94
3.	ALL STATE FUNDS	7.7	92.3
10.	Colorado	8	92
11.	South Carolina	8	92
12.	Michigan	9	91
13.	Ohio	9	91
14.	Connecticut	10	90
15.	Rhode Island	10	90
16.	Oregon	12	88
17.	California	14	86
18.	Massachusetts	14 14	86 86
19. 20.	Missouri West Virginia	14	8 <b>6</b> 8 <b>6</b>
21.	Kansas	16	84
22.	Kentucky	16	84
23.	Florida	21	79
24.	Maine	21	79
25.	Maryland	22	78
26.	Texas	22	· 78
27.	Tennessee	24	76
28.	Utah	25 27	75
29.	Washington	27	73
30.	Wyoming	28	72
31.	Delaware	32 35	68 65
32 <i>.</i> 33.	Georgia Virginia	35 38	62
34.	Alabama	44	56
35.	North Bakota	48	52
36.	Alaska	50	50
37.	Arizona	50	50
38.	Arkansas	50	50
39.	District of Columbia	50	50
40.	Hawaii	50	50
41.	Idaho	. 50	50
42.	Louisiana	50	50
43.	Mississippi	5 <u>0</u>	50
44. 45.	Montana	50 50	50 50
45. 46.	Nebraska Nevada	50	50 50
47.	New Hampshire	50 50	50
48.	New Mexico	50	50 50
49.	North Carolina	50	50
50.	Oklahoma	50 .	50
51.	South Dakota	50	50

## RANK ORDER (HIGH TO LOW) - PERCENTAGES OF ALL 1978-79 NUMBER AND DOLLARS OF STATE UNDERGRADUATE NEED BASED AWARDS BY STATES/TERRITORIES

				•	1		ΔIJΔ	2941100 09	(MILLIONS)
	604 mm		BER OF AWARDS % <u>OF TOTAL</u>	CUMULATIVE%		STATE	DOLLARS	% OF TOTA	
	STATE	#							
1.	New York	422,000	33.96	33.96	1.	New York	264,300	31.89	31.89
2.	Pennsylvania	120,000	9.66	43.62	2.	Illinois	84.275	10.17 10.08	42.06 52.14
3.	Illinois	97,725	7.87	51.49	3.	California	83.575 75.500	9.11	61.25
4.	New Jersey	72,588	5.84	57.33	4.	Pennsylvania		4.40	65.65
5.	California	59,924	4.83	62.16	5.	New Jersey	36.448	3.46	69.11
<del>6</del> .	Ohio	55,476	4.46	66.62	5.	Michigan	28.712 26,827	3.24	72.35
7.	Wisconsin	39,500	3.18	69.80	7.	Minnesota	25.925	3.13	75.48
8.	Michigan	30,700	2.47	72.27	8.	Ohio	23.925	2.79	78.27
9.	Minnesota	30,214	2.43	74.70	9.	Wisconsin	21.100	2.55	80.82
10.	Indiana	27,520	2.21	76.91	10.	Indiana	15.557	1.88	82.70
11.	Massachusetts	26,850	2.16	79.07	11.	Massachusetts	15.374	1.85	84.55
12.	Texas	25,617	2.14	81.21	12.	Texas	13.541	1.63	86.18
13.	Missouri	26,000	2.09	83.30	13.	Iowa South Coupling	9.994	1.21	87.39
14.	Colorado	14,400	1.16	84.46	14.	South Carolina	9.956	1.20	88.59
15.	Oregon	13,938	1.12	85.58	15.	Colorado		1.10	89.69
16.	Iowa	12,800	1.03	86.61	16.	Florida	9.151	1.06	90.75
17.	Kentucky	12,050	. 97	87.58	17.	Missouri	8.765	.95	91.70
18.	Connecticut	11,980	. 96	88.54	18.	Connecticut	7.910		92.47
19.	Maryland	11,200	. 90	89.44	19.	Oregon	6.366	.77	
20.	Georgia	11,000	.89	90.33	20.	Maryland	5.000	.60	93.07
21.	Washington	10,474	.84	91.17	21.	Kentucky	4.659	.56	93.63
22.	Virginia	10,164	.82	91.99	22.	Washington	4.609	.56	94.19
23.	Florida	9,572	.77	92.76	23.	Kansas	4.415	. 53	94.72
24.	Oklahoma	8,214	.66	93.42	24.	Tennessee	4.250	.51	95.23
25.	South Carolina	7,900	. 64	94.06	25.	Vermont	3.855	.47	95.70
26.	Alabama	7,238	.58	94.64	26.	Virginia	3.486	. 42	96.12
27.	Tennessee	7,141	. 57	95.21	27.	Rhode Island	3.305	.40	96.52
28.	Vermont	5,600	. 45	95.66	28.	Georgia	3.175	.38	96.90
29.	Kansas	5,370	.43	96.09	29.	West Vinginia	2.924	.35	97.25 -
30.	North Carolina	5,100	.47	96.50	30.	North Carolina	2.741	.33	97.58
31.	West Virginia	4,950	.40	96.90	31.	Alabama	2.171	.25	97.84
32.	Hawaii	4,800	. 39	97.29	32.	Oklahoma	1.873	.23	98.07
33.	Utah	4,138	. 33	97.62	33.	Utah	1.858	.22	98.29
34.	Rhode Island	3,777	.30	97.92	34.	Arizona	1.500	.18	98.47
35.	Arkansas	3,200	.26	98.18	35.	Puerto Rico	1.160	.14	98.61
36.	Arizona	2,200	.18	98.36	36.	Mississippi	1.109	.13	98.74
37.	Mississippi	2,200	.18	98.54	37.	Maine	1.109	.13	98.87
38.	Maine	1,740	.14	98.68	38.	Dist. of Columbia	.873	.11	98.98
39.	Louisiana	1,600	.13	98.81	39.	Nebraska	.340	.10	99.08
40.	Nebraska	1,600	.13	98.94	40.	Arkansas	.747	.09	99.17
41	Puerto Rico	1,600	.13	99.07	41.	New Mexico	. 600	.07	99.24
42.	New Mexico	1,500	.12	99.19	42.	Louisiana	.600	.07	99.31
43.	South Dakota	1,400	.iī	99.30	43.	Delaware	.541	.07	99.38
44.	Montana	1,200	.10	99.40	44.	Trust Territory	. 505	.06	99.44
45.	North Dakota	1,050	.08	99.48	45.	Virgin Islands	.501	.06	99.50
46.	New Hampshire	1,000	.08	99.56	46.	New Hampshire	.500	.06	99.56
47.	Trust Territory	1,000	.08	99.64	47.	Hawaii	.496	.06	99.62
48.		800	.06	99.70	48.	N. Marianas	. 496	.06	99.68
49		775	.06	99.76	49.	Idaho	.412	.05	99.73
50.		650	.05	99.81	50.	Nevada	.400	.05	99.78
51.		500	.04	99.85	51.	Montana	.351	.04	99.82
52.		430	.03	99.38	52.	North Dakota	.343	.04	99.86
53.		393	.03	99.91	53.	A. Samoa	.297	.04	99.90
54.		350	.03	99.94	54.	South Dakota	.265	.03	99.93
55.		177	.03	99.95	55.	Guam	.231	.03	99.95
56.		95	.01	99.96	55.	Wyoming	.195	.02	99.98
		56	.01	99.97	57.	Alaska	.150	.02	100.00
57.	Guam	30	.01	33.3 <i>1</i>	1 3/.	Mushu			

### PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS - 1978-79

1978-79 AWARDS RANK ORDER - INDEX OF EFFORT DOLLARS/CENTS IN NEED BASED AWARDS PER ESTIMATED 1976 STATE POPULATION

### DOLLARS/CENTS ON AWARDS

RANK ORDER (HIGH TO LOW) OF 1978-79 MEAN AWARD DOLLAR VALUE

RANK	STATE	PER 1976 ESTIMATED POPULATION	RANK	STATE	MEAN AWARD
1.	New York	14.64	1.	Alaska	\$ 1,579
2.	Vermont	8.08	2.	California	1,394
3.	Illinois	7.53	3.	District of Columbia	1,343
4.	Minnesota	6.78	4.	South Carolina	1,265
5.	Pennsylvania	6.40	5.	Iowa	1.058
6.	Wisconsin	5.01	6.	Florida	956
7.	New Jersey	4.97			935 935
8.	lowa	4.71	7.	Michigan	
9.			8.	Minnesota	888
10.	Indiana	3.97	9.	Rhode Island	875
11.	California	3.88	10.	Illinois	862
	Colorado	3.87	11.	Kansas	822
12.	Rhode Island	3.53	12.	Nevada	800
13.	South Carolina	3.51	13.	Indiana	767
14.	Michigan	3.15	14.	Delaware	698
15.	Oregon	2.74	15.	Colorado	691
16.	Massachusetts	2.69	16.	Vermont	688
17.	Connecticut	2.55	17.	Arizona	682
18.	Ohio	2.43	1	ALL STATES	667
19.	Kansas	1.92	18.	Connecticut	660
20.	Missouri	1.83	19.	Pennsylvania	629
21.	West Virginia	1.60	20.	Maine	627
22.	West Virginia Utah Kentucky	1.51	21.	New York	626
23.	Kentucky	1.36	22.	Tennessee	595
24.	District of Colum	nbia 1.25	23.	West Virginia	591
25.	Washington	1.25	24.	Wisconsin	584
26.	Texas	1.22	25.	Massachussetts	579
27.	Maryland	1.21	26.	Texas	578
28.	Florida	1.10	27.	North Carolina	537
29.	Maine	1.02	28.	Nebraska	525
30.	Tennessee	1.00	29.	Idaho	515
31.	Delaware	.93	30.	Mississippi	504
32.	Virginia	.69	31.	New Jersey	502
33.	Oklahoma	.68	32.	New Hampshire	500
34.	Arizona	.67	33.	Ohio	467
35.	Nevada	.65	34.	Oregon	457
36.	Georgia	.64	35.	Wyoming	453
37.	New Hampshire	.60	36.	Utah	449
38.	Alabama	.59	37.	Maryland	446
39.	Hawaii	.56	38.		440
40.	Nebraska	.54	39.	New Mexico	400
41.	North Dakota	.53	40.		387
42.	New Mexico	.51	41.		375
43.	North Carolina	.50	42.	Virginia	343
44.	Wyoming	.50	43.	Missouri	337
45.	Idaho	.30	44.	North Dakota	327 327
46.	Mississippi	.47	45.	Alabama	327 300
47.	Montana	.46	46.		
47. 48.	South Dakota	.39	47.	Montana	293
40. 49.	Alaska	.39	47.	Georgia	289
50.		.3/	1	Arkansas	233
	Arkansas	.35	49.	Oklahoma Sauth Baireta	228
51.	Louisiana	.15	50.	South Dakota	189
	AL: CTATCO	3.04	51.	Hawaii	103
	ALL STATES	3.84	1		

PERCENTAGE CHANGE BY STATE - NUMBER OF NUMBER OF AWARDS 1977-78 TO 1978-79

STATE	% CHANGE
1. Wyoming 2. Alabama 3. Utah 4. Missouri 5. Hawaii 6. Nevada 7. Tennessee 8. Oregon 9. Arkansas 10. Georgia 11. New Jersey 12. New Hampshire 13. Florida 14. Connecticut 15. Minnesota 16. Idaho 17. Mississippi 18. North Carolina 19. Virginia 20. Arizona 21. Wisconsin	% CHANGE +355.15.11.40.58.57.07.85.66.44.24.94.71.98.72.07.96.02.24.40.83.18.61.00.04.41.19.77.77.65.56.22.44.33.33.18.61.00.04.41.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19
50. District of Columbia 51. Rhode Island	a - 18.1 - 35.6

RANK ORDER (HIGH TO LOW) PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN TOTAL STATE FUNDING FOR 1978-79 AWARDS WHEN COMPARED WITH TOTAL 1977-78 FUND FOR AWARDS

	STATE	% CHANGE	
1.	Alabama	+ 297.6	
2.	Wyoming	+ 248.2	
3. 4.	Nevada	+ 131.2 + 105.5	
4.	Maine	+ 105.5 + 105.4	
5. 6	Nebraska Hawaii	+ 66.4	
5. 6. 7.	Oregon	+ 65.2	
8.	Minnesota	+ 49.9	
8. 9.	Arkansas	+ 49.4	
10.	Utah	+ 49.0	
11.	Tennessee	+ 42.8	
12.	Virginia	+ 40.2	
13.	Missouri New Jersey	+ 38.5 + 37.7	
14. 15.	New Hampshire	+ 34.4	
16.	Arizona	+ 24.9	
17.	Kentucky	+ 21.4	
18.	Vermont	+ 20.5	
19.	Texas	+ 19.9	
20.	Rhode Island	+ 18.2	
21.	Iowa Connecticut	+ 17.5	
22. 23.	Idaho	+ 16.3 + 14.4	
24.	Illinois	+ 13.7	
25.	Georgia	+ 13.1	
	ALL STATES	+ 13.7 + 13.1 + 12.5 + 12.3 + 12.2 + 12.0	
26.	South Dakota	+ 12.3	
27.	South Carolina	+ 12.2	
28.	Oklahoma Manuland	+ 12.0 + 11.4	
29. 30.	Maryland Florida	+ 10.4	
31	New Mexico	+ 9.9	
31. 32. 33.	Ohio	+ 9.7	
33.	New York	+ 9.5	
34.	West Virginia	+ 8.7	
35.	Wisconsin	+ 8.7	
36.	Indiana	+ 7.4 + 7.4	
37. 38.	Washington North Carolina	+ 6.7	
39.	California	+ 6.6	
40.	Massachusetts	+ 6.6	
41.	Alaska	+ 6.4	
42.	Montana	+ 6.4	}
43.	Pennsylvania	+ 4.4	}
44. 45.	Mississippi Michigan	+ 4.2 + 3.7	,
45.	Michigan Colorado	+ 1.9	
47.	North Dakota	+ 1.2	2
48.	Louisiana	+ .8	3
49.	Delaware	+ .2	2
50.	District of Columb	ia (	)
51.	Kansas		5

### PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS - 1978 - 1979

78-79 COMPETITIVE PROGRAMS - SOME MEASURE OF ACADEMIC POTENTIAL REQUIRED AS A CONDITION OF ORIGINAL ELIGIBILITY:

STATE	# AWARDS	\$(DOLLARS )
California All Programs	59,954	\$83,573,305.
Connecticut Scholarships	3,292	2,560,000.
Indiana Scholarships	15,920	13,500,000.
Iowa Scholarships	1,000	661,000.
Kansas Scholarships	1,885	740,000.
Louisiana	1,600	600,000.
Michigan Scholarships	18,250	15,012,000.
Minnesota Scholarships	10,877	9,008,951.
New Hampshire	800	500,000.
New Jersey Scholarships	14,801	* 6,700,000.
New York Regents	72,000	20,000,000.
Oregon Cash Awards	792	375,702.
Rhode Island Scholarships	2,039	1,529,250.
South Carolina	* 7,000	9,994,022
West Virginia	4,950	2,923,622.
American Samoa	* 149	* 293,000.
Guam	* 56	* 231,000.
Virgin Islands	393	500,950.
TOTALS	215,758	\$168,702,802
Percentage of all Awar Percentage of All Awar		17.4% 20.5%
Mean Awa	ard \$782.	

<sup>\* 1977</sup> Data

#### IS ANY MEASURE OF ACADEMIC POTENTIAL REQUIRED FOR DETERMINING FIRST TERM ELIGIBILITY?

#### CALIFORNIA

1. Cal A - applicant qualify based on GPA (or SAT scores if attending a non-graded school)

2. Cal B - GPA; self-objective profile of goals and potential

3. Cal C - Recommendation (20%) GPA (20%); self-evaluation (60%)

#### CONNECTICUT

State Scholarship Program-SAT scores rank in class at the end of jr. year in high school, and an estimate of potential for success in college

#### IOWA

Scholarship-class rank & ACT scores

#### KANSAS

State Scholar-ACT assessment and Career Planning Profile

#### LOUISIANA

Students must have a 2.0 cumulative average, or a GED test score-average of 45.

#### MICHIGAN

Competitive Scholarship-ACT results, prior to enrollment

#### **MINNESOTA**

State Scholarship Program- recipients must rank in the top quarter of their classes at the end of Jr. year

#### NEW HAMPSHIRE

Freshmen must graduate in upper 3/5ths of high school graduating class

#### **NEW JERSEY**

Garden State Scholarship Program-Weighted combination of high school rank and SAT scores produces an academic index

#### NEW YORK

Regents Scholarship-awards are made on the basis of the SAT or ACT exam

#### OREGON

Cash grant-SAT & high school GPA combined to produce a predicted college G.P.A.

#### RHODE ISLAND

State Scholarships-SAT scores & rank in class-State Scholarship & Grant Program-SAT for scholarship; none for grant

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

Freshman must not graduate in bottom quarter of high school class

#### WEST VIRGINIA

For incoming freshmen students, a combination of high school GPA and ACT composite score

#### VIRGIN ISLANDS

Applicant must have earned an average of at least "C" for a loan and "B" for a grant.

### STATE AGENCY'S S. S. I. G. INVOLVEMENT

RECEIVED FUNDS FOR	1977-78 AWARDS	WILL RECEIVE FUNDS FOR 1978-79 AWARDS		
ALABAMA	MISSOURI	ALASKA	MISSOURI	
ARIZONA	NEBRASKA	ARIZONA	NEBRASKA	
ARKANSAS	NEVADA	ARKANSAS	NEVADA	
COLORADO	NEW HAMPSHIRE	COLORADO	NEW HAMPSHIRE	
CONNECTICUT	NEW JERSEY	CONNECTICUT	NEW JERSEY	
CALIFORNIA	NEW MEXICO	CALIFORNIA	NEW YORK	
DELAWARE	NEW YORK	DELAWARE	NORTH CAROLINA	
WASINGTON D.C.	NORTH CAROLINA	WASHINGTON D.C.	NORTH DAKOTA	
FLORIDA	NORTH DAKOTA	FLORIDA	OHIO	
GEORGIA	OHIO	GEORGIA	OREGON	
IIAWAH	OKLAHOMA	IDAHO	PENNSYLVANIA	
IDAHO	OREGON	ILLINOIS	RHODE ISLAND	
ILLINOIS	PENNSYLVANIA	IOWA	SOUTH CAROLINA	
IOWA	RHODE ISLAND	KANSAS	TENNESSEE	
KANSAS	SOUTH CAROLINA	KENTUCKY	TEXAS	
KENTUCKY	TENNESSEE	LOUISIANA	VERMONT	
LOUISIANA	TEXAS	MAINE	VIRGINIA	
MAINE	VERMONT	MARYLAND	WASHINGTON	
MARYLAND	VIRGINIA	MASSACHUSETTS	WEST VIRGINIA	
MASSACHUSETTS	WASHINGTON	MICHIGAN	WISCONSIN	
MICHIGAN	WEST VIRGINIA	MINNESOTA	VIRGIN ISLANDS	
MINNESOTA	WISCONSIN	OTHER:	•	
MISSISSIPPI	VIRGIN ISLAND	V 111011 *	•	
1978 - 1979 FUNDS	PENDING	MISSISSIPPI -rec.	Funds for 78-79 Awards	

ALABAMA

HAWAII WISCONSIN OKLAHOMA

GUAM

- 78-79 partially funded

- no SSIG money involved

### FEDERAL SSIG FUNDS (IF RECEIVED) APPLIED TO SPECIFIC STATE PROGRAMS:

ALABAMA: Alabama Student Assistance Program. ALASKA: Not limited to specific programs. ARIZONA: Arizona State Student Incentive Grant Program. ARKANSAS: State Scholarship Program. CALIFORNIA: California Grant A Program, California Grant B Program, California Grant C Program. COLORADO: Colorado Student Incentive CONNECTICUT: State Scholarship Program DELAWARE: Higher Education Scholarship Program. FLORIDA: Florida Student Assistance Grants. GEORGIA: Georgia Incentive Scholarship Program. HAWAII: Hawaii Student Incentive Program. IDAHO: State Student Incentive Grant Program. ILLINOIS: Monetary Award Program. IOWA: State of Iowa Scholarship Program, Iowa Vocational-Technical Tuition Grant KANSAS: State of Kansas Scholarship Program, Kansas Tuition Grant Program. KENTUCKY: State Student Incentive Grant Program - Offered as KHEAA State Grants. LOUISIANA: Louisiana State Student Incentive Grant Program. MAINE: Maine State Student Incentive Scholarship Program. MARYLAND: General State Scholarship Program. MASSACHUSETTS: General Scholarship Pro-MICHIGAN: Competitive Scholarship Program. MINNESOTA: State Grant-in-Aid Program. MISSISSIPPI: Undergraduate College Pro-

grams - Jr. and Sr. Colleges.

Program.

MISSOURI: Missouri Student Grant Program. MONTANA: Montana Student Incentive Grant

NEBRASKA: Federal SSIG funds will be used specifically for the SSIG program. NEVADA: Nevada Student Incentive Grant. NEW HAMPSHIRE: New Hampshire Incentive Program. NEW JERSEY: Tuition Aid Grant Program. NEW MEXICO: New Mexico Student Incentive Grant Program. NEW YORK: Tuition Assistance Program. NORTH CAROLINA: North Carolina Student Incentive Grants NORTH DAKOTA: Student Financial Assistance Program. OHIO: Ohio Instructional Grants Program OKLAHOMA: Tuition Aid Grant Program. OREGON: Need Grant. PENNSYLVANIA: State Higher Education Grant Program. RHODE ISLAND: State Grant Program. SOUTH CAROLINA: South Carolina Tuition Grants Program. SOUTH DAKOTA: SSIG Program. TENNESSEE: Tennessee Student Assistance Award Program. TEXAS: Tuition Equalization Grants Program, Texas Public Educational Grants Program. VERMONT: Incentive Grants Program. VIRGINIA: College Scholarship Assistance Program. WASHINGTON: Washington State Need Grant Program. WEST VIRGINIA: West Virginia Higher Education Grant Program. WISCONSIN: Wisconsin Higher Education Grants (WHEO). VIRGIN ISLANDS: Virgin Islands Territorial Scholarship Grant Program.

WHERE STATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR STATE ADMINISTRATION OF UNDERGRADUATE SCHOLARSHIP? GRANT NEED BASED PROGRAM(S) IS PLACED

#### Separate Authority or Commission

Ohio

Colorado Georgia

California

Oregon Pennsylvania

Illinois Iowa Kentucky Louisiana Rhode Island South Carolina Tennessee

Maryland New York

Vermont

Wisconsin

Division of State Board of Higher/ Post-Secondary Education

Alabama Arkansas Connecticut Kansas

North Dakota Massachusetts Oklahoma Missouri Virginia Montana West Virginia

Guam

Nevada

New Mexico

New Jersey

#### State Office of Education

Florida Maine Michigan

Virgin Islands

#### 1202 Post-Secondary Commission

Arizona Colorado Delaware Hawaii

Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersev Washington

#### Other:

Alaska- A division of the State Post-secondary Commission

Washington D.C. - A division of the D.C. Department of Human Resources Office of State Agency Office

Idaho - Office of the State Board of Education

Mississippi - State agency

Nebraska - Independent agency with statutory authority

North Carolina - A separate agency

Texas - State agency responsible for coordinating higher education

PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS (All 1978-79 Award Year, Need Based, Funded, Undergraduate, Non-Repayable Gift Aid Programs)

PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS (continued)

ALABAMA

Student Assistance Program

<u>ALASKA</u>

None

**ARIZONA** 

Arizona State Student Incentive Grant Program

ARKANSAS

State Scholarship Program

CALIFORNIA

Calif. Grant A Prog (state Schol. Prog)
Calif Grant B Prog (College Oppor Grant
Program)
Calif C Prog (Occupational Ed & Training
Grant Program)

COLORADO

Colo Student Incentive Grants; Colo Student Grants, Local District Grants

CONNECTICUT

State Scholarship Prog, State Supplemental Grant Program, Higher Education Grant Program (phasing out), Contracted Students/Independent Colleges

DELAWARE

State Student Incentive Grant Prog Higher Education Scholarship Prog Children of Deceased Veterans & others Optometric Institutional Aid Program

WASHINGTON D.C.

D. C. State Student Incentive Grant Program

FLORIDA

Seminole/Miccosukee Indian Scholarship Florida Student Assistance Grant

**GEORGIA** 

Georgia Incentive Scholarship Program (SSIG Program)

HAWAII

Hawaii Student Incentive Grant Prog.

IDAHO

State Student/Incentive Grant Prog

ILLINOIS

Monetary Award Program

IOWA

State of Iowa Scholarship Prog Iowa Tuition Grant Program Iowa Vocational-Technical Grant Prog.

**KANSAS** 

State of Kansas Scholarship Prog. Kansas Tuition Grant Prog.

KENTUCKY

KHEAA Grant Programs-State Student Incentive Grants Kentucky Tuition Grants

LOUISIANA

Louisiana State Incentive Grant Program

MAINE

Ma. Student Incentive Scholarship Prog.

MARYLAND

General State - Senatorial - Proffessional Nursing - Proffessional, Pharmacy Teacher of Deaf/Impaired Hearing Children of Deceased Firemen, Law Officers, Rescue Squad, Nat'l Guard

<u>MASSACHUSETTS</u>

General Scholarship Program
Nursing Scholarship Program
Special Education Scholarship Program
Consortium Scholarship Program
Private Business and Trade School
Non-Degree Tuition Assistance Program

MICHIGAN

Cometitive Scholarship Program Tuition Grant Program

MINNESOTA

State Scholarship Program - State Grant Program - Nursing Grant Program -Part-Time Grant Program - AVTI Tuition Subsidy Program

#### PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS (continued)

#### MISSISSIPPI

State Student Incentive Grant Prog.

Missouri Student Grant Program

#### MONTANA

Montana Student Incentive Grant Prog.

#### NEBRASKA

State Student Incentive Grant Prog. Neb. State Schol. Prog. (not operational for 78-79)

#### NEVADA

Nevada Student Incentive Grant

### NEW HAMPSHIRE

New Hampshire Incentive Program

#### NEW JERSEY

Tuition Aid Grant Program Garden State Scholarship Program Educational Opportunity Fund Prog. Grandfathered Programs: (programs being phased out) State Schol Prog. Old Tuition Aid Grant Program Public Tuition Aid Grant Prog. County College Graduate Schol Prog.

#### NEW MEXICO

New Mexico Student Incentive Grant Prog.

#### **NEW YORK**

Tuition Assistance Program Regents College Scholarship Prog.

NORTH CAROLINA North Carolina Student Incentive Grants

#### NORTH DAKOTA

North Dakota Student Financial Asst. Prog.

Ohio Instructional Grants Program

#### **OKLAHOMA**

State Student Incentive Grant Prog/ Tuition Aid Grant Prog.

Need Grant - Cash Award - State Student Incentive Grant Program

#### PENNSYLVANIA

State Higher Ed. Grant Prog.

#### PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS (continued)

#### RHODE ISLAND

R.I. State Scholarship Program (new) R.I. State Grant Program (new) R.I. State Scholarship Program (old) Professional Nurse Trn. Scholarship Business Ed. Teachers Scholarship War Orphans Scholarship

#### SOUTH CAROLINE

Tuition Grants Program

#### **TENNESSEE**

Tennessee Student Assistance Award

Tuition Equalization Grants Program Texas Public Educational-State Student Incentive Grants Program State Student Incentive Grants Program (matching Tuition Equalization Grants)

Incentive Grant Program

#### VIRGINIA

College Scholarship Assistance Program

#### WASHINGTON

Washington State Need Grant Program

#### WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia Higher Education Grant Prog.

#### WISCONSIN

Wisconsin Tuition Grant Program Wisconsin Higher Education Grant Prog. Wisconsin Indian Student Assistance Grants

#### VIRGIN ISLANDS

Virgin Islands Territorial Scholarship Program - State Student Incentive Grant Program

## STATE FUNDED GRANT PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES

#### ALABAMA

Graduate Nursing Scholarships-State Board of Nursing (\$57,000; not need based); Dental Scholarships Board (\$228,000 not need based); Medical Scholarships Board (\$555,000; not need based).

## STATE FUNDED GRANT PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES (continued)

CALIFORNIA

CSUC - EDP Grants \$6,979,720; Comm. Coll. EDP Grants \$16,139,919; U. of Calif. Affirr Action Grants \$4,170,000; Cal Ed Assis. to Vet. & Depen. \$2,203,344

**COLORADO** 

\$200,000 not need based

**DELAWARE** 

\$2,000,000 need based

IDAHO

Idaho Military District Office has a tutional grant program for Nat'l Guard

**ILLINOIS** 

Veterans/National Guard-\$10,000,000, est. (not need based)

IOWA

War Orphans Educational Aid (not need based) \$40,000; Rural Youth Grants(need based) \$35,000; Vocational Rehabilitation(nonneed based) \$1,604,802 (77-78)

KENTUCKY

Rehabilitation Grants \$222,000; Transportation Scholarships - \$145,000; Rural Ky. Dental Schol - \$90,000 (all need based)

LOUISIANA

\$447,500 T. H. Harris Scholarship, 3.0 academic high school average required, and student must maintain 3.0 in college; (not need based)

MAINE

War Orphans (amt. unknown) Indians \$50,000

MASSACHUSETTS

Minimum of 50% of \$3.5 million for Massachusetts private sector. Funds allocated to colleges which in turn expend at least 50% on Need-based awards to Mass. residents.

MICHIGAN

Veteran's Trust Fund: \$765,000-not need based

## STATE FUNDED GRANT PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES (continued)

MINNESOTA

State Indian Schol. Prog \$750,000 (biennial) is need based

**NEW HAMPSHIRE** 

Appropriation level not known, but they are programs for orphans of veterans and MIA's-not based on need

**NEW JERSEY** 

War Orphans Scholarships(no need) \$150,000; Work Stude (no need)

#### NORTH CAROLINA

N. Carolina Legislative Tuition Grants
N. Carolina Student Incentive Grants
Board of Governors Med. Schol. Program
Turrentine Foundation Scholarship
Brooks Foundation Scholarships
State Contractual Schol. Fund for Needy
North Carolinians
Vocational Rehab. Training Programs

NORTH DAKOTA

N. Dakota Indian Scholarship-\$85,000/biennium-need based

PENNSYLVANIA

Direct appropriations to seven statesupported institutions. Administration of the funds is at the discretion of the institutions. Participating institutions use funds for need-based scholarships and grants. Total appropriation for 1978-79: \$13.6 million.

SOUTH CAROLINA

\$81,000 not need based, this is a State Grant for South Carolina residents attending out of state institutions and persuing a course of study not offered in this state.

**TEXAS** 

State Rural Medical E. Board - Approx. \$50,000 - need based but no formal analysis

## STATE FUNDED GRANT PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES (continued)

#### **VERMONT**

Vermont enlisted men's scholarships administered by the Vermont Nat'l Guard. Program is need based with \$10,000 funding level. Vermont senatorial scholarshipneed based, funded at \$60,000.

#### **VIRGINIA**

Nurse, Nurse teacher, and Dental Hyg.Schol. (\$113,000) - partially need-based, medical and dental scholarships (\$175,000) partially need-based.

#### WISCONSIN

Veterans, no-need based

#### VIRGIN ISLANDS

Health Scholarships & V.I. Council on the Arts. Most are need-based. However, there are some awards that are based solely on potential for advanced work and scholarship.

#### OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS:

#### ALABAMA

Alabama Student Grant Program

#### ALASKA

Alaska Scholarship Loan Program(Alaska State Student Loan Program) Memorial Scholarship Loan Program

#### CALIFORNIA

Bilingual Teacher Dev. Grant Program
Graduate Fellowship Program
Special Clinical Internship Program
Law Enforcement Personnel Dependents
Scholarship Program
Statewide Student Financial Aid Info. Prog.
Student Fin. Aid Research & Eval. Prog.
Statewide App. Forms Approval Prog.

#### COLORADO

Colorado Scholarships; Colo. Graduate Grants; Colo. Work-Study Prog.; Athletic Grants; Matching Funds for NDSL, HPL, FNL; Colo. Veterans Tuition Assistance Prog.

#### CONNECTICUT

Conn. State Work-Study Prog; Awards to children of Deceased/Disabled/MIA veterans; State Scholarship Prog. for Graduate Students; Aid for veterans in Independent Colleges

### OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS:

(continued)

#### DELAWARE

Tallman Scholarship Prog (administered by the Delaware State Board of Education)

#### FLORIDA

Guaranteed Student Loan Prog. Southeast Bank FISL Renewal Loan Prog. Scholarship for children of Deceased & Disabled veterans Confederate Memorial Scholarship Exceptional Child Teaching Scholarship

#### GEORGIA

Private College Tuition Equalization Grant Prog. Law Enforcement Personnel Dependents Schol. Prog. North Georgia ROTC Grant Prog. State Direct Student Loan Prog.

#### **IDAHO**

State of Idaho Scholarship Prog.

#### ILLINOIS

Guaranteed Loan Prog; Student to Student Grnat Prog; Police/Fire Correctional Officers Survivor Awards; National Guard Scholarship Program; Bilingual Scholarship/Grant Program; Ill. Designated Account Purchase Prog.; Education Information Centers; Student Financial Aid Training Program

#### IOWA

Iowa National Guard Enlistment Grant Program

#### KANSAS

Osteopathy Education Program
Dental Education Program; Optometry
Education Program

#### **KENTUCKY**

KHEAA-Guaranteed Student Loan Prog. Commonwealth Work-Study Prog.

#### LOUISIANA

La. State Guaranteed Student Loan Program La. State High School Rally Scholarship

### OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS: (continued)

MARYLAND

Delegate Scholarships; War Orphan Grants; Veterans Grants; Reinbursement of Firemen; Professional-Law; Prof.-Medicine; Prof.-Dentistry; Family Practice-Med; Family Practice-Residency; Physicians assistants & Nurse Practitioners; Distinguished Scholar

**MASSACHUSETTS** 

Honor Scholarship Prog.; Medical Scholarship Prog.; Dental Scholarship Prog.; Fire/Police/Corrections Schol. Prog.

MICHIGAN

Legislative Merit Award Program; Tuition Differential Grant Program; State Direct Student Loan Prog.; Private Colleges Degree Reimbursement Prog.; Roy Johnston Trust Fund; Lising of Schools for NDSL Loan Cancellations

**MINNESOTA** 

State Student Loan Prog.(GSLP); Medical and Osteopathy Loan Prog.; Minn.-Wis. Tuition Reciprocity Prog.; Minn.-N. Dakota Tuition Reciprocity Prog.; Minn-S. Dakota Tuition Reciprocity Prog.; Minn-Iowa Tuition Reciprocity Prog.; State Work-Study Prog.; Veterans' Dependents Student Assistance Program

MISSOURI

State Guananty Stud. Loan Fund

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Part of the incentive program provides for incentive payments to banks now participating in the GSL Program, to increase their level of lending. We pay depending on the availability of funds, up to 5% of their demonstrated increase based upon their aver. level of participation over the three prior years.

**NEW JERSEY** 

Guaranteed Student Loan; Public Loan; Garden State Graduate Fellowship Prog.

**NEW MEXICO** 

N. Mexico Guarantee Student Loan Prog.; N. Mexico Medical Student Loan Prog.; N. Mexico Osteopathic Med. Student Loan Program.

### OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS: (continued)

**NEW YORK** 

Guaranteed Student Loan Prog; Medical/ Dental Loan Prog.; Regents Nursing Scholarship; Regents Child of Veteran Grants; Regents Scholarships for Medicine, Dentistry & Osteopathy; Regents Physician Shortage; Herbert Lehman Graduate Fellowships

NORTH CAROLINA

N.C. Insured Student Loan Prog.(NCISLP)
N.C. Legislative Tuition Grants(NCLTG)
for residents enrolled in N.C. private
colleges; Board of Governors Med.
Scholarships Program(BGMS)
Turrentine Foundation Scholarships
Brooks Foundation Scholarships;
State FAO Training Program

\_ 2

OHIO

Ohio Academic Scholarship Program; Ohio Nat'l Guard Scholarship Prog.; Ohio War Orphans Scholarship Program

OKLAHOMA

Guaranteed Student Loan Program

OREGON

Guaranteed Student Loan Program;
Medical/Dental Grant Program;
Medical/Dental Loan Program; Eastern
Oregon State College Tuition Remission
Scholarships; Foreign Student Tuition
Remission Scholarships; Privately
endowed Scholarship Program; Purchase
of Educational Services from Independent
Colleges; State Student Financial
Assistance Training Program.

**PENNSYLVANIA** 

Student Loan Guaranty Program; (Sallie Mae) Student Loan Servicing Center; Institutional Assistance Grants Prog. Institutional Assistance Matching Funds Prog; Statewide Summer College Work-Study Program; State Student Financial Assistance Training Prog.

RHODE ISLAND

Guaranteed Insured Loan Program

### OTHER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY RESPONDENTS: (continued)

TENNESSEE

Medical Loan Scholarship; Graduate
Nursing Loan Scholarship; Guaranteed
Student Loan Prog; Tenn. Student Loan
Prog. (State funds placed with educ. inst.
lenders under GSL to assist Tenn. residents
who cannot locate commercial lenders)

**TEXAS** 

Hinson-Hazlewood College Student Loan Program; Texas Assistance Grants Prog.; State Tuition and Fees Waiver Programs

**YERMONT** 

ionor Scholarships; Nursing Scholarships;
/armont Guaranteed Student Loan Prog.;
/alent Search Prog; Nat'l Guard Scholarships;
//aterinary Medicien Contract; Educational
info. Centers; SSFATP

**VIRGINIA** 

Tuition Assistance Grant & Loan Prog.

WASHINGTON

State Work/Study Program; Aid to Blind Students Prog; Post-secondary Ed. Benefits to children of Deceased or Totally Incapacitated Veterans Prog.; 3% Tuition and Fee Waiver Prog.; Western Interstate Comm. on Higher Education Student Exchange Prog. in Optometry.

WEST VIRGINIA

Undergraduate Scholarship Prog.

WISCONSIN

Minnesota - Wisconsin Reciprocity Agreement - Wisconsin Guaranteed Student Loan Program

GUAM

Merit Awards to the University of Guam, Prof/Tech Awards, and Student Loan Program

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Basic Education Opportunity Grant Program (operated by the College of the Virgin Islands)

#### NON-FUNDED PROGRAMS

<u>CALIFORNIA</u> Tuition Grant Program

KENTUCKY State Scholarships

LOUISIANA

1974 Legislature approved Tuition Grant Scholarship Program for needy, undergraduate college students, but has never appropriated funds, estimated at \$4,000,000 for first year.

MISSOURI

Mo. Guaranteed Student Loan Program

**NEBRASKA** 

The Neb. State Scholarship Prog. LB743 passed by the Legislature and signed by the Governor has been declared unconstitutional by the State Attorney General. An appropriation has been made, but until the constitutional issue has been decided, expenditure of the appropriation is questionable

RHODE ISLAND

Awards to institutions for assistance in supporting College Work Study Prog.

**TEXAS** 

Texas Assistance Grants Program

```
ALABAMA: Alabama Student Assistance Program
Advisory Council.
            Student Financial Aid Advisory Committee,
ALASKA: Student Financial Aid Advisory Committee
Michael Murphy Memorial Scholarship Loan Advisory
Committee.
ARIZONA: Arizona Commission for Postsecondary
Education.
ARKANSAS: State Scholarship Program Advisory
Committee.
CALIFORNIA: Advisory Group for Financial Aid
Problems, State Scholarship Advisory Committee,
College Opportunity Grant Advisory Committee,
Graduate Fellowship Advisory Committee, Occupa-
tional, Educational and Training Grant Advisory
Committee.
COLORADO: Colorado Association of Financial Aid
Administrators - Executive Committee, Student
Budget Committee of CAFAA.
CONNECTICUT: State Student Financial Assistance
Commission, Connecticut Association of Profes-
sional Financial Aid Administrators.
DELAWARE: Higher Education Scholarship Advisory Council, Optometric Institutional Aid Advisory
Committee, Tallman Scholarship Committee.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Department of Human Resources, Educational Assistance Panel.
FLORIDA: Florida Student Financial Assistance
Commission, Florida Student Financial Aid Advis-
ory Council.
GEORGIA: GASFAA Executive Committee and ad hoc
committees.
HAWAII: Association of Financial Aid Officers
IDAHO: State Scholarship Committee, Idaho
State Postsecondary Education Commission.
ILLINOIS: Student to Student Grant Advisory
Committee, Loan Advisory Committee, General
Advisory Committee (8 other advisory
committees).
IOWA: Advisory Council on State Student Aid
Programs Review Board for Student Aid Appli-
cations.
LOUISIANA: Governor's Special Commission on
Education Services, Louisiana Association of
Student Financial Aid Administrators, National
Council of Higher Education Loan Programs,
National Association of State Scholar and
Grant Programs, Louisiana High School Rally
Association.
MAINE: Maine Financial Aid Administrators,
Postsecondary Education Commission of Maine,
Higher Education Council, Vocational Education, Nursing Board of Registration.
MARYLAND: State Scholarship Board, Financial
Aid Officer Advisory Committee, High School Counselor Advisory Committee.
MASSACHUSETTS: Scholarship Advisory Committee
MICHIGAN: Michigan Higher Education Assistance
Authority, Michigan Higher Education Student
Loan Authority, Executive Committee of Michigan
Student Financial Aid Association, Student
Advisory Committee.
MINNESOTA: Financial Aid Advisory Committee.
MISSISSIPPI: Mississippi State Student Incen-
tive Grant Advisory Committee
MISSOURI: Missouri Student Grant Program
Advisory Committee, Missouri Guaranteed Student
Loan Program Advisory Committee, Data Needs
 Study Committee.
MONTANA: Montana Association of Financial Aid
Administrators.
NEBRASKA: SSIG Advisory Committee
```

```
Financial Aid Administrators, Nevada Assn. of
Proprietary Schools.
NEW HAMPSHIRE: A subcommittee of the Commis-
sion.
NEW JERSEY: Tuition Aid Grant Technical
Review Group, Student Advisory Committee,
Garden State Scholarship Advisory Committee,
Garden State Graduate Fellowship Advisory
Committee.
NEW MEXICO:
               New Mexico Student Incentive
Grant (Advisory Committee appointed by the
New Mexico Association of Student Financial
Aid Administrators), New Mexico Medical Stu-
dent Loan Advisory Committee and Medical
Shortage Advisory Committee, New Mexico Osteo-
pathic Medical Student Loan Advisory Committee,
Consideration is being given for establishing
an advisory committee for the New Mexico Guar-
antee Student Loan Program.
NEW YORK: New York Higher Education Services
Corp. Advisory Council.
NORTH CAROLINA: Advisory Steering Committee
for State FAO Training Program.
NORTH DAKOTA: Student Financial Assistance
Program Advisory Board.
OHIO: Ohio Instructional Grant Advisory
Committee, Ohio Academic Scholarship Advisory
Committee.
OREGON: Oregon State Scholarship Commission Advisory Council
 PENNSYLVANIA: State Higher Education Grant
Program Advisory Committee, Student Loan
Guaranty Program Advisory Committee, Pennsyl-
 vania Association of Student Financial Aid
 Administrators Liaison Committee (all programs)
 RHODE ISLAND: Authority operates under direc-
 tion of 9 member board appointed to staggered
 terms by governor. The board has an invest-
 ment committee, a budget committee, plus legal
and accounting advisors.

SOUTH CAROLINA: Tuition Grants Advisory Panel.

SOUTH DAKOTA: Applications are reviewed and
the grants are awarded by the SSIG Sub-com-
 mittee after the financial aids officers have
 processed the applications.
 TENNESSEE: Financial Aid Administrators
Advisory Committee, Medical Loan Advisory
 Committee.
TEXAS: Ad hoc committees as needed.

VERMONT: SSFATP Advisory Committee, E.I.C.

Advisory Committee, General Advisory Comm.

VIRGINIA: Financial Aid Advisory Committee,
 Private College Advisory Committee, General
 Professional Advisory Committee (public
 college presidents).
 WASHINGTON: Washington State Need Grant
 Program, Washington State Work/Study Program.
 WEST VIRGINIA: Advisory Council on West
Virginia Grants, Student Affairs Advisory
 Committee.
 WISCONSIN: Council on Financial Aid,
 Tuition Grant ad hoc committee, WHEG ad hoc
 Committee, Lender Advisory Committee.
 VIRGIN ISLANDS: Faculty Guidance Committees in Secondary Schools, District Advisory Schol-
 arship Committees (Guidance Coordinator,
 Director, Parents, students, Scholarship
 Committee of the Board of Education.)
```

NEVADA: NSIG Advisory Board, Nevada Assn. of

#### STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN SUMMER SESSIONS: ENROLLED IN ALLIED HEALTH PROGRAMS: Alahama Alabama Arizona California Colorado College Opportunity Grants Connecticut Occupational Educ. & Training Grants State Scholarship Program Connecticut Contracted Students/Independent Colleges State Scholarship Program California Delaware Florida District of Columbia Idaho Florida Illinois Illinois Iowa Kansas Vocational/Technical Tuition Grant Program State Scholarship Program Maryland Louisiana Senatorial Scholarships Maryland Massachusetts Massachusetts Michigan General Scholarship Program Mississippi Minnesota Montana Mississippi Nebraska Nevada Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New York Educational Opportunity Fund Grants North Dakota New Mexico Pennsylvania New York Rhode Island North Dakota State Scholarship & Grant Program Ohio South Dakota Oregon Vermont Need Grant Wisconsin Pennsylvania South Carolina STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS WHO ATTEND PROPRIETARY FOR-PROFIT Texas West Virginia Wisconsin INSTITUTIONS: Vircin Islands Alabama Arizona STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN HOSPITAL SCHOOLS OF NURSING: Arkansas Colorado Student Incentive Grants Connecticut Alabama Higher Education Grant Program Connecticut State Scholarship Program State Scholarship Program California California State Scholarship Program Delaware District of Columbia Occupational Educ. & Training Grants Delaware Florida District of Columbia Illinois Idaho Iowa Scholarship Program Towa Tuition Grant Program Tuition Grant Program Scholarship Program State Scholarship Program Kansas State Scholarship Program Kentucky Kentucky Louisiana Maryland Louisiana Maine General State Scholarship Massachusetts Massachusetts Nursing Scholarship General Scholarship Program Private Non-Degree Tuition Program Minnesota Minnesota Mississippi New Hampshire Nebraska New Hampshire New York New York North Carolina North Dakota North Carolina Pennsylvania Ohio Rhode Island Oregon State Scholarship Program & Grant Program Rhode Island Nursing Education Scholarship War Orphans Scholarship South Dakota State Scholarship and Grant Program South Dakota Tennessee Vermont Vermont Wisconsin Wisconsin Indian Student Grants

#### STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS WHO ATTEND OUT-OF-STATE INSTITUTIONS:

Connecticut

Higher Education Grant Program State Scholarship Program (Any degree granting institution in the U.S. approved by the Connecticut Board of Higher Education)

Delaware

Higher Education Scholarship

1.) Accredited by regional accreditation association.

2.) Secular3.) Degree Program

District of Columbia

All schools on HEW/OE list of eligible institutions

Maryland

Any school granting a degree in a field of study not available in the state is eligible for a Senatorial award.

Massachusetts

General Scholarship Program: only for regionally accredited degree granting institutions.

Nursing Scholarship: programs in state Ticensed hospital schools of nursing leading to RN.

Special Education Scholarship: regionally accredited degree granting institutions offering programs in Special Education.

Private Non-Degree Training Program: Correspondence schools only. Must be licensed by the Massachusetts Commissioner of Education. Other criteria are same as in-state schools.

New Jersey

Accredited schools in states signing reciprocity agreements with New Jersey. The states which have signed agreements are: Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Vermont, District of Colubmia, Conn. and Rhode Island.

North Dakota

Available only to handicapped students who are unable to obtain educational services in North Dakota due to their disability.

Institutions of higher education in the State of Pennsylvania comparable to those eligible in Ohio.

Pennsylvania

Accredited Collegiate Institutions except Two-Year Public Colleges; National League for Nursing accredited Hospital Schools of Nursing; Engineering Council for Professional Development accredited Non-Profit Technical Postsecondary Schools.

Rhode Island

State Scholarship Program State Scholarship & Grant Program

Vermont All institutions approved by U.S.O.E. for GSL Program are eligible with the exception of correspondence schools.

Wisconsin

Higher Education Grants (students with hearing and visual disabilities) Virgin Islands (public and private)

### STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED

California Colorado Connecticut District of Columbia Idaho Illinois Lowa Tuition Grant Program

Maryland Senatorial Scholarship

Michigan

Tuition Grants

Mississippi Nebraska Nevada Oregon Need Grants

Rhode Island

State Scholarship Program State Scholarship & Grant Program

South Dakota Tennessee

Wisconsin Higher Education Grant Indian Student Grants

### STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN LESS THAN HALF-TIME:

Colorado

Student Grants

Connecticut

Contracted Student/Independent Colleges

Wisconsin

Indian Student Grant

### STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN GRADUATE SCHOOL:

Connecticut

State Scholarship Program

Michigan

Tuition Grants

New Jersey

Garden State Scholarship Program Educational Opportunity Fund Grants

New York

Tuition Assistance Program Texas - Tuition Equalization Grants

Only for University of Vermont College of Medicine and approved Veterinary Schools

Wisconsin

Indian Student Grants

Virgin Islands

### DOES YOUR AGENCY REQUIRE BEOG APPLICATION AS A CONDITION FOR STATE AWARDS?

Alabama Arkansas California Delaware (SSIG) District of Columbia Florida Kentucky (For 2nd semester disbursement) Louisiana Maine Maryland Missouri In 1979-80 Montana New Hamoshire New Jersey North Carolina Oregon Pennsylvania Yes, except for applicants to colleges with tuition and fees more than \$2,800. Rhode Island Tennessee Texas Vermont (If student is eligible for BEOG.) Washington West Virginia Wisconsin

#### DOES YOUR AGENCY CALCULATE POTENTIAL BEOG AND ADD TO RESOURCES OF AID APPLICANTS?

Alabama Colorado California If student does not apply and is eligible a BEOG is calculated and imputed. Delaware District of Columbia Georgia If a BEOG index amount has not been received. Illinois Kentucky For KTG Program Maine Maryland Minnesota Mississippi Montana New Jersey New Mexico (In most cases) North Carolina Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island Tennessee Vermont Virginia As an offset to need √ Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Virgin Islands (If information is available at time of calculation.)

### CHANGES IN DEFINITION OF SELF-SUPPORTING STUDENT:

Georgia Yes. "Did (or will) student live with parents for more than six weeks, or receive assistance worth more than \$750 in support?" Illinois Same as federal. Administrative procedures call for adherence to federal definition. Maryland Depends on what financial aid officers. Nevada Yes, to comply with CWS definition as published in Federal Register 8/24/78. New Jersey State definition will be changed as necessary to conform to Federal BEOG definition. New York Undetermined at this time. North Carolina No, pending any change in the Federal definition. Only if change is made in OE definition Virginia Changes made will reflect those made in the federal definition. Washington None planned.

### STATES WHERE STUDENTS SERVE AS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

California Idaho (On 1202 Commission.) Illinois Minnesota Missouri New Hampshire New Jersey New York North Dakota Pennsylvania Rhode Island Texas Vermont Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Virgin Islands (District Commission Only.)

NORTH CAROLINA

STATES WHERE HONORARY AWARDS (CERTIFICATES WITH PUBLIC/PERSONAL RECOGNITION) ARE GIVEN:  ILLINOIS IOWA Scholarship KANSAS State Scholarship LOUISIANA Students must have a 2.0 cumulative average or a GED test score average of 45.  MICHIGAN Competitive Scholarship NEM HAMPSHIRE	NORTH DAKOTA OKLAHOMA OREGON PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND State Scholarships State Scholarship and Grant Programs SOUTH CAROLINA TEXAS VERMONT VIRGINIA WASHINGTON WISCONSIN VIRGIN ISLANDS
NEW JERSEY Garden State Scholarship Program OKLAHOMA PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND State Scholarships State Scholarship and Grant Program	STATES WHERE AWARDS CAN BE USED FOR INSTI- TUTIONAL APPROVED STUDY FOR A TERM ABROAD OR IN ANOTHER CONTINENTAL U.S. COLLEGE: CALIFORNIA COLORADO CONNECTICUT DELAWARE
STATES WHERE RESIDENTS WITH ALIEN REGISTRA- TION NUMBERS ARE ELIGIBLE FOR AWARDS:  ALABAMA ARIZONA (Evaluated on an individual basis) ARKANSAS CALIFORNIA COLORADO CONNECTICUT DELAWARE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FLORIDA GEORGIA HAWAII IDAHO If they graduated from an Idaho high school to enroll in college the fall semester, they are an Idaho resident. ILLINOIS IOWA KANSAS KENTUCKY MARYLAND MASSACHUSETTS MICHIGAN MINNESOTA MONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA NEW JERSEY NEW MEXICO NEW YORK	Higher Education Scholarships ILLINOIS IOWA Tuition Grant Scholarship MASSACHUSETTS MICHIGAN If credit is awarded by Michigan institution MINNESOTA MISSOURI NEBRASKA NEW YORK OHIO OREGON PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND State Scholarships State Scholarship & Grant Program SOUTH CAROLINA TENNESSE TEXAS VERMONT VIRGINIA If tuition is paid to Virginia institution WASHINGTON WEST VIRGINIA WISCONSIN Higher Education Grants

#### STATES/PROGRAMS WHERE AWARDS MAY NOT BE USED TO ATTEND TRADITIONAL TWO-YEAR IN-STITUTIONS:

Delaware

Higher Education Scholarships

Voc/Tech. Tuition Grant Program

Michigan

Tuition Grants

Rhode Island

Business Education Teachers Schol.

Wisconsin

Tuition Grants

#### STATES/PROGRAMS WHERE AWARDS MAY NOT BE USED TO ATTEND PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS:

Iowa :

Voc/Tech Tuition Grant Program

Kansas

State Scholar Program

Texas

Texas Public Education

State Student Incentive Grant

#### STATES/PROGRAMS WHERE AWARDS MAY NOT BE USED TO ATTEND PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS:

Connecticut

Contracted Student/Independent Colleges

Georgia

Iowa

Tuition Grant Program

Kansas

Tuition Grant Program

Massachusetts

Consortium Scholarships

Private Non-Degree Tuition Program

Michigan

Tuition Grants

South Carolina

Rhode Island

Business Education Teachers Schol-

arships

Tuition Equalization Grants

Student Incentive Grants

Wisconsin

Tuition Grants

#### FREQUENCY OF APPROPRIATION DECISIONS:

#### Annual .

Alabama

Alaska

Arizona

California

Colorado

Connecticut

Delaware

District of Columbia

Florida

(Biennial beginning 1979-81)

Georgia

Idaho

· Illinois

Iowa

Kansas

Louisiana

Maryland

Massachusetts

Michigan

Mississippi

Missouri

Nebraska

New Jersev

New Mexico

New York

Oklahoma

Pennsylvania

Rhode Island

South Carolina

Tennessee

West Virginia

Guam

Virgin Islands

#### Biennial

Arkansas

Hawaii

Kentucky

Maine

Minnesota

Montana

Nevada

New Hampshire

North Carolina

North Dakota

Ohio

Oregon

Texas

Vermont

Virginia

Washington

Wisconsin

## STATES ASSISTING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN SUMMER SESSIONS:

**ALABAMA** ARIZONA CALIFORNIA COLORADO CONNECTICUT FLORIDA IDAHO ILLINOIS TOWA Voc/Tech tuition Grant Program Senatorial Scholarships MASSACHUSETTS MICHIGAN If funds available MISSISSIPPI MONTANA **NEBRASKA NEVADA NEW JERSEY** Educational Opportunity Fund Grants NEW MEXICO **NEW YORK** NORTH DAKOTA OHIO OREGON Need Grant PENNSYLVANIA SOUTH CAROLINA TEXAS WEST VIRGINIA WISCONSIN VIRGIN ISLANDS

STATES WHOSE AGENCIES HAVE HAD COURT ACTIONS BROUGHT AGAINST THEM IN THE PAST TWO YEARS

<u>ALABAMA</u>: One upcoming on new grant program to students in private institutions.

CALIFORNIA: In small claims court for awards not granted or subsequently withdrawn - Commission has won each case. Medical students contract program ruled unconstitutional.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: District of Columbia Bankers Association filed against the city regarding the District of Columbia Guaranteed Student Loan Program for non-payment on defaulted student loans. The District countered against the Federal government. An agreement between the three parties was negotiated, collections and repayment plan initiated and functioning well.

ILLINOIS: Pending: What refugees are to be considered permanent residents according to our state law defining U.S. citizenship.

IOWA: Americans United v. Rogers. 538 S.U. 2d 711 = Program constitutional; participation of certain schools subject to further question.

NORTH CAROLINA: May of 1976 case, Smith vs. Board of Governors and State Education Assistance Authority, et al which challenged the constitutionality of programs of aid to students who enroll in private colleges was decided in favor of defendants by Federal District Court. Plaintiff's appeal to U.S. Supreme Court was rejected when Court declined to hear the case on appeal.

TENNESSEE: October 3, 1977 - Supreme Court of the United States affirmed the judgement of the Federal District Court which declared the Assistance Award Program constitutional.

#### STATES WHERE UPPERCLASS STUDENTS ARE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY FOR A FIRST TIME AWARD:

ALABAMA\_ **ARIZONA ARKANSAS COLORADO** 1. Student Incentive Grant 2. Student Grants CONNECTICUT 1. State Supp. Grant Program 2. Contracted Student/Ind Colleges CALIFORNIA 1. Cal A DELAWARE WASHINGTON D.C. **FLORIDA GEORGIA I I AWAH** IDAHO ILLINOIS IOWA Tuition Grant Program Voc/Tech Tuition Grant Prog KANSAS Tuition Grant Program KENTUCKY **LOUISIANA** MAINE MARYLAND **MASSACHUSETTS** MICHIGAN **MINNESOTA** Only those students who will be in

their second year

**MISSISSIPPI** 

**MISSOURI MONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA** NEW HAMPSHIRE NEW JERSEY **NEW YORK** NORTH CAROLINA OHIO OKLAHOMA OREGON Need Grant PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND SOUTH CAROLINA DOUTH DAKOTA TENNESSEE **TEXAS VERMONT** VIRGINIA WASHINGTON WEST VIRGINIA WISCONSIN

VIRGIN ISLANDS

## STATES WHERE THE SAME STUDENTS ARE ONCE REJECTED, ELIGIBLE TO REAPPLY IN LATER YEARS.

<u>ALABAMA</u>

ARIZONA

(if eligibility established at institution)

**ARKANSAS** 

1. Student Incentive Grant

2. Student Grants

COLORADO

CONNECTICUT

Contracted student/ind. Colleges

2. State Supp Grant Program

3. State school Program (if student initially qualifies as a semi-finalist, he is eligible to apply for consideration for a stipend for 4 successive years immediately following qualification as a semi-finalist.

CALIFORNIA

DELAWARE

WASHINGTON D.C.

FLORIDA

**GEORGIA** 

IDAHO

ILLINOIS

IOWA

KANSAS

**KENTUCKY** 

LOUISIANA

MAINE

MARYLAND

**MASSACHUSETTS** 

MICHIGAN

**MISSISSIPPI** 

MISSOURI

MONTANA

NEBRASKA

**NEVADA** 

NEW HAMPSHIRE

**NEW JERSEY** 

NEW YORK

Tuition Assistance Program

NORTH CAROLINA

OHIO

OKLAHOMA

OREGON Need Grant

PENNSYLVANIA

RHODE ISLAND

SOUTH CAROLINA

SOUTH DAKOTA

**TENNESSEE** 

TEXAS

VERMONT

**VIRGINIA** 

WASHINGTON

WEST VIRGINIA

WISCONSIN

VIRGIN ISLANDS

#### STATES REQUIRING WINNERS, AFTER AWARD ANNOUNCEMENTS, TO REPORT OTHER AID RECEIVED:

CALIFORNIA

Outside awards, institutionally administered awards, BEOG, VA, Social Security

COLORADO

1. Student Incentive Grants; any change in resources

2. Student Grants; all change in resources

1. Higher Ed. Grant Program(other grant aid) 2. State Scholarship (all grant aid)

1. Higher Ed Scholarships-previously unreported on award application

Only repayable, non-campus based aid

 $\frac{\text{HAWAII}}{\text{All institution administered aid}}$ 

ILLINOIS
All gift aid only

All non-repayable gift aid

To financial aid office for adjustment in package which could include reduction or cancellation of state award

MASSACHUSETTS

(Consortium Scholarships) to colleges

VA and SS benefits not previously reported

MISSISSIPPI NDSL, CSLP, CWSP, SEDG, BEOG

All aid from all sources. Reported for student by school at end of academic year

**ATRATROM** 

All other income and all assistance

Any additional federal, state, or institutional aid is to be reported

All federal programs, outside earnings and scholarships

**NEW JERSEY** 

Ed Oppr Fund Grants-all types tuition Aid Grants-state and federal Garden State Schol. Program-gift aid

NEW MEXICO

Since each institution is responsible for constructing the total aid package for each student, the institution is aware of all financial aid received and responsible for seeing that no over award is made.

NORTH CAROLINA

Everything

NORTH DAKOTA

Gift aid in excess of \$100, excluding BEOG, campus based, and BIA

Scholarships received from organizations or agencies other than the educational institution.

PENNSYLVANIA

Non-institutional administered gift aid must be reported to institution financial aid officer for possible adjustment of State Grant.

SOUTH CAROLINA

All gift aid is to be reported to college Financial Aid Directors and they report adjustments to agency if necessary.

TENNESSEE

Institutions must report if over award occurs so that we may adjust or cancel the TSAA.

TEXAS

All gift aid to be reported to institution with adjustment if necessary.

VERMONT

Local & Private scholarships, tuition remissions, etc.

VIRGINIA

This is reported to us by the institutions

Grants, scholarships, loans, and work. They must inform the Financial Aid Officers of all aid resources.

WEST VIRGINIA

Reported by institutions

#### STATES WHERE PARTIAL AWARDS ARE GIVEN

CAL B \$300 - 1,100 in first year; \$300-\$3,600 subsequently. CAL C \$200-\$2,500. COLORADO: Student Incentive Grants \$200-\$1,500, Student Grants \$100-\$1,000. CONNECTICUT: Higher Education Grant Prog. \$100-\$300; State Scholar Program \$100-\$1000: State Supp. Grant Program \$100-\$1,000. DELAWARE: Higher Education Scholarships -S200-S800: Student Incentive Grants - cannot exceed \$1500 (\$750 Federal, \$750 State). DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: \$1500 maximum is reduced according to reduction in case load. Range from part-time (50%) to full-time, (100%) enrollment. GEORGIA HAWAII IDAHO ILLINOIS: \$120 up (in \$60 intervals) to IOWA: Scholarship \$100-\$600 (\$10 increments); Tuition Grant \$100-\$1500 (\$10 increments); Voc. Tech. Tuition Grant - minimum annual award is \$100 allocated by term. KANSAS: State Scholarship - \$50 minimum in \$10 increments; \$200 minimum in \$10 increments. KENTUCKY LOUISIANA: Minimum award \$200 per year maximum of \$500 based on recommendation of student financial aid official. MARYLAND: One year awards. MASSACHUSETTS: General Schol. Program - half year awards are made; Private Non-Degree Tuition Program - prorated based on length of program; Nursing Scholarship - any educational costs; Consortium Schol. - \$500-\$1000 in \$100 increments; Special Ed. Scholarships half-year awards. MICHIGAN: (Both) \$100 minimum (+\$10 increments). MINNESOTA: (Both) An applicant who will attend school for just one semester, onequarter or two quarters or who is eligible for just one semester, one quarter or two quarters, will receive one-half, one-third, or two-thirds of the full-year award. MISSOURI: Where actual need is less than the usual award limit. MONTANA: Depends on student needs. NEBRASKA: Partial awards are prorated and vary between institutions. NEVADA

CALIFORNIA: CAL A awards range from \$600 to

schools; \$300-\$700 at U of C; approximately

\$200 at the State universities and colleges.

\$2700 in \$100 increments at independent

NEW HAMPSHIRE: NEW JERSEY: Tuition Aid Grants. Awards range from \$100 to \$1,000 not to exceed tuition. Garden State Scholarship Program. N.J. institution decides amount of award which ranges from \$200 minimum to \$500 max. NEW MEXICO: Total amount of any award is dependent upon the need of the student less other financial aid received up to a maximum of \$500 for students attending public institutions and \$1,500 for students attending private institutions. NEW YORK: Only for summer terms for student taking at least 6 but less than 12 credits. OHIO: Any student who does not apply by the full year deadline may apply for awards covering the second and third terms or second semester. Application deadline December 1. OREGON: Need Grant. Awards are reduced when the combination of BEOG and Need Grant exceed one-half need. Cash Grant. Awards may be from \$100-\$500 depending on need and may be for one, two, or three quarters. SOUTH CAROLINA: Maximum award is \$1,800, but if student's need is less award is reduced. Minimum award is \$100. TENNESSEE: Awards are adjusted for less th. full-time or overmet need. TEXAS: Tuition Equalization Grants - full range depending upon need. Student Incentive Grant any amount to need but not to exceed 50% of Tuition Equalization Grant. VERMONT: One semester. WASHINGTON: Awards are disbursed each quarter/ semester to eligible students enrolled in each quarter/semester. Students will receive \$170 per quarter and \$255 per semester. WEST VIRGINIA: Yes. Minimum of \$200 need necessary. Award may be between \$200 and \$1500 depending on tuition and fee charges. VIRGIN ISLANDS: One-half the maximum allowed is given if student is in attendance for one semester. \$500 for summer study.

LEGAL MAXIMUM AWARDS FOR 1978-79: ALABAMA: \$300. ARIZONA: \$1,500 (\$750 state - \$750 federal.) ARKANSAS: \$300. CALIFORNIA: California A - \$2,700 at independent colleges; \$700 at Univ. of California and approximately \$200 at the California State Univ. and Colleges: California B - \$3,600: California C - \$2,500. COLORADO: Student Incentive Grant \$1500; Student Grant \$1000. CONNECTICUT: (1) Higher Educ. Grant Program - \$1,000, (2) Contractual Stud/Independent Colleges - varies, (3) State Supplemental Grant - \$1,000, (4) State Scholarship - \$1,000. DELAWARE: (1) Higher Education Scholarships \$800, (2) Student Incentive Grants \$1,500 (\$750 federal, \$750 state). DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: \$1,500. FLORIDA: \$1,200. GEORGIA: \$450. HAWAII: \$750 ( IDAHO: \$1,500. \$750 (\$375 federal, \$375 non-federal) ILLINOIS: \$1,650 or tuition and mandatory fees if less than \$1,650. IOWA: \$400 - Voc/Tech. Tuition Grant; \$1,500 -Tuition Grant; \$600 - Scholarship. KANSAS: State Scholarship - \$500; Tuition Grant - \$1,000 if accumulated more than 6 hrs. prior to 8/15/78; \$1,200 if accumulated 6 or fewer hrs. prior to that date. KENTUCKY: \$850. LOUISIANA: \$500. MAINE: \$1,500 MARYLAND: \$1,500 (both) MASSACHUSETTS: Special Education - \$500; Consortium - \$1,000; Private Non-Degree Tuition -\$900; other two - none. MICHIGAN: (both) \$1,400 but appropriation bill limits awards to \$1,200. MINNESOTA: \$1,100 (both)

NEBRASKA: \$1,500 (\$750 Federal and \$750 State NEVADA: \$1,500 (\$750 Federal and \$750 State)

\$1,500 (\$750 Federal and \$750 State)

MISSISSIPPI: \$1.500.

NEW HAMPSHIRE: \$1,500.

MISSOURI: \$900. MONTANA: \$600.

NEW JERSEY: Garden State Scholarship Program - \$500; Tuition Aid Grants - \$1,000; Educational Opportunity Grants - \$1,300 NEW MEXICO: \$500 for students attending public institutions and \$1,500 for students attending private institutions. NEW YORK: Tuition Assistance Program - \$1,800; Regents Scholarship - \$1,000. NORTH CAROLINA: \$1,500. NORTH DAKOTA: \$500. OHIO: \$1,500 - private colleges; \$660 - public colleges. OKLAHOMA: \$500. OREGON: Cash Award - \$500; Need Grant - \$1,500. PENNSYLVANIA: \$1,500. RHODE ISLAND: Nursing Education Scholarship -\$900; Business Education Teachers Scholarship -\$375; State Scholarship - \$1,000; State Scholarship and Grant Program - \$1,500; War Orphan Scholarship - \$250. SOUTH CAROLINA: Up to average per student appropriation by state to students attending public institutions, which is (\$2000+) however Tuition Grant Governing Board has set \$1,800 as 1978-79 ceiling. TENNESSEE: \$1,200. TEXAS: Tuition Equilization Grant - \$600; Student Incentive Grant - \$600; Texas Public Education - State Student Incentive Grants \$1,500. VERMONT: \$1,900; However, recipients attending a private college in Vermont may receive up to \$1000 additional, depending upon cost. VIRGINIA: \$800. WASHINGTON: No legal maximum except the award cannot exceed the students computed need. C.P.E. regulations have established the award at \$510. WEST VIRGINIA: Restricted to tuition and fee charges -- \$459 maximum public, and \$1500 pri-WISCONSIN: (all) \$1,500. VIRGIN ISLANDS: \$3000 for U.S. Grants; \$1500 for V. I. & P. R. Grants; \$1000 for U.S. Loans; \$800 for V.I. Loans; and \$700 P.R. Loans.

STATES WHERE AWARD AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED
ON A RELATIVE NEED BASIS BY ESTABLISHING
A SEPARATE COLLEGE BUDGET FOR EACH COLLEGE
OR TYPE OF COLLEGE; HOW BUDGETS ARE DETERMINED:

## NUMBER/DESCRIPTION OF SEPARATE BUDGETS:

#### ARIZONA

Calculated to cover the total cost of educ. by the institution financial aid officer

#### ARKANSAS

Each institution completes a Cost of Education form. Items included are: tuition and fees, room and board, books transportation, and miscellaneous expenses.

#### CALIFORNIA

- 1. Cal A Specific budget data are requested from each participating institution each year plus use of standard allowances
  2. Cal B Specific budget data are requested from each eligible institution each year.
- 3. Cal C By adding reported tuition, fees, books, and supplies costs to \$150 per mo. room & board + \$30 per mo local transportation.

#### COLORADO

1.(Student Incentive Grants) by institutions 2. Student Grants-by institution aid office

## CONNECTICUT

1. Higher Ed Grant Prog. - in-state and out of state; public/private; resident/commuter status; 2. Contractual Stud/Ind. Coll-deb. ind. hr aid officers who use various institutional methods. 3. State Supp Grant-institutional pref. 4. State Schol- awards reflect in-state/out of state status; public/private institution; resident/communter status

## **GEORGIA**

Annual survey of costs are made each spring. Actual tuition fees, room & board charges are used for resident students; room & baord & transportation allowance made for commuting students; plus standard allowance for books, supplies & personal expenses. For independent students, a base maintenance allowande for books, supplies, and personal expenses. For independent students, a base maintenance allowance according to family size,

## ARIZONA

From one to six-Some schools have only one budget; others have a separate budget for dependent and independent students-living at home, off-campus, and on-campus.

## **ARKANSAS**

Two-resident - \$400 BEOG maximum allowable for miscellaneous expenses; commuter-\$1100 BEOG maximum allowable for misc.

#### CALIFORNIA

(all 3 Prog.) Dependent students living on campus; Dependent students living away from home; Dependent students living at home; married student; single independent students.

#### COLORADO

1. Student Incentive Grants & 2. Student Grants- varies, budgets for single, two person, three person, etc. families sometimes on and off campus

## CONNECTICUT

- 1. Higher Ed. Grant Prog.
- 2. Contra. Stud/Indep Coll-generally a-resident & commuter
- 3. State Supp Grant institutional pref
- 4. State Scholar-usually resident & commuter budgets.

#### **GEORGIA**

- 1. Dependent resident
- Dependent commuter
- 3. Independent resident
- 4. Independent commuter

## STATES WHERE AWARD AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED ON A RELATIVE NEED BASIS BY ESTABLISHING A SEPARATE COLLEGE BUDGET FOR EACH COLLEGE OR TYPE OF COLLEGE; HOW BUDGETS ARE DETERMINED:

## NUMBER/DESCRIPTION OF SEPARATE BUDGETS:

GEORGIA (continued) plus direct educational costs.

HAWAII

Tuition + reasonable cost of attendance

3 - 1) single living at home 2) single living in dorms

3) married

IOWA

Tuition Grant Prog & Scholarship Prog. tuition & mandatory fees + standard oncampus room & board charges + standard allowance for books, transportation, & misc. expenses (\$700) Voc/Tech Tuition Grant Prog - tuition & mandatory fees + standard living allowance (\$1,650) for 12 mos)+ standard allowance for books, transportation, and misc expenses (\$700)

IOWA Scholarship Prog - 1 Tuition Grant Prog - 1 Voc/Tech Tuition Grant Prog - 2 (9 & 12 Mo. budgets)

KANSAS

Institutional tuition/fees plus \$200 books/supplies plus SMA=ind. insti. budget

KANSAS

Dependent; self-supporting

LOUISIANA

Tuition & fee amounts are resported individually by each school

LOUISIANA

Dependent resident, dependent commuter and self-supporting

MAINE

Budgets are submitted by the Financial Aid Officers following our guidelines

MAINE

One budget for a dormitory student

MARYLAND

by St Sch. Bd. commuter 1500 + tuition & fees resident + 700 = tuition, fees, room, board

by st. sch. bd. Commuter 1500 + tuition & fees resident 700 + tuition, fees, room, board

MICHIGAN

Tuition and fees and room and board insti. + books and personal and travel established by State

MICHIGAN

Unless upper & lower division tuition and fees are different

MINNESOTA

Resident budget= tuition and fees + resident room and board + \$400. Off-campus budget= tuition and fees + \$1500,

MINNESOTA

Resident budget= tuition and fees + resident room + board + \$400. Off-campus budget= tuition and fees + \$1500.

**MISSOURI** 

Currently, those budgets used by schools

MISSOURI

Each dep. self supporting

STATES WHERE AWARD AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED ON A RELATIVE NEED BASIS BY ESTABLISHING A SEPARATE COLLEGE BUDGET FOR EACH COLLEGE OR TYPE OF COLLEGE; HOW BUDGETS ARE DETERMINED:

## NUMBER/DESCRIPTION OF SEPARATE BUDGETS:

MONTANA

Within quidelines tuition & fees, room and board, books and supplies, transporta-

NEW HAMPSHIRE by type of college

NEW JERSEY

1. Ed. Oppor Fund Grants - by institutional financial aid officer 2. Tuition Aid Grants - BEOG budget employed as well as institutional budget 3. Garden State Schol Prog - resident college budget

NEW MEXICO

Each institution is responsible for determining what factors will be included in the establishment of their standard budgets.

NORTH CAROLINA

Actual college costs plus standard allowances for expenses

OKLAHOMA

Calculation of enrollment fees required of all upper to lower classmen students at each school

Educational costs are gathered from all eligivle institutions and standard budgets are developed for all institutions by the agency

PENNSYLVANIA

Direct questionaire competed by Fianancial Aid officer.

MONTANA

single and married

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Three colleges are placed in ranges based on tuition costs \$0 - 600; \$601-1500, and above

**NEW JERSEY** 

1. Garden State Schol Prog - residents college budget 2. Tuition Aid Grants 3. Ed. Oppor Fund Grants - residential/ commuter 4. BEOG resident/commuter

NEW MEXICO

This varies at each institution. It depends on the type of student (dependent/ independent); whether the student lives on or off campus; the size of the independent student's family; etc.

NORTH CAROLINA

1. resident student 2. off-campus student 3. off-campus student with parent, 4. offcampus student with spouse and/or dependents

OKLAHOMA

Dependent, 9 month and Independent 12 month for one to four family memebers

PENNSYLVANIA

1. Living at home: Tuition and fees plus \$900 (books, personal, meals, transp. 2. Living away from home: (a) campus with dormitories-tuition and fees plus room and board plus \$350 or tuition and fees plus \$1,300 for off-campus (b) campus without dormitories-tuition and fees plus \$900.

## NUMBER/DESCRIPTION OF SEPARATE BUDGETS:

STATES WHERE AWARD AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED
ON A RELATIVE NEED BASIS BY ESTABLISHING
A SEPARATE COLLEGE BUDGET FOR EACH COLLEGE
OR TYPE OF COLLEGE; HOW BUDGETS ARE DETERMINED:

## RHODE ISLAND

obtained from appropriate officials at each school State Schol (old) - program administered by Ed/ Test Serv. -CSS budget tapes are used State Schol & Grant Prog (new) - provided by institution - we use CSS initiated data

Nursing Ed Schol - budget figures are

#### SOUTH CAROLINE

Boarding budgets are comprised of actual charges for tuition/fees/room/board. Commuting budgets include actual charge for tuition/fees plus \$700 indirect expense allowance.

#### **TENNESSEE**

Books \$175, \$450 personal expenses and \$75 for travel for dependent resident students; \$1500 for dependent commuter students; \$3700 for single emancipated (12 months); \$5475 (plus dependent allowance) for married (12 months). To the above tuition/fees are added; for resident dependent room & board is also added.

## **TEXAS**

Tuition equalization Grants to Student Incentive Grants: Determined by educational institution and approved by agency Texas Public Ed - State Student Incentive Prog. - educational institution submits budget which is accepted or rejected

#### VERMONT

Tuition, fees, room and board charges plus \$700

## **VIRGINIA**

submitted by the institutions subject to our approval

#### WEST VIRGINIA

Request budget figures from individual schools in early spring. Institution will be questioned if components of the budget seem unreasonable

## RHODE ISLAND

Nursing Ed. Schol - 3: resident, commuter, self-supporting State Schol (old) 2: commuter, resident State Schol & Grant Prog. - 2 commuter, resident

## SOUTH CAROLINE

2 Boarding and commuting

## **TENNESSEE**

4 - resident, commuter, single emacipated married

#### **TEXAS**

All - as many as needed to fit major categories

#### VERMONT

2 - dependent students; self-supporting students

## VIRGINIA

Three- 1.9-month dependent commuter 2. 9-month dependent resident, 3. 12-mo independent budget determined by size and household

## WEST VIRGINIA

two budgets for dependent students - resident and commuter. Several budgets for independent students - single, married married with one child, etc.

STATES WHERE AWARD AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED
ON A RELATIVE NEED BASIS BY ESTABLISHING
A SEPARATE COLLEGE BUDGET FOR EACH COLLEGE
OR TYPE OF COLLEGE: HOW BUDGETS ARE DETERMINED:

## NUMBER/DESCRIPTION OF SEPARATE BUDGETS

## WISCONSIN

Higher Ed Grants: grant is part of aid package and can be adjusted throughout the academic year Indian-Student Grants-any aid would affect the package; handled by aid officer. Tuition Grants - aid officer will reduce award

## VIRGIN ISLANDS

Not by type of college by location of college (Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, U.S. Mainland and others)

## WISCONSIN

Higher Ed Grants - tuition, room/board books, misc, transportation costs.
Tuition Grants - tuition plus standard non-tuition costs
Indian Student Grants - Standard institution budget plus added amount for special cases or projects

## VIRGIN ISLANDS

Virgin Islands - \$800 V.I. up to 1500 Grants
Puerto Rico 700 loans O.R.
U.S. Mainland 1000 U.S. Mainland 3000
Grants

#### STATES WHERE AWARDS ARE NOT LIMITED TO TUITION AND MANDATORY FEES:

ALABAMA: Tuition, fees, room, board, transportation, books and supplies, and personal expenses not to exceed \$500. ARIZONA: All costs covered in the student cost of education budget. <u>CALIFORNIA:</u> 1.) CAL B, subsistence in first year, subsistence and tuition in subsequent years; 2.) CAL C, books, supplies, transportation, and training-related costs. COLORADO: 1.) Student Incentive Grants educational cost; 2.) Student Grants - total cost of education to maximum of \$1,000. CONNECTICUT: For all educationally related costs. DELAWARE: Student Incentive Grants Program. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Books and supplies, room, board, travel, personal. FLORIDA: All instructional and non-instructional costs. GEORGIA: Tuition, fees, room and board, books, transportation, personal expenses and dependent's allowance. IDAHO: Tuition, fees, room and board, books, necessary transportation or commuting expense, or other expenses reasonably related to attendance at a postsecondary educational institution. KANSAS: State Scholar - tuition/fees; books/ supplies, maintenance. Tuition Grants all traditional education expenses, i.e., tuition/fees; books/supplies and maintenance. KENTUCKY: LOUISIANA: Tuition and fees, books and supplies, room and board, personal and miscellaneous, in controlled cost of education budgets for dependent, independent, and commuter students. MAINE: Tuition and fees; room and board; books, miscellaneous. MARYLAND: Room, board, travel, books, etc. MASSACHUSETTS: (All 5) any educational expenses (limit of \$500 on Special Ed, Schol.) MICHIGAN: Tuition and fees, room and board, miscellaneous expenses. MISSISSIPPI: MISSOURI: Any costs of education. MONTANA: Tuition, fees, room and board, books, and miscellaneous. \$600 maximum award. NEBRASKA: Any educationally related expenses. NEVADA: Any educationally related cost. NEW HAMPSHIRE: All educational costs NEW JERSEY: Any obligation between the student and the institution.

NEW YORK: Tuition Assistance Program - awards limited to tuition only. NORTH CAROLINA: Room, board, books, related educational costs such as transportation, maintenance - a standard allowance. NORTH DAKOTA: Room, board, supplies, travel. personal expenses. OKLAHOMA: OREGON: Room, board, books, transporation, personal. PENNSYLVANIA: Tuition and fees, room and board, books, when State Grant is in combination with other gift aid. Maximum State Grant does not exceed the lesser of 80% of basic tuition or one-third of adjusted financial need (after BEOG) or \$1,500 in-state or \$600 out-of-state. RHODE ISLAND: State Scholarship (old) -Room, board, books and travel. State Scholarship and Grant Program (new) - room, board, books, travel, etc. TEXAS: All VERMONT: Tuition, fees, room, board, books, personal expenses. VIRGINIA: Maximum award will not exceed the cost of tuition and required fees, but the award itself may be applied toward any approved educational costs. WASHINGTON: Room, board, supplies, books, some personal and transporation.

WISCONSIN: Higher Education Grants, Indian

Student Grants, tuition, fees, room, board,

transportation, books, miscellaneous.

## WHAT WAS THE PUBLISHED DEADLINE FOR APPLICATION?

ALABAMA

October 1, 1978

ARIZONA

May 1, 1979

July 1 (fall), October 15 (spring)

CALIFORNIA

All 3 - December 1, 1977

COLORADO

1. Student Incentive Grant

2. Student Grants (varies)

CONNECTICUT

1. Higher Ed Grant Program-Jan 23, 1978

2. Contractual Stud. Indep. Colleges-None

3. State Supp. Grant-institutional preference

4. State Scholar-November 15, 1977

DELAWARE

1. Higher Education Grants-July 1

2. Student Incentive Grants-varied by Inst.

WASHINGTON D. C.

June 30(continuations) July 31 (initials)

FLORIDA

April 1, 1978

**GEORGIA** 

July 1, 1978

HAWAII

None

IDAHO

None

ILLINOIS

February 15, 1979 for 2nd term awards October 1, 1978, for regular term awards

March 1, 1978(priority) June 1, 1978

(seconds)

KANSAS

April 21, priority date

Priority filing date March 15, 1978

LOUISIANA

No student application used

MAINE

April 1, 1978

MARYLAND

both February 15

MASSACHUSETTS

79-80: new-Feb 15, 1979

79-80: renewal April 1, 1979

MICHIGAN Recommended - January 31 - freshmen

March 31 for upperclassmen

MINNESOTA

March 1, 1978

MISSISSIPPI

January 1

**MISSOURI** 

April 30, 1978

MONTANA

Priority date April 1

NEBRASKA

Variable

**NEVADA** 

NEW HAMPSHIRE

**NEW JERSEY** 

Ed. Oppor Fund Grants-March 1979 Tuition Aid Grants March 15, 1979

Garden State Sch. Prog.-November 15, 1977 originally and extended for NJFAF filing

due to new program regulations.

NEW MEXICO

None

**NEW YORK** 

-March 31, 1979

## NORTH CAROLINA April 1

NORTH DAKOTA April 15, 1978

OHIO

August 18-full year award; Dec 1-partial award

OKLAHOMA June 1, 1978

OREGON none

PENNSYLVANIA

May 1-Colleges and all renewal August 1 - first time non-degree school applicants

RHODE ISLAND

Nursing Ed. Schol-3-31-78
State School-(old) -4-7-78
State Schol & Grant Program
(new)-March 15, 1978
Buss Ed. Teachers Schol.-March 31, 1978
War Orphans Schol-March 31, 1978

SOUTH CAROLINA May 1, 1977 was priority

TENNESSEE May 15

TEXAS None

VERMONT March 1, 1978

VIRGINIA March 31, 1978

WASHINGTON October 1, 1978

WEST VIRGINIA March 1

WISCONSIN End of Academic year

VIRGIN ISLANDS March 15 for fall entrance October 15 for 2nd semester

## DATE APPLICATIONS WERE MADE AVAILABLE:

**ALABAMA** 

December, 1978 for 1978-79

<u>ARIZONA</u>

April, 1978

**ARKANSAS** 

January 20, 1978

CALIFORNIA

Dec. 1, 1977

**COLORADO** 

1. Student Incentive Grant-varies

2. Student Grants-varies

CONNECTICUT

1. Higher Ed. Grant ProgFeb,1978 for renewal students-no new apps

accepted for 2 years

2. Contract Stud/Indep Collegesvaries according to institutional

procedures

3. State Supp Grant-Institutional

preference

4. State Scholarship-Sept, 1977

DELAWARE

1. Higher Educ. Scholar-Feb of preceding

academic year

2. Student Incent Grants-Aug of preceding

academic year

WASHINGTON D.C.

March 1, 1978

FLORIDA

December 1, 1977

**GEORGIA** 

March, 1978

HAWAII

March

IDAHO

January, 1978

ILLINOIS

September 77-Feb. 15, 1979

TOWA

December, 1977

KANSAS

upon release of 1978-79 ACT-FFS

KENTUCKY

December 1

July 10

MAINE

December 1, 1977

MARYLAND

Tate October

**MASSACHUSETTS** 

November 28 for 1979-80

MICHIGAN

January 1

<u>MINNESOTA</u>

December 1, 1977

MISSISSIPPI

Applicant may apply at anytime

MISSOURI

December, 1977

MONTANA

December

<u>NEBRASKA</u>

Variable

NEVADA

February 1. All students applying for financial aid are considered. Nevada must use alternative matching such as grant-in-aid and scholarships.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

January

NEW JERSEY

Garden State Scho Prog-fall'77 Tuition Aid Grants-Jan 1, 1978 Ed/ Oppor. Fund Grants-Jan, 1978

NEW MEXICO

Institutions were allowed to accept applications and make tentative awards as early as May 1, 1978. Apps are made available at different times during the year by each institution

## DATE APPLICATIONS WERE MADE AVAILABLE

**NEW YORK** 

April 1. 1978

NORTH CAROLINA

At time of release of FAF & FFS

NORTH DAKOTA January 1, 1978

OHIO

December 1, 1977

OKLAHOMA

March, 1978

OREGON

December, 1977

PENNSYLVANIA December 1, 1977 (for 78-79)

RHODE ISLAND

Nursing Ed. Schol - March 31,'78 Bus Educ Teachers Schol-Nov '77-Mar '78 State Schol (old) Nov '77 State Schol & Grant Prog. - Dec '77 War Orphans Schol - Nov '77-Mar '78

SOUTH CAROLINA October, 1977

TENNESSEE fall of 1978

**TEXAS** 

November

VERMONT

January 1, 1978

VIRGINIA

December, 1977

WASHINGTON

July 1, 1978

WEST VIRGINIA

December

WISCONSIN

January 1, 1978 (all)

VIRGIN ISLANDS

September, 1977

ALABAMA BEOG

ARIZONA

ACT, CSS, BEOG, University of Arizona system

ARKANSAS BEOG

**COLORADO** 

1. Student Incentive Grant- Uniform Methodology

2. Student Grants- Uniform Methodology

CONNECTICUT

Higher Education Grant Program-

BEOG & CSS

Contractual Student/Ind. College - need

calculated by Ind. Colleges & universities

3. State supported Grant-Institutional preference ACT-Uniform Methodology

4. State Scholar-Uniform meth. (CSS)

CALIFORNIA

CSS. Uniform Meth. FAF

**DELAWARE** 

WASHINGTON D.C.

BEOG

**FLORIDA** 

FFS (ACT) & FAF (CSS)

**GEORGIA** 

CSS

IIAWAH

BEOG

Most institutions use CSS

**ILLINOIS** 

own

Uniform method. (CSS)

KANSAS

ACT-FFS State Scholarship

ACT - Tuition Grants

KENTUCKY

CSS

LOUISIANA

Dependent students - ACT, CSS, BEOG,

Income Tax method

Independent students - ACT, CSS, BEOG

MAINE

FAF

MARYLAND

CSS & BEOG

MASSACHUSETTS

CSS (Consortium institutional, mostly CSS)

MICHIGAN

Uniform Meth - (either ACT or CSS)

MINNESOTA

MISSISSIPPI

Each institution has a choice of CSS, BEOG,

Income tax, & ACT

MISSOURI

ACT & CSS

MONTANA.

CSS and/or ACT

**NEBRASKA** 

BEOG, ACT, CSS

NEVADA

ACT, CSS, BEOG

**NEW HAMPSHIRE** 

BEOG

NEW MEXICO

Post-secondary educ. institutions use BEOG, ACT, CSS.

NEW JERSEY

CSS

**NEW YORK** 

By statute awards are scaled according

to state taxable income

NORTH CAROLINA

ACT or CSS

NORTH DAKOTA

## WHAT NEED ANALYSIS SYSTEM WAS USED IN MAKING 1978-79 AWARDS?:

OKLAHOMA

Actual income situation for 1977 tax year

OREGON CSS

**PENNSYLVANIA** 

Our own

RHODE ISLAND

CSS for all except War Orphans Schol (N/A)

SOUTH CAROLINA CSS & our own system

TENNESSEE ACT or CSS

 $\frac{\text{TEXAS}}{\text{CSS}}$  or ACT or method outlined on application for hand analysis

VERMONT

**VIRGINIA** 

WASHINGTON

WEST VIRGINIA

WISCONSIN CSS-FAF

**VIRGINIA** 

CSS

## HOW. BY WHOM, AND HOW OFTEN ARE APPLICANTS INFORMED OF AWARD DECISIONS?

## ALABAMA

Award letters are forwarded by the agency to the institutions for distribution to students in August and October.

#### ARIZONA

This is done by each institution Financial Aid Officer according to the institutional procedures.

## **ARKANSAS**

Through award notifications sent by the agency on July 1, and October 15, 1977.

#### CALIFORNIA

Cal A-new winner announcement made in spring by the Commission; renewal announcement made in the late summer by the Commission; Cal B-new winners notified in April; renewal students notified in July. Cal C-award announcement letters sent directly to student

## DELAWARE

 Higher Education Grants-letter to applicants monthly, Feb-Nov.
 Student Incentive Grants-letter at time of award decision.

#### WASHINGTON D.C.

Informed by agency, by letter, once per academic year.

#### FLORIDA

Notified by the Florida Student Financial Assistance Commission between July 15-Aug 15.

#### **GEORGIA**

State agency notifies student immediately following the processing of the application.

#### HAWAII

By written communication, by institution, semester

#### IDAHO

Award letter from Executive Director when first half of award is processed

## ILLINOIS

Agency informs - rolling decisions

#### TOWA

Scholarship & Voc/Tech Tuition Grant agency mailed individual award notices May 1, 1978, Tuition Grant Programagency mailed notices May 1, 1978, and August 1, 1978.

#### KANSAS

Letter from state agency at irregular intervals after April priority date.

## KENTUCKY

Award notice from KHEAA beginning May 17 and be-weekly thereafter or long as funds remain

#### LOUISIANA

Applicants are informed by the financial aid officials

#### MAINE

Students are informed by an award letter from this office.

#### MARYLAND

4 times annually by our office (May, July, Oct, & March)

## **MASSACHUSETTS**

By agency several times a year(except consortium Scholarship-College Financial Aid Officer)

## MICHIGAN

Competitive Scholarship-by letter from State Office-May 1 for freshmen; June 20 for renewals; and early July for late freshman.

## HOW, BY WHOM, AND HOW OFTEN ARE APPLICANTS INFORMED OF AWARD DECISIONS?

MONTANA

by institutions within guidelines

NEBRASKA

By institutions with approval by the agency

**NEVADA** 

Eligible institution recommends; agency makes final determination

NEW HAMPSHIRE

by the Commission

**NEW JERSEY** 

by eligible institutions following state guidelines

NEW MEXICO

by eligible institution following general guidelines

**NEW YORK** 

Agency

NORTH CAROLINA

by agency/office, the Authority's agent, College Foundation, Inc.

NORTH DAKOTA

Agency director

OHIO

All award decisions are made by the agency

**OKLAHOMA** 

Institutions make recommendations. Final award decisions are made by this office.

OREGON

Agency-students must submit a Financial Aid Form directly to the agency for review and final decision on eligibility and award amount.

PENNSYLVANIA

By the Agency, subject to institutional adjustment for other gift aid received.

RHODE ISLAND

War Orphans Schol & Nursing Ed. Schby the agency, in this case the Rhode Island Higher Ed. Assistance Authority RHODE ISLAND (continued)

Bus. Educ Teachers Schol - by the eligible institution following general guidelines, in this case by Bryant Coll. State Schol -(old) & State Schol & Grant Prog (new) - by the agency

SOUTH CAROLINE

The agency makes the actual decision on each award

**TENNESSEE** 

Award decisions are made by TSAC

TEXAS

By eligible institution following guidelines but affirmed by (or disapproved by agency)

VERMONT

by the agency

VIRGINIA

All award decisions are made by the agency (Council of Higher Ed.)

WASHINGTON

Award decisions are made by the institutions through nominations to the Council in accordance with very strict and definitive Operations Manual.

WEST VIRGINIA

Agency selects recipients based upon the guidelines recommended by the Advisory Council on West Virginia Grants and approved by the Board of Regents.

WISCONSIN

Agency and aid officers combinations

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Agency

# AGENCY'S DEFINITION OF A SELF-SUPPORTING OR EMANCIPATED STUDENT FOR 1978-79 GIFT AID PROGRAMS

ALABAMA: Same as BEOG.
ALASKA: If 18 or over, simple statement of self-support based on not appearing on anyone else's I.R.S. statement as a dependent; have not considered anyone younger. ARIZONA: Definition made at each participating institution. ARKANSAS: We use the BEOG determination of self-supporting status from Section C. Ouestions are asked to determine residency, tax exemption status, financial support, etc, over a three year period. CALIFORNIA: A) By June 30, 1979 may not have lived with either parent or received financial assistance exceeding \$750 from either parent for 3 consectutive tax years, and may not have been claimed as an income tax exemption by anyone other than self or spouse, OR 3) Have been a ward of the court, OR C) Have been a part of an extremely adverse home situation which is documented by school or responsible community personnel (minister, social worker, etc.) which has led to estrangement from family and have not received a contribution in cash or in kind from family for preceding 12 months. (Full documentation must accompany the FAF.) COLORADO: Uniform methodology definition. CONNECTICUT: Same as BEOG definition.
We require a \$2,500 self-help expectation from all independent students. DELAWARE: Not included as dependent on parent's I.R.S. forms, demonstrate means of self-support, and not living at home (parent's address) for extended periods of time. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Students over the age of 21 or under 21 who live away from parents, are not claimed by them on income tax forms, and have declared themselves independent. FLORIDA: Federal definition for collegebased programs (Federal Guidelines). GEORGIA: A student who did not or will not reside with, receive \$600 or more in support, or be claimed as an income tax exemption by anyone other than himself (or spouse) during the present year or immediately preceding calendar year.
HAWAII: Same as Federal definition.
IDAHO: A student who has not and will not be claimed as an exemption, has not re-ceived or will not receive financial assistance of more than \$600, nor has not, or will not live for morethan two consecutive weeks in the home of a parent during the calendar year(s) in which aid is received and the calendar year prior. ILLINOIS: Same as federal. IOWA: Same as federal definition. KANSAS: Same as Uniform Methodology. KENTUCKY: Same as federal. LOUISIANA: Student who has not or will not be claimed as an exemption for federal income tax purposes other than self or spouse; has not or will not receive \$600 from parents during calendar year prior to or for which aid is requested; has not

weeks prior to or for which aid is requested. MAINE: BEOG definition. MARYLAND: Same as CSS and BEOG, but a group of Financial Aid Officers are evaluating the approach more closely to recommend a current Maryland living stand-MASSACHUSETTS: Married, divorced, seperated, widowed, or orphaned, or, if single, at least 25 years of age. If single and under 25, must meet 3 federal criteria for the 3 years concerned.
MICHIGAN: Same as U. S. O. E.
MINNESOTA: Same as federal definition for campus based programs. MISSISSIPPI: One who has no other source of educational funds other than those provided by the individual student. MISSOURI: We use ACT/CSS need analysis. MONTANA: Same as college-based federal aid programs. NEBRASKA: The self-supporting or emancipated stud. t. for 1978-79 gift aid programs, was not uniformly defined on a state-wide level. The federal guideline definitions are presently being used. NEVADA: College Work Study as defined in Federal Register 9/28/77. NEW HAMPSHIRE: Same as Basic Educational Opportunity Grant. NEW JERSEY: Must meet all of the following criteria: (1) have not lived and will not live with parents for more than two weeks (2) not claimed by parents as exemption on Federal tax return (3) have not received more than \$600 per year from parents, and (4) have demonstrated ability to support themselves through their own financial re-sources showing at least \$1400 in resources for prior year.
NEW MEXICO: Because New Mexico operates a decentralized program each institution is charged with the responsibility of establishing a definition of self-supporting or emancipated student. Generally, guidelines for other federal aid programs are used. NEW YORK: Criteria for financial independence: 1)\*35 yrs. of age and older, 2) Undergraduate 22-24 and all graduate students under 35: must not have resided with parents for more than 2 weeks; must not have received financial assistance in excess of \$600 from parents; must not have been claimed as income tax deductions by parents (Special circumstances exemptions). NORTH CAROLINA: Current definition is "Independent Student means a self-supporting student who did not or will not reside with (for a consecutive period of more than two (2) weeks), receive \$600 or more in support from, or be claimed as an income tax exemption by anyone other than himself (or spouse) during the immediately preceding, current or ensuing calendar year; however, we plan to adopt the proposed USOE definition when approved. NORTH DAKOTA: Same as for Federal programs. OHIO: 1. Student must not have been claimed as tax exemption by "parents" in 1977, 1978, or 1979. 2. Student must not have resided with "parents" in 1977, 1978, or 1979. 3. Student must not have received any financial support from parents in 1977, 1978, or 1979.

lived with parents for two consecutive

# AGENCY'S DEFINITION OF A SELF-SUPPORTING OR EMANCIPATED STUDENT FOR 1978-79 GIFT AID PROGRAMS

OKLAHOMA: During the preceding 12 months the student must not have resided with or been claimed as a dependent for Federal Income Tax purposes or been the recipient of an amount in excess of \$600 from one or both parents.

OREGON: U.S.O.E. definition.

PENNSYLVANIA: All Veterans of the U.S. Armed Services, Orphans, Wards of the Court, those graduated from high school six years or more. All others tested individually that during the prior year and award year they were: (1) not claimed as a tax dependent, (2) not residing with parents other than during national holiday periods, (3) not receiving more than \$200 per year from parents, and (4) able to demonstrate reasonable 12-month living resources. RHODE ISLAND: Same as BEOG requirements.

RHODE ISLAND: Same as BEOG requirements.

SOUTH CAROLINA: Between January 1, 1977
and December 31, 1977 A. Did you receive
\$600 or more from one or both parents/
guardians? B. Were you claimed as a dependent on your parents/guardian's tax
form? C. Have you resided with either parent/guardian for more than four weeks?

TENNESSEE: Same as federal definition.

TEXAS: Same as U.S.O.E.

VERMONT: Has not been claimed nor will be claimed as exemption for Federal Income Tax purposes by either parent or any other person (except spouse) for calendar year in which aid is received and the 2 prior calendar years; has not received nor will receive financial assistance of more than \$200 including room and board of any kind from parents. A student is considered to have received more than \$200 in assistance if he or she has resided with parent(s) for a period of one month or longer during the aforementioned period. VIRGINIA: Federal definitions (USOE). WASHINGTON: Students must meet all of the requirements of the federal definition but in addition, prove they have been self-supporting or emancipated for a total of FIVE YEARS. Emancipated students may file parental information to determine if they qualify.

WEST VIRGINIA: Use definition which applies to federal student aid program. 1.) Not lived with parents. 2.) Not been listed as an exemption on parent's income tax return. 3.) Not received financial assistance from parents.

WISCONSIN: Same as federal.

VIRGIN ISLANDS: A student who receives less than 1/2 the cost of his education from his parent or guardian and as a result must rely on work-study programs, institutional loans or other federal and local programs to provide the additional funds needed to take care of his education.

IS THE AGENCY RECEIVING BASIC GRANT APPLICATION INFORMATION REGULARLY? HOW IS THE DATA USED TO COORDINATE AWARD DECISIONS FOR THE MUTUAL (I.E. BASIC GRANT AND STATE GRANT) APPLICANTS?

ALABAMA: Yes, Financial information provided on the Agency's full data tape is used to calculate need for state grants. ALASKA: Yes, potential awards are not calculated, actual awards are added to re-ARKANSAS: Yes, in 1978-79, the data is used for preliminary award information and we send applications to students who are on the BEOG roster, but have not applied for our program. CALIFORNIA: Consider BEOG as an award (student resource) to be coordinated. CONNECTICUT: Yes, eligibility index is used in conjunction with Higher Education Grant Program. DELAWARE: Yes, for information and "packaging" consideration.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Yes, the data is used to verify need and other information in determining eligibility. FLORIDA: Eligibility indexes are printed on all award and rejection letters. plus correspondence to institutions. Institutions are requested to adjust state grants (downward) if a student's need has been exceeded. GEORGIA: Yes, BEOG computation is applied to the budget (established by this agency) of the institution the student is to attend (acceptance by that institution being a prerequisite to the student's submission of a GIS application). The amount of BEOG thus determined is considered a resource in determining the GIS award. If no BEOG data has been received, the agency makes the BEOG computation on the basis of CSS, info. received and BEOG law. HAWAII: Yes, information made available to all participating institutions. IDAHO: Yes, to check data furnished by institutions. ILLINOIS: We update our Basic Grant estimate to actually received.

IOWA: Yes - ICAC uses the data to monitor the student's total gift aid package. A student may not receive gift assistance exceeding our estimate of his/her need. KANSAS: Only to compare data submitted prior to requests for verification of questionable entries. KENTUCKY: Yes, verify application has been filed. LOUISIANA: Yes, used to monitor BEOG information on requests for SSIG awards. MAINE: BEOG award is added to student resources. MARYLAND: Yes, State Award represents 1/3 to 1/2 of student need after deducting BECG award from maximum need. MICHIGAN: Yes - to this date the data have not been used. MINNESOTA: BEOG awards are considered when the amount of each individual state award is calculated. No more than 75% of an applicant's need may be met through a combination of the BEOG and state awards. MISSISSIPPI: Only SSIG awards are reviewed and approved through this office.
MISSOURI: Not this year. Will not be used until 1979-80. We will get 1978-79 summary data.

MONTANA: Available to institutions upon request. NEVADA: Yes. NEW HAMPSHIRE: Awards determined by student eligibility index number (and cost of education). NEW JERSEY: Student grants are subject to adjustment based upon the resources available to the student for meeting educational costs. For students attending public colleges in New Jersey, grants will be adjusted upward if the student's resources (defined as the total of the estimated family contribution, Federal Basic Grant and Tuition Aid Grant) are not equal to 50% of the student's approved college budget as defined below. For students attending independent colleges in New Jersey, grants will be adjusted upward if the resources just defined do not equal 35% of the student's approved college budget. The approved college budget is defined as the appropriate resident or commuter budget approved by the Federal Basic Grant Program at the institution the student is attending. State grants will be decreased if the student's resources, as defined above, exceed 85% of the student's approved college budget. Students attending eligible out-of-state institutions are subject to the same award table adjustment rules described above for in-state independent institutions. NEW YORK: Information is used for statistical purposes only. NORTH DAKOTA: 1978-79 Used for statistical purposes only; 1979-80 will be used to incorporate SEI as a criteria for State Grant eligibility. OREGON: Used to check BEOG application. PENNSYLVANIA: Revised BEOG Offset Procedure. BEOG Offset Procedure effective with 1978-79: Pro rata offset ranges from zero when tuition and fees are more than \$2,800 to 100% BEOG offset when tuition and fees are \$1,600 or less. Offset is applied against financial need (educational costs less expected parental contribution). SOUTH CAROLINA: Yes. BEOG awards are used as a direct contribution against need. TENNESSEE: Estimated BEOG is determined - data helpful in estimating aid available in Tennessee. TEXAS: Not used (only summary data received).
VERMONT: We calculate Basic Grant awards for all applicants using award amount in determining state grant eligibility and student counseling. VIRGINIA: We rely primarily on the institutions to make adjustments in aid packages, if necessary, to accommodate a CSAP award. We then verify that a student is not overawarded total aid by more than \$100. WASHINGTON: Yes, yearly. Data is not used to coordinate but to serve as a planning and research mechanism. WEST VIRGINIA: BEOG eligibility is initially calculated through information reported by the College Scholarship Service for FAF filers. It is later confirmed through official BEOG tapes. WISCONSIN: Now used only for research purposes since SER is available for CSS under MDE. VIRGIN ISLANDS: Yes, but it is limited to general information. Information on applicant award is received in our office from other institutions when requested. The College of the V.I. receives BEOG information for students.

WHAT IMPACT AND/OR DECISIONS RELATING TO FEDERAL BEOG (BASIC GRANT) HAS YOUR AGENCY MADE RELATIVE TO THE 1978-79 AWARD YEAR PROGRAMS?

ALABAMA: BEOG's are the base of all financial aid. State grants and campus-based programs are supplemental to BEOG's. ARKANSAS: We are using the basic grant eligibility index for award computation this year but will be joining a statewide common application network using the ACT system in <u>CALIFORNIA</u>: We have coordinated our awards with BEOG, withdrawing or reducing our awards when BEOG meets students need, all or in part. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BEOG/SER is used for needs analysis and to cross check data received from applicants.
FLORIDA: The BEOG application is a condition for Florida Student Assistance Grants. GEORGIA: No change - BEOG state report is used in calculating GIS awards - and a relative need approach continues to be used. ILLINOIS: Agency calculates Basic Grant estimated award and considers as a first resource to meet college costs. KANSAS: Only that BEOG must be considered as meeting need as established for state programs. KENTUCKY: KHEAA notified in processing stage, (earlier than in past) its grant recipients who were eligible for Basic Grant but who had not applied of the requirement to apply for the Basic Grant before a second semester state grant award would be dispersed. MAINE: Applicants must apply for Basic Educational Opportunity Grant.
MARYLAND: Nothing new; we will continue to build on BEOG in our packaging of aid for students, with a portion of total need left for institution to provide. MICHIGAN: It is difficult to know the impact since each institution has full monitoring responsibilities for all sources of gift aid. MISSOURI: None. However, student must apply for Basic Grant to receive Missouri grant in 1979-80. NEW JERSEY: New Jersey has moved to integrate BEOG and State TAG (1) becoming a state processor and (2) basing State grant eligibility on family ability to pay and BEOG.
NORTH CAROLINA: Application for a BEOG is
a prerequisite for an NCSIG award. NCSIG is intended to be the second step in a package of financial aid for students that have "substantial financial need." NORTH DAKOTA: Used only for statistical OREGON: The combination of BEOG award amount and State grant may not exceed 50% of the recipients financial need.

PENNSYLVANIA: Revised BEOG Offset Procedure.
BEOG Offset Procedure effective with 1978-79:
Pro rata offset ranges from zero when tuition and fees are more than \$2,800 to 100% BEOG offset when tuition and fees are \$1,600 or less.
Offset is applied against financial need (ed-

ucational costs less expected parental con-

tribution).

RHODE ISLAND: SSIG is being used for grant program for freshmen only. SOUTH CAROLINA: All students strongly encouraged to apply for BEOG Awards. Students with high probability of receiving BEOG Awards are required to apply. 85% of Tuition Grant Awardees in 1977-78 also applied for TENNESSEE: Require that TSAA recipients apply for BEOG; estimated BEOG considered as a resource in determining need for state grant. TEXAS: Require utilization of BEOG prior to awarding state aid. VERMONT: Utilizing Basic Grants as an initial source since 1976-77 has allowed state funds to assist both middle income students and students attending higher cost postsecondary institutions.
VIRGINIA: An estimated BEOG Grant is used in the determination of need. WEST VIRGINIA: Agency calculated the BEOG award from information provided on CSS and/ or BECG tapes. BEOG award treated as a resource. State grants were adjusted in those instances where the combination of the two awards exceeded the student's demonstrated need. WISCONSIN: Student must apply for BEOG his/her BEOG award part of State grant award VIRGIN ISLANDS: (1.) The agency is requiring SSIG applicants to furnish information on BEOG awards as one criterion for determining need, (2) The agency will continue to sponsor workshops for counselors on BEOG program changes and will disseminate information to

high school students. Students will be en-

couraged to apply for BEOG.

IS THE EXISTENCE OF BASIC GRANTS CAUSING ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN WHAT PURPOSES, AMOUNTS, OR PROGRAMS THE STATE-FUNDED GIFT AID PROGRAMS SHOULD ACHIEVE?

GUAM: No.

ALABAMA: No, BEOG came before any Alabama programs. ALASKA: No, a gift aid program does not exist. ARIZONA: It definitely has an influence, but that varies considerably from one institution to another. Therefore, an overall assessment cannot be made. ARKANSAS: No.
CALIFORNIA
COLORADO: Basic grants are raising serious questions about packaging. CONNECTICUT: No. Like the BEOG Program, award amounts are tendered on the basis of cost and ability to pay. In effect, this program supplements the BEOG award. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: No. FLORIDA: Award maximums formerly set at \$1,200 or need have been changed for the 1978-79 academic year to \$1,200, need or tuition and fees (whichever is less). GEORGIA: The level of Basic Grant funding, the characteristics of that program relative to allowances, limitations, etc. necessarily have an impact upon the formulas and procedures used in the State SSIG program. HAWAII: No. ILLINOIS: Significantly reducing unmet cost at public and private twoyear institutions. IOWA: No.

KANSAS: No.

KENTUCKY: No.

LOUISIANA: Since BEOG has satisfied a substantial portion of need-based student assistance, a number of student aid officials are using SSIG as a reward for academic acheivement to better students who qualify. MAINE: The BEOG award amount is used in formula to determine need. MARYLAND: Has a strong impact on packaging since we build on BEOG. MASSACHUSETTS: No. MICHIGAN: A proposed State program similar to BEOG was dropped when the BEOG program was added. MINNESOTA: Yes. MISSISSIPPI: No. MISSOURI: Probably.
MONTANA: Not yet, but probably will in the future. NEBRASKA: This data is unavailable. NEVADA: No. NEW HAMPSHIRE: No. NEW MEXICO: No. the New Mexico Student Incentive Grant Program is only in its third year. However, if Basic Grants increase significantly, it could have an effect on this program. NEW YORK: None.
NORTH CAROLINA: None.
NORTH DAKOTA: Yes, previously State Grant was incentive to low income students. Now BEOG is incentive to low income.

OHIO: The state grant is directed at tuition and the Basic Grant is seen as meeting other educational expenses. Recommendations to increase the general amount of state program are affected by increases in minimum level of Basic Grant. OKLAHOMA: No.
OREGON: Availability of BEOG has resulted in the adjustment of award amounts to BEOG eligibles, recognizing the need to spread grant funds to students who previously relied on self-help (work-PENNSYLVANIA: State Grant Program funding level has been frozen for five years. SSIG and front loading BEOG award against computed financial need has permitted a very modest shifting of some State Grant dollars to middle income families. RHODE ISLAND: Yes - estimated BEOG are counted as a student resource in determining eligibility for an amount of state award. SOUTH CAROLINA: The existence of Basic Grants Program is reducing the amount of state appropriated funds necessary to meet the need of some students. TENNESSEE: By considering an estimated BEOG as an educational resource, state grants have been reduced or eliminated in some cases; this equalizes the amount of gift aid (BEOG - TSAA) students receive. TEXAS: State grants are now aimed toward a higher income group than prior to BEOG. VERMONT: Grant has allowed the state funds to quarantee access and offer some choice plus aid more middle income families. VIRGINIA: No, the existence encourages the partnership in that we see the CSAP as a second layer to the foundation program. WASHINGTON: It has kept the level of the State Need Grant low, but, even by combining the SNG and BEOG, there is still adequate allowances for student self-help through work and loans. WEST VIRGINIA: No. Substantial direct impact on the State Grant Program role and purpose. WISCONSIN: More middle income students being served.

ALABAMA: The state grant must be a part of the institution's award package to the student. ALASKA: In no way.
ARIZONA: Not at all.
ARKANSAS: We do not directly influence packaging but make award information available to all schools so that they know our award as they package. COLORADO: Through the mix of state appropriations for grant, work, and loan.

CONNECTICUT: State does not attempt to influence. DELAWARE: No DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Not applicable. FLORIDA: 1978-79 grant award maximums have been changed to 1,200 or tuition and fees, whichever is less. This change in statute should mean a more equitable distribution of grant funds and provide for better packaging. GEORGIA: Campus-based packaging is done (or adjusted) at the institution. ILLINOIS: Unmet cost concept.

IOWA: Minimum self-help factor is applied at all times. Restrict the total gift assistance a State recipient may receive. KANSAS: An institutional decision only. No attempt or desire to influence a campus response. MAINE: We try to get a list of awardees to college financial aid officers early enough to influence packaging. MARYLAND: Attempts to influence the overall packaging of greatly expanded training of college and high school counselors in the system employed. BEOG + State + College = Package. MICHIGAN: No. MINNESOTA: State attempting to influence the MICHIGAN: overall packaging with a 75% of need ceiling and a prohibition against over-awarding. MISSISSIPPI: Not applicable MISSOURI: Encourage packaging using Basic Grant, then Missouri Grant, then other aid.

MONTANA: Decentralize the SSIG program.

NEBRASKA: It doesn't.

NEVADA: Not applicable

NEW MEXICO: No attempt is made.

NEW YORK: We don't. Final packaging is left to the discretion of the institution. NORTH CAROLINA: We attempt to target grant funds to those of greatest financial need, reserving loan resources for those of "lesser need." NORTH DAKOTA: Not applicable. OHIO: None No OREGON: Agency encourages institutions to maximize the use of gift aid by including a form of self-help in all packages. PENNSYLVANIA: Limiting maximum State Grant award to the lesser of one-third of adjusted financial need (after BEOG) or 80% of basic tuition; \$1,500 in-state or \$600 out-of-state. RHODE ISLAND: Do not.

SOUTH CAROLINA: None
TENNESSEE: Require that institution repackage to assure that a student's need is not over-met. Our self-help includes summer and school term earnings, savings and loans. TEXAS: Require use of BEOG before state funds and require use of state loan as a last resort. **VERMONT:** Attempts to encourage packaging in the following ways: Use Equal Opportunity Funding Model which guarantees in FY 79 that all students would have 58% of their costs met up to \$1900 by state grants, BEOG, and parents' contribution;

pre-award rosters to all directors of financial aid as early as possible; and applying the Gift Aid Tolerance Level which assures no student receives more than the cost of tuition, fees, room and board in the form of gift aid and parents' contribution to meet educational expenses. Books, personal expenses, and transportation must be paid through loans and work.

<u>VIRGINIA</u>: It is hoped that a student will come to the institution prior to the receiving institutional aid with knowledge of receiving or not receiving a BEOG and a state award.

WASHINGTON: Through research and studies, financial aid officers are shown the advantages of including term-time employment in all aid packages.

WEST-VIRGINIA: Left up to discretion of school. As long as the total gift aid is within our need figure, we do nothing.

WISCONSIN: State packages its aid on an equity formula, schools fill in using their aid.

GUAM: The Government of Guam, through the Board of Regents, has the sole responsibility for awarding and disbursing of award funds.

WHAT SPECIFIC DECISIONS HAVE YOU MADE TO HIGHLIGHT THE PURPOSE OF "REASONABLE CHOICE" IN YOUR PROGRAM(S)?

ALABAMA: Awards available at all types of . institutions.

ARKANSAS: We are continuing our distribution of information regarding public and private institutions, etc. and academic programs.

CALIFORNIA: Cal Grant A has freedom of choice, Cal Grant B is limited to 51% community colleges; Cal Grant C is primarily for students in vocational courses. COLORADO: We obtained legislation expanding the range of institutions eligible for the program.

CONNECTICUT: Award amounts are based on the cost of the institution in the State

Scholarship Program.

DELAWARE: All institutions that are accredited and secular are acceptable. FLORIDA: Recipients are selected on the basis of relative need; thus students who attend the higher cost, independent colleges and universities are benefited.

GEORGIA: This program is not publicized as being one which affords substantial reasonable choice due to inadequate funding. The state's program of grants to private college students is viewed as affording "reasonable choice" to students.

ILLINOIS: Careful annual review of maximum award value for 78-79; increased from \$1550 to \$1650.

IOWA: The primary goal of the Iowa Tuition Grant Program is to provide students with the option of attending higher-cost private institutions. Several nontraditional institutions have recently been approved for participation in the program.

KANSAS: None besides informational publicity. KENTUCKY: Many change college choice through June 1 for fall or year awards and through Oct. 15 for spring only awards.

LOUISIANA: This remains with student aid

MAINE: Formula depends on cost of attendance, making awards to students at non-public schools higher.

MARYLAND: Do not meet total need and this requires some college involvement in packaging of aid and counseling. MASSACHUSETTS: Modest-only stipend sizes of

\$300 Mass. public, \$900 private, and \$600 non-Mass. public.

MICHIGAN: Financial need is measured on a "relative need" basis reflecting higher costs at some schools. Also, maximum stipend has been increased to reflect higher costs at many private colleges. MINNESOTA: Limit state award to 5 of need and state award plus BEOG to 75% of need. This stretches funds to more students. Establishing student budgets which reflect the cost of the institutions they select.

MISSOURI: Program itself is so structured. MONTANA: Broaden the institutional eligibility to include all post-secondary institutions with the exception of proprietary schools.

NEW YORK: The program scales awards to tuition, thereby, reducing the tuition differential between public and private colleges.

NORTH CAROLINA: Recognition of tuition differential in calculating actual award. NORTH DAKOTA: Grant can be taken to any non-profit school in state.

OHIO: We will be recommending that grants for students attending private colleges be increased to 30% of the average tuition in the private sector and Ohio has established a reciprocity agreement with Pennsylvania.

. .

\_ \_ \_

- -

OREGON: By limiting the combination of BEOG and State Grant to ½ need, state funds are released to assist middle income students, who typically receive no federal grant assistance (SEOG or BEOG). The availability of more than self-help to middle income students directly relates to the issue of choice of such students.

PENNSYLVANIA: Maintained in-state private college maximum grant at the \$1500 legislated maximum and as restricted program funding levels dictated. Cut back maximum award limits at (A) out-of-state colleges, and (B) in-state public colleges because annual tax supported subsidies have negated any substantial increases in year-to-year educational costs.

RHODE ISLAND: Program is fully portable and includes a variety of eligible institutions.

WHAT SPECIFIC DECISIONS HAVE YOU MADE TO HIGHLIGHT THE PURPOSE OF "REASONABLE CHOICE" IN YOUR PROGRAM(S)?

SOUTH CAROLINA: South Carolina Tuition Grant Program is limited to eligible, independent (non profit) colleges. Therefore, the primary purpose of the program is to give "reasonable choice" between public-private sectors of higher education. Within the program we use a relative need to determine award size. TENNESSEE: The maximum amount of the award relates to the tuition charged at the institution (\$1200 maximum). TEXAS: Programs operate with maximum flexibility. Institutions have an allocation of funds based upon enrollment and student needs with high degree of local control. VERMONT: In addition to the 58% quarantee, all Vermont students attending an independent Vermont private college may receive an additional \$1000 Vermont private college tuition differential grant for a total of \$2900, depending upon costs. VIRGINIA: The TAGLP is a tuition equalization program designed to reduce the tuition gap for those who plan to attend a private institution. WASHINGTON: None-The state constitution does not permit consideration of tuition differential in our awards. State need grants are portable and recipients may use these at any eligible institution of their choice in the state. Institutions are not limited to the number of grants they can process. WEST VIRGINIA: Statutory provisions allow higher awards to recipients attending high cost institutions. However, awards cannot exceed demonstrated need in any situation. WISCONSIN: The Tuition Grant Program.

```
ALABAMA: None. With all awards at $300 "access"
is probably not relative.
ALASKA: None, the State loan program is admin-
istered in accord with eligibility criteria;
attendance at foreign institutions, previously
denied, will be implemented this year (78-79).

ARIZONA: None

ARKANSAS: Continuing emphasis upon making fin-
ancial aid information available to all
students. We are excited about the proposed
education information center and feel that a
cooperative effort with it will greatly enhance
our efforts.
CALIFORNIA: Cal Grant B is specifically designed as an access program as it provides
for subsistence.
COLORADO: Defined substantial need as $100 or
more.
CONNECTICUT: Limited State funding does not
allow for a state access program. However, the
state campus-based programs are administered so
that students with the greatest need receive
awards.
DELAWARE: Newspapers, radio, high school guid-
ance dissemination.
FLORIDA: Award decisions are made by the state agency. A student's financial need is calcu-
lated by using the budget of his/her first
choice institution.
GEORGIA: The requirement of "substantial unmet
need" and the purpose of the program to meet a
portion of that amount to help assure "access" to some postsecondary school is emphasized on
the application form, in brochures, etc.
ILLINOIS: Year round processing; extensive use of Office of Informational Services.
KANSAS: No specific action aside from inform-
ing public.
KENTUCKY: Grant maximum was increased when
public university tuition was increased;
extended grant eligibility to higher expected
parental contribution level.
LOUISIANA: This remains with student aid
official.
MAINE: Student may apply to any postsecondary institution in state - public/private.
MARYLAND: Pushing for new legislation to
 include proprietary schools. Stress variations
 in sizes of awards as costs go up in expense.
MASSACHUSETTS: Consideration of candidates in
 order of ascending parental contribution, and
public sector payment levels higher in relation
 to cost than those for private sector.
MICHIGAN: In process of amending State legis-
lation to include all non-profit postsecondary
 schools (consistent with SSIG requirements).
MINNESOTA: To request "full-funding". To request the Legislature to make the program
 available to all needy undergraduates. (Cur-
rently only first and second year students may apply as initial year recipients.

MISSISSIPI: Not applicable.

MISSOURI: Work with project to inform high
 MONTANA: Increased the maximum grant from $300 to $600.
 NEBRASKA:
              None
 NEVADA: All in-state resident students who
apply for financial aid are considered for SSIG.
NEW MEXICO: Because of the low income eligibility requirements, the program is limited to
 a small percentage of the population. As Basic
 Grants increase and as the State Student Incen-
 tive Grant Program increases, some consideration
 must be given to making the New Mexico Student
 Incentive Grant Program available to a higher
 income population.
```

NEW YORK: Legislature has continued to fully fund the program. No student is denied because of limitation on the number or amount of awards. NORTH CAROLINA: NCSIG awards must go first to neediest candidates who apply within established deadlines. NORTH DAKOTA: Emphasis will move from low income incentive program, to offering more grants to middle-income students. OHIO: We are proposing that the grant amounts be increased in 1979-80 to keep up with increased costs - maximum grant should equal 80% of fees. This will assure access to Ohio students.

OKLAHOMA: None

OREGON: The decision of the agency supported by the Legislature, to give priority to the neediest students when awarding state funds emphasizes and continues the State's original commitment of providing access to higher education. This commitment to access has not diminished in light of concern for middle income grant funding. PENNSYLVANIA: Front loading BEOG award against computed financial need (rather than direct offset to State Grant eligibility) provides access to students from the lower socioeconomic group without significantly altering participation of low cost public colleges in the State Grant Program. RHODE ISLAND: New program initiated for '78-'79 has added grants (financial need only) in addition to former scholarship program. SOUTH CAROLINA: Individual awards are limited to students need, therefore, no overawards are made at the expense of another student. TENNESSEE: In ranking, neediest students are assisted first. TEXAS: Student contribution to expenses has been dropped in Tuition Equalization Grants Program to improve access to private institutions. VERMONT: Guaranteed all students have 58% of the cost of tuition, fees, room and board, met with a combination of BEOG, PARENTS' contribution, and State Incentive Grant to a maximum of \$1900. VIRGINIA: The need-based program. the CSAP is to provide funds to students who demonstrate need and plan on attending either public or private institutions in Virginia. WASHINGTON: The Council has been able to focus on access for recently independent and older independent students by tightening the independency criteria (5 year independency for State Need Grants). WEST VIRGINIA: By ranking applicants according to parental contribution rather than relative need, first consideration is given to access--with secondary consideration given to choice of institution. WISCONSIN: The TIP supplement of the WHEG program is our access program. GUAM: Scholarship Programs will be advertised islandwide as available without regard to age, sex, handicap, etc.

- .

## SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN PROGRAM(S)/OPERATIONS FOR 1978-79 AWARD YEAR WHICH WERE NOT IN EXISTENCE FOR 1977-78 AWARD YEAR

ALABAMA: Changed from a single application deadline date to three application processing dates of April 1, July 1, and October 1.

ALASKA: Maximum annual limit for undergraduate students was raised from \$2500 to \$3000. DELAWARE: All state/federal scholarship program administration is being transferred to Delaware Postsecondary Education Commission for FY 78/79, effective 9/15/78. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: It is anticipated staff will be added and will help the program to operate more efficiently and effectively. FLORIDA: Florida participated in the multiple data entry application approach. A Florida resident was automatically considered for a state grant provided they completed an overprinted FFS or FAF as instructed. GEORGIA: Combined application form for Grants and Scholarships was initiated this year in addition to an earlier deadline date (7/3/78). HAWAII: None KANSAS: None KANSAS: None
KENTUCKY: Date of first announcement moved from 6/26 to 5/17; students could change college choice until June 1 for Fall and October 15 for spring with award cancellation and reconsideration based on available funds. MAINE: We have changed from State Tuition Equalization Program (STEP) which was a decentralized system to Maine State Student Incantive Scholarship Program which is a centralized program. MARYLAND: Two new programs added, increase in applications for need-based programs (from 11.000 applications to 15,000). MASSACHUSETTS: Adopted requirement that recipients be making satisfactory progress in accordance with institutional standards. Extended eligibility for General Scholarships to non-degree 2-year programs in public and nonprofit institutions eligible to participate in federal student assistance programs. MICHIGAN: Combined application/financial statement; updated on line communications system; delayed freshman awards by two weeks: revised monitoring procedures; revised citizenship requirements to include refugees. Automated follow-up for missing application data. MINNESOTA: Students who will be in their second year of post-secondary and who did not receive awards for their first year may submit initial applications for the grant program. MISSOURI: New data processing system.
MONTANA: Increased maximum grant from \$300 to \$600. NEBRASKA: The institutional allocation formula has changed. In addition, a few proprietary institutions have elected to participate in the 1978-79 SSIG program. NEW JERSEY: Far-reaching changes have been brought about by new legislation which enlarged and consolidated old programs. BEOG eligibility is combined with State eligibility as an entitlement. A single application and need analysis system is used in all programs. NEW MEXICO: The new Osteopathic Medical Student Loan Program will be making loans for the first time during 1977-78.

NEW YORK: Legislation establishing higher award schedules; completely redisgned processing system developed and implemented; legislation prohibiting grants to loan defaulters enacted. NORTH CAROLINA: Input into our grant processing system is via tape exchange with ACT or CSS. Hard copy FFS or FAS is back-up only; communication with schools prior to award announcement to student is now made to reduce volume of cancellations and adjustments to be made by State processor. NORTH DAKOTA: All non-profit postsecondary schools were designated as eligible for the 1978-79 year. PENNSYLVANIA: Increased Business/Farm Net Worth exclusion from \$75,000 to \$100,000 before converting 10% of remainder to parents' income; increased home equity exclusion from \$25,000 to \$30,000; increased parents' savings and investments exclusion from \$1,500 to \$2,500 before taxing remainder as contribution from Parents' Assets. Reduced expected parents' contribution by 5% at all PHEAA adjusted income levels. Increased maximum PHEAA Adjusted Parents' Income Level cutoff from \$18,000 to \$19,300. Revised BEOG offset procedure. RHODE ISLAND: New programs (scholarship & grant) are replacing all old programs on a one year at a time phase out basis. Program is funded on formula of 10% of high school seniors enrolled times \$1000. Resultant funding is approximately three times former funding for freshmen. Funding increase will continue for each year until four years are fully funded.
SOUTH CAROLINA: Full computerization of the applicant's records was achieved. 1978-79 independent students have their need determined on a dependent model, i.e., a restrictive cost of education budget is used with family contribution calculated the same as for dependent students. TENNESSEE: Increased funding. TEXAS: Student affirmation signed at time of grant disbursement is monitored for return to control disbursement date and/or cancellation of warrant. <u>VERMONT:</u> Funding of the Vermont private college tuition differential grant - awards up to an additional \$1,000 to Vermont students attending an independent Vermont college or university depending on cost. VIRGINIA: Range of awards increased from \$200 to \$800. Also, anticpated BEOG and other expected state assistance (i.e. TAGLP) taken into account in determining a student's need and, therefore, eligibility to receive an award. WASHINGTON: The amount of the grant was increased from \$480 to \$510 per student per year. No other major changes. WEST VIRGINIA: BEOG eligibility index taken from the CSS Financial Aid Form, therefore, funds are expected even though applicant may not have applied for Basic Grant.

ALABAMA: In August, 1978, the Alabama legislature passed a law establishing a non-need based Alabama Student Grant Program. If proven legal in the courts, this will establish grants up to \$600 for Alabama residents attending Alabama non-profit independent colleges and universities. ARKANSAS: We will accept applications from schools who were previously not eligible for CALIFORNIA: New Guaranteed Student Loan Program. COLORADO: Seeking to develop a guaranteed loan program. DELAWARE: Transfer of administrative responsibility. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Adequate full-time permanent staff will be employed. FLORIDA: 1.) Multiple data entry approach. 2.) Florida statute change that extended eligibility to additional institutions plus changed award maximums. GEORGIA: 1.) Increased state funding; 2.) Earlier distribution date of GIS applications; 3.) Earlier deadline for submission of applications. KENTUCKY: Direct mailing of applications to state grant renewal candidates and to all high school seniors; eligibility of AFDC recipients for maximum grant. MAINE: From STEP which is decentralized to Maine Student Incentive Scholarship Program which is a centralized program. MARYLAND: Two new programs in operation and one is our first "merit-no need" MICHIGAN: SSIG-EDP selection and attendant programs. NEBRASKA: Institutional reporting procedures for the SSIG program and continued development of the Nebraska State Scholarship Program. NEW JERSEY: Far-reaching changes have been brought about by new legislation which enlarged and consolidated old programs. BEOG eligibility is combined with State eligibility as an entitlement. A single application and need analysis system is used in all programs. NEW MEXICO: New Osteopathic Medical Student Loan Program. NEW YORK: Implementation of new computer system. NORTH CAROLINA: (1. Schools were notified before student recipients with requests for adjustments to be made before award was announced to student. This reduced considerably the number of adjustments required by "aid package" with campus assistance.

NORTH DAKOTA: All non-profits eligible. OREGON: 1.) Expansion of the Need Grant program to include families up to \$20,000 annual income (typical family of 2 parents and 2 children); 2.) New medical/dental loan program; 3.) Collection of financial aid packages received by students at institutions throughout the state; 4.) Research into development of an agency operated NDSL billing and collection service. PENNSYLVANIA: Requested legislative authority to move beginning award annoucement date from May 1 to March 1 to better coordinate with BEOG and institutional admissions and financial aid decisions. Continue effort to increase legislated maximum award and admininstratively imposed maximum income level to better promote freedom of choice and help families not aided by BEOG. RHODE ISLAND: New program (scholarship and grant) are replacing all old programs on a one year at a time phase-out basis. Program is funded on formula of 10% of high school seniors enrolled times \$1,000. Resultant funding is approximately three times former funding for freshmen. Funding increase will continue for each year until four years are fully funded. SOUTH CAROLINA: Computer edit to determine if applicant qualifies and meets program regulations rather than visual review pro-VERMONT: 1.) Establish educational information centers; 2.) Improve corporation efforts in the areas of outreach and development; 3.) Study feasibility of funding part-time students; 4.) Study feasibility of statewide work-study program. WEST VIRGINIA: Elimination of a separate application form. The CSS Financial Aid Form will serve as application form. This became possible through the addition of certain questions to the FAF form. VIRGIN ISLANDS: Closer working relations with other scholarship granting groups with a view toward having all scholarships under one umbrella or handled by the Board of Education. Some of the scholarships have already been brought under the Board's jurisdiction.

HAVE THE NATIONAL TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS OR UNIFORM METHODOLOGY, SIMPLIFIED APPLICATION PROCEDURES, OR COORDINATED CALENDAR HAD ANY IMPACT ON THE AGENCY'S DECISIONS FOR THE 1978/79 AND/OR 1979/80 AWARD YEARS?

ALABAMA: No.
ALASKA: No.
ARIZONA: Not applicable.
ARKANSAS: Yes, the Arkansas State Scholarship Program will join rhw colleges and universities in the state in using a common application for student aid. The ACT FFS and Student Data form will be used. COLORADO: We use Uniform Methodology. COMMECTICUT: No. DELAWARE: No. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: No. FLORIDA: Yes. 1.) Our use of the uniform methodology by acceptance of both ACT (FFS) and CSS (FAF) forms. 2.) Participation in the multiple data entry application approach. GEORGIA: Yes, 1978-79 agency combined its Grant & Scholarship Programs to one application form. HAMAII: No. 10440: Yes, Idaho has adopted a common application form in conjunction with Sollege Scholar Service Family Financial form. ILLINGIS: Yes, 1.) Considering Basic Grant as a first resource. 2.) Providing institutions with calculation results of Uniform Methodology as USOE approved figure to award campus-based federal dollars. ICMA: ICAC requires students and their families to complete only one form. For the 1979-80 award year either the Family Financial Statement or the Financial Aid form will serve as the single Iowa Student Aid Application Form. KAMSAS: No. LGUISIAMA: No. MAINE: We are using EAF for financial data, using FAF for application and coordinating the calendar. MARYLAND: No. Except that we like the current simplified procedures of our form (FAF) covering all sources of aid. MASSACHUSETTS: Yes. We moved to one forma Massachusetts overprinted Financial Aid Form for 1978-79, and eliminated our seperate application. MICHIGAN: Application combined with financial statement. Delay in pre-freshman awards by two weeks. Move accurate financial data due to later filing. Uniform methodology seems to be more liberal.
MINNESOTA: 1978-79 academic year Minnesota State Scholarship and Grant-in-Aid Programs application was combined with the Family Financial Statement, thereby eliminating one form which the applicant previously had to submit. MISSISSIPPI: No. MISSOURI: Yes, we use uniform methodology. MONTANA: No. NEBRASKA: No. NEVADA: No. NEW HAMPSHIRE: No. NEW JERSEY: Yes. The Uniform Methodology is used to determine need in all programs. A single application and the coordinated calendar have been adopted. New Jersey is a BEOG processor. NEW MEXICO: No.

NEW YORK: No. MORTH CAROLINA: 1.) We have adopted the uniform methodology. 2.) We have adopted a Common Form (FAF or FFS) in lieu of an Agency seperate application effective for 1978-79 processing year. MORTH DAKOTA: For 1979-30, multiple data entry should make required BEOG easier to implement. OHIO: Our acency is still reviewing the various alternatives. At this point, the most desirable approach is for the state to continue with the Basis Grants Program as a M D I participant. Thus, students would complete the state application for state programs and the Basis Grants Program and the FAF or FFS for campus based funds. CKLAHOMA: No.

OPEGCN: Elimination of state application form and most institutional application forms, resulting in exclusive use of FAF for State, institutional and federal programs eligibility. PENNSYLVANIA: Implemented a no charge sincle application to serve the Agency as a SEOG Multiple Data Entry contractor for residents and permit independent calculations of BEOG, State Grant and Uniform Methodology. Colleges with remote terminals given the opportunity to abandon hard copy paper flow, calculate financial need after SECG and State Grant determinations and package unmet need according to institutional policy parameters within availabel resources by ledger accounts. PHEAA is using data to evaluate changing its need analysis system to Uniform Methodology. Accept applications, transcribe data and forward to 20G central processor free for non-residents attending a Pennsylvania institution. RHODE ISLAND: Recommendations have been included in our procedures. SOUTH CAROLINA: No.
TENNESSEE: In 1979-80 the TSAA application will be a Tennessee Family Financial Statement (ACT) OR Tennessee Financial Aid Form (CSS). TEXAS: Uniform Methodology used; also coordinated calendar to some extent. VERMONT: Multiple data entry has produced one needs analysis form for BECG, State Grants, and Institutional Aid. VIRGINIA: Yes, the agency now uses the "common form". MASHINGTON: No. all changes in the State Need Grant Program were made to confrom to the Uniform Methodology in previous years. WEST VIRGINIA: For 1979-80, Grant Program will basically rely on information reported on the Financial Aid Form (FAF), Seperate Grant Program application form will be eliminated. MISCONSIN: Yes, being fully implemented in Wisconsin.

```
ALABAMA: The significant variation in institu-
tional budgets. Documenting need for additional
funds. Should there be a minimum family contribution? Centralized or decentralized awarding?
If decentralized, funds allocation?
ALASKA: None
ARIZONA: We must still convince our state
legislators that there is sufficient unmet need
in Arizona schools which should be met by a
grant program.
ARKANSAS: The long-range effect of the inclusion of all public and private non-profit
institutions of higher education in the program
and the monetary needs for an expanded program.
COLORADO: Appropriate packaging.
CONNECTICUT: Portability, type of academic screening for the State Scholarship Program.
DELAWARE: None
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Should the program con-
tinue to operate without regard to relative
need?
FLORIDA: The selection of grant recipients on the basis of relative need. (Many feel they should be selected on the basis of absolute
need.) Equalization in the awarding of all
grant funds. Extension of eligibility to all
non-profit institutions accredited by COPA.
GEORGIA: Obtaining adequate state funding for a program of this type in light of the BEOG
Program. The inadequate Federal SSIG funding
level. Increased Federal dollars will generate
more State dollars and thereby help override
local philosophic (political) objections to
increased funding at the state level.

ILLINOIS: Aid before tuition and fees, when and if to assist proprietary (for profit)
institutions.
IOWA: ICAC does not believe the self-support-
ing student model developed as part of the
uniform methodology is reasonable. How can
established families who choose to or are
forced to return to school be given reasonable
consideration for financial assistance?
KANSAS: Primarily two - both concerning the
philosphy of need calculation: 1) emancipated,
self-supporting, non-traditional student; 2)
expected contribution from net assets as it
relates to farm operating particularly during
low farm prices, or return on investments.

KENTUCKY: Verification of data; inclusion of non-liquid assets in need analysis treatment of
independent students.
LOUISIANA: One issue would be the continued
demand of students with no apparent family
financial resources, for attendance at high
cost exclusive private schools, when lower
cost schools are available.
MAINE: None
MARYLAND: % of need to be met by State grants
as application group expands and total state
need by students reaches peak.

MASSACHUSETTS: The need to go to relative need and to reflect total resources, including BEOG, and total cost. Not really philosophic; but
 the need to triple program funding to respond to
demand - now almost 100,000 applications.
MICHIGAN: Eligibility of proprietary schools;
reciprocity with other States.
MINNESOTA: The appropriate amount of self-help, in general, and student loan in specific.

MISSISSIPPI: Consumer information
MISSOURI: Does need-based aid contribute to the decline of Western civilization?
```

MONTANA: Proprietary schools; transportability to provide more assistance to middle income student and rising costs in higher education. NEBRASKA: The State of Nebraska's commitment to postsecondary education through student financial aid, "need based" programs, this includes the issue of public aid to private institutions/students. NEW MEXICO: The major question in the New Mexico program is the possible loss of state funding. If certain federal regulations require program alterations that would be unconstitutional in this state (example, portability).
NORTH CAROLINA: The "biggest unresolved philosophic issue" which remains unanswered in North Carolina's student assistance programs and, indeed, is fundamentally unresolved in all student assistance debate is the inability of these systems to deal with inequity; the problem of assuring that families undertake adequate steps of financial planning for postsecondary education during the early childhood years. We seem unable to appropriately solve the problem of eventually subsiding a "maximum expenditure life-style." The systems tend to reward those who have chosen to follow such a lifestyle and to penalize those who have chosen voluntarily more conservative fiscal practices. The family that has set aside a portion of previous earnings for the college years is told that they do not qualify because they have adequate resources immediately available. On the other hand, the family which has done nothing to save for the college years receives a subsidy in some form of student aid and is thusly rewarded for lack of planning and unsound personal financial management. NORTH DAKOTA: State tax credit legislation will be introduced in 1979 session. OHIO: The alternative to pursue in the common application area. Continued participation in the SSIG program. OREGON: Those issues concern assistance to part-time students, proprietary students and those Oregon residents attending schools outside the state (portability). None of these groups are now being served by the agency.
PENNSYLVANIA: Getting the Legislature and Education officials to establish the relative priority to be assigned Direct Institutional (subsidy) Aid vs. Student Aid as the most viable mechanism for disbursing available tax dollars for higher education. Conversion of the policy makers from a need analysis geared to state objectives for state funds to state expenditures based on the Uniform Methodology determination of eligibility and objectives which encompass a State/Federal/Institutional partnership in funding postsecondary education. Retrenchment in the historical policy of student choice and full portability of State Grants. Continued dedication of large state sums to acquire minimum Federal Matching Funds coupled with Federal policy not compatible with State policy objectives WISCONSIN: Calendar, definition of independent student. GUAM: None

## COMMENTS THAT INDIVIDUAL STATES DESIRED TO MAKE WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE READER A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE AGENCY

ALABAMA: For the first time in three years the Alabama legislature has appropriated sufficient funds to fully match all available SSIG funds. ARIZONA: Ours is a decentralized program in which need evaluation, aid packaging, and SSIG award recommendations are all done by the insti-tution financial aid office. The Commission has overall administrative responsibility and retains approval authority for each grant.

ARKANSAS: We are faced with extending program eligibility to juniors and seniors and/or raising the maximum award amount. These factors coupied with our decision to support a common application for aid, should make our applicant pool increase more rapidly than funding can be obtained. We are presently examining the commitment our state will have to make to serve all potentially eligible students in the program. COLORADO: Colorado uses a decentralizaed administrative system. DELAWARE: Due to transfer of administrative responsibility, there will be some administrative changes in application processing, etc., which have not yet been established. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Funds are awarded on a first come first served basis, and since the need of the applicants always exceeds available funds, only continuations and very early initial applicants can be assured of receiving funds. FLORIDA: Eligibility for the Florida student Assistant Grant has been extended to all Florida colleges, universities, and hospital schools of nursing accredited by a member of the Council on Postsecondary Accreditation or any Florida institution whose credits are transferable to a state university. GEORGIA: Larger federal appropriation needed for SSIG program. LOUISIANA: Although Louisiana was allocated 5864,715 in federal matching funds for 1978-79, the Legislature funded the program with only \$300,000 in state matching funds. MAINE: We are changing from State Tuition Equalization Program (STEP) which funded only students at non-public schools to a comprehensive program. We are phasing in the program by funding only Freshmen and STEP returnees the first year. MICHIGAN: We hope to implement computer generated award adjustment letters. MISSISSIPPI: Mississippi administers the SSIG Program through the decentralized system, thus awards are made through the participating institution and information is furnished this office by the financial aid officers of the participating institutions. NEBRASKA: The State of Nebraska has a decentralized system of awarding the SSIG grant funds. Allocations are made to the postsecondary institutions and awards are made through those institutions after approval by the agency. The grant is matched by institutional funds. In reference to appropriations, a lump sum State General Fund appropriation is made to the agency with no dollars designated for the purpose of SSIG Administration. Personal services, operating expenses, travel and capital outlays for the program are absorbed in the State General Fund appropriation as well as in other Federal Fund administrative dollars.

operation under an alternative state matching situation. The State Legislature will again be asked to fund this program when it meets in early 1979. (The 1975 and 1977 State Legislature denied such funds.) NEW JERSEY: Student Assistance Board will review program policy on independent students and the extension of aid to more middleincome students. NEW MEXICO: Operates a decentralized incentive grant program which processes much of the day-to-day administrative burden on the postsecondary institutions throughout the state. The questionnaire seems to be directed toward centralized state agencies and, therefore, gives an incomplete, if not confusing, picture of a decentralized program such as we have in this state. I might suggest that in the future since there are more decentralized types of programs in the country that the questionnaire be altered to take such programs into account. OREGON: During 1978-79 the Commission will administer the Tuition Offset Grant program, which is an extension of the Need Grant program. The intent of the program is to provide grants to financially needy students to offset increases in tuition and fees occuring in public four-year institutions since the base year 1977-78. Grants may not exceed \$100 and are awarded in combination with Need Grants or singly, if the student is not eligible for a Need Grant. PENNSYLVANIA: Hardest problem continues to be redirection of BEOG offset monies into meaningful State Grants. State Grant Program funding level has been stagnated for five years causing increased dependence on Federal BEOG and SSIG funding growth to maintain state policy of a viable public/private system of higher education in the face of increasing educational costs, an inflationary economy and a declining college age population.

RHODE ISLAND: Former state scholarship program under Department of Education and guaranteed loan program as private corporations have been combined under newly created authority for the first time in 1978-79. TEXAS: Our grant programs have a high degree of administrative participation by the educational institutions. VERMONT: IN FY79 we received \$725,000 (21%) increase in our appropriation which allowed us to increase our maximum incentive grant by \$300 to \$1900, plus fund the Vermont private college tuition differential grant at a maximum level of \$1000, depending on costs. WASHINGTON: The State Need Grant Program process is as follows: Institutions review all FAF/CSS forms and financial aid applications submitted to them to determine student eligibility such as state residency, class standing and determined need. Nomination of eligible students are made to the Council. Certain checks are made on the nominations and if approved, warrants are drawn and sent to the institutions, or funds are advanced to certain schools. Student must sign these warrants as well as a Conditions of Award Statement.

NEVADA: Has completed its first year of SSIG-

#### ALABAMA CALIFORNIA Alabama Commission on Higher Education California Student Aid Commission Suite 221, One Court Square 1410 Fifth Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Tel: 205-832-6555 Sacramento, California 95814 Tel: 916-445-0880 Dr. John F. Porter, Jr. Arthur S. Marmaduke Executive Director Director Tom A. Roberson Dortha L. Morrison Assistant Director Deputy Director Student Assistance Programs Peter D. Prentiss Scholarship Program Supervisor ALASKA Albert Salgado Alaska Commission on Post-Secondary College Opportunity Grant Education Program Supervisor Division of Student Loans David Kupper Pouch F Occupational Education & Train-Juneau, Alaska 99811 ing-Grant Program Supervisor Tel: 907-465-2962 Carl Nelson Kerry Romesburg Graduate Fellowship Executive Director Program Supervisor Paul Gulvas Dennis Beaman Assistant Director Special Programs Supervisor Mary Ann Isturis Administrator Colorado Commission on Higher Education 1550 Lincoln Street-Room 210 Arizona Commission for Post-Secondary Denver, Colorado 80203 Education Tel: 303-839-2723 Room 115, 1650 W. Alameda Drive Dr. Lee Kerschner Tempe, Arizona 85282 Executive Director Tel: 602-271-3109 Lindsay Baldner Dr. Richard R. Erbschloe Director-Student Services Executive Director Jack Armstrong Robert A. Bowman Business Manager Assistant Director ARKANSAS CONNECTICUT Department of Higher Education Board of Higher Education for the State 1301 West Seventh Street Student Financial Assistance Commission Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 P. 0. Box 1320 Tel: 501-371-1441 Hartford, Connecticut 06101 371-1290 Tel: 203-566-2618 Dr. M. Olin Cook Dr. Romeo J. Bernier Director Adm.-Student Financial Assistance Dr. Tom Spencer John J. Siegrist Assoc Dir. Comm. Colleges Financial Aid Officer Estella M. Williams

Coordinator of Student Aid

Elizabeth W. Taylor Student Aid Analyst

DELAWARE	HAWAII
Delaware Post-Secondary Education Commission	
200 Delaware Avenue	Bachman Hall-University of Hawaii
Wilmington, Delaware 19801	2444 Dole Street
Tel: 302-571-3240	Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
Dr. John Corrozi	Te1: 808-948-6862
Director	Nils K. Ueki
D11 60 CO1	Executive Director
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Fujio Matsuda
State Education Services Division	Administrative Officer
	Administrative officer
Office of State Agency Affairs	TDAHO
D.C. Dept of Human Resources 1329 East Street N.W.	IDAHO
	Office of the State Board of Education
Washington, D.C. 20004	650 West State Street
Tel: 202-727-3685	Len B. Jordan Building-Room 307
Eloise S. Turner	Boise, Idaho 83720
Chief State Education Ser. Division	Tel: 208-384-2270
51.00704	Milton Small
FLORIDA	Executive Director
Florida.Student Financial Asst. Commission	
Knott Building	Fiscal-Scholarship-Personnel Officer
Tallahassee, Florida 32304	
Tel: 904-487-1800	<u>ILLINOIS</u>
Ernest E. Smith, Jr.	Illinois State Scholarship Commission
Executive Director	102 Wilmot Road
Donald G. Smading	Deerfield, Illinois 60015
Assoc. Executive Director	Tel: 312-948-8500
Jensen Audioun	Dr. Joseph D. Boyd
Adm Fiscal Operations	Executive Director
Blair Shuford	Ralph Godzicki
Adm. Program Operations	Assistant Executive Director
Robert Minnick	Director of State Student Grant Prog.
Director Lender Relations	Carol Wennerdahl
Valaree Allen	Assistant Executive Director
Coordinator of Claims/	Director of State Student Grant Prog.
Collection Unit	James Eanes
Jeanette Henshaw	Director of Services to
Coordinator Accounting Unit	Post-Secondary Institutions
Mary Willis	William Hilton
Coordinator Scholarships/	Director of Office of Information
Grants Unit	Services
	Sybil Francis
GEORGIA	Assistant to Executive Director-
Georgia Higher Education Assistance Authority	Research and Planning
9 LaVista Perimeter Park-Suite 110	nesset on and I raining
2187 Northlake Parkway	INDIANA
Tucker, Georgia 30084	State Student Assistance Commission
Tel: 404-393-7108	of Indiana
Don Payton	219 North Senate Avenue
Executive Director	Indianapolis, Indiana 46202
Robert G. McCants	Tel: 317-633-7686
DirStudent Services Division	James E. Sunday
Ruth McAdams Supun Scholanchin & Chants Section	Executive Director
SupvrScholarship & Grants Section	Mary Aikins Currie
Ralph Roberts	State Auditor
DirFiscal Affairs Division	
Ron Lauver	
DirSystems Operation Division	

<u>IOWA</u>	MARYLAND
Iowa College Aid Commission	Maryland State Scholarship Board
201 Jewett Bldg, Ninth & Grand	2100 Guilford Avenue
Des Moines, IA 50309	Baltimore, Maryland 21218
Tel: 515-281-3501	Tel: 301-383-4095
Willis Ann Wolff	Dr. H. Kenneth Shook
Executive Director .	Executive Director
Gary W. Nichols	Caryn Coyle
Director, Student Aid Programs	Assistant Executive Director
Betty J. Johnson	ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE DITECTOR
Administrative Assistant	
Charles W. Irvin, Jr.	MASSACHUSETTS
Administrative Assistant	
Namini 361 461 46 N3313 Gaille	Massachusetts Board of Higher Education
KANSAS	Room 632, Park Square Bldg.
Board of Regents-State of Kansas	Boston, MA 02116
Student Assistance Section	Tel: 617-727-5366
	Edward C. McGuire
1100 Merchants National Bank	Chancellor
Topeka, Kansas 66612	Graham R. Taylor
Tel: 913-296-3517	Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs
Gerald R. Bergen	Conrad L. Kohler
Student Assistance Officer	Scholarship Officer
VENTUAL.	Renda Johnson
KENTUCKY	Staff Associate for Scholarship Prog.
Kentucky Higher Education Assistance Authority	
691 Teton Trail	
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601	MICHIGAN
Tel: 502-564-7990	Michigan Higher Education Assistance
Paul P. Borden	Authority
Executive Director	P. O. Box 30008
Marleen B. Ingle	Lansing, MI 48909
Deputy Director for Grants .	Tel: 517-373-3394
	Ronald J. Jursa
LOUISIANA	Executive Director
Governor's Special Commission	Aaron C. Hall
on Education Services	Supervisor, Scholarships/Grants
P. O. Box 44127	D. Lee Peterson
Capitol Station	Supervisor, Support Services
Baton Rouge, LA 70804	Supervisor, Support Services
Tel: 504-342-6877	
Richard W. Petrie	MINNESOTA
Director	Minnesota Higher Education Coordinating
Billie R. Ritter	
Fiscal Officer	Board Pinancial Aid
V. Terry Dennis	Division of Financial Aid
Program Director	901 Capitol Square Bldg-550 Cedar St
riogiam bilector	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
MATNE.	Tel: 612-296-5715
MAINE Division of Higher Education Series	Kenneth R. Ostberg
Division of Higher Education Services	Director, Div. of Financial Aid
Dept. of Education and Cultural Services	Margaret L. Dean
Education Building	Manager, Scholarships & Grants
Augusta, Maine 04333	Phillip Miller
Tel: 207-289-2541	Manager, Self-Help Programs
Harold M. Grodinsky	Deborah Reckinger
Coordinator, Financial Aid Programs	Manager, Programs & Systems
Fred A. Douglas	
Director, Higher Education Services	

MISSISSIPPI
Governor's Office of Job Development
and Training
P. O. Box 22808
Jackson, Mississippi 39205
Tel: 601-354-7676
Jamie W. Howell, Sr.
Executive Director
Hilda Bowden
Administrative Assistant
Damian Mercier
Director, Fiscal Affairs
and Management Information

MISSOURI
Department of Higher Education
1130 East Elm
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Tel: 314-751-3940
Richard C. Stillwagon
Director of Student Financial Asst
Steven H. Dougherty
Associate Director
David L. Brandt
Associate Director
Donna W. Bonney
Grant Coordinator

MONTANA
Commission of Higher Education
33 South Last Chance Gulch
Helena, Montana 59601
Tel: 406-449-3024
William J. Lannan
Director, Special Programs

NEBRASKA
Nebraska Coordinating Commission
for Post-Secondary Education
301 Centennial Mall South
P. O. Box 95005
Lincoln, NE 68509
Tel: 402-471-2847
Kathryn E. Hayes
Administrative Assistant

NEVADA
Chancellor's Office
405 Marsh Avenue
Reno, Nevada 89509
Tel: 702-784-4901
Donald H. Baepler
Chancellor
Mary Lou Moser
Budget & Research Analyst

NEW HAMPSHIRE

N. H. Post-Secondary Education

66 South St

Concord, N. H. 03301

Tel: 603-271-2555

James A. Busselle

Executive Director

Ronald Wilson

Asst. to the Executive Director

NEW JERSEY Office of Student Assistance Dept. of Higher Education 225 West State St Trenton, New Jersey 08625 Tel: 609-292-8770 Dr. Haskell Rhett Assistant Chancellor for Student Assistance and Special Programs Dr. Gordon Van de Water Director, Office of Special Programs Dr. Elizabeth L. Ehart Director, Garden State Scholarship and Graduate Fellowship Programs William Nester Director, Higher Education Assistance Authority Hubert A. Thomas Director, Tuition Aid Grant Program Frederick D. Wilkes Director, Educational Opportunity Fund John Clark Director, Information Systems

NEW MEXICO
Board of Educational Finance-Commission
on Post-Secondary Education
Legislative-Executive Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
Tel: 505-827-2115
Thomas E. Wilson
Planner Director
Lou Holmes
Fiscal Officer

NEW YORK	
NEW YORK	OKLAHOMA State Baranta fan
New York State Higher Education	Oklahoma State Regents for
Services Corporation	Higher Education
Tower Building	500 Education Building
Empire State Plaza	State Capitol Complex
Albany, New York 12255	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
Tel: 518-474-5592	Tel: 405-521-2444
Eileen D. Dickinson	Walter M. Williams
President	Loan Officer
Michael O'Shea	Mark Winters
Executive Vice President	Assistant Loan Officer
Michael P. Cruskie	Laura Silkwood
Vice President	Assistant Loan Officer
Peter Keitel	Shiela Joyner
Vice President	Administrative Secretary
Lawrence O'Toole	John Coffey
Counsel	Collections Officer
Francis Hynes	001166610113 0111661
Director of Administration	
Director of Administration	OREGON
	State Scholarship Commission
NORTH CAROLINA	1445 Willametta Street
North Carolina State Education	Eugene, Oregon 97401
Assistance Authority	Tel: 503-686-4166
Box 2688	Jeff Lee
Chapel Hill, NC 27514	Executive Director
Te1: 919-549-8614	Gary Weeks
Stan C. Broadway	Deputy Director
Executive Director	Tom Turner
Charles F. George, Jr.	Director, Special Services
Associate Director	James Renton
	Director, Loan Programs
	Floyd Bard
NORTH DAKOTA	Director, Grant Programs
North Dakota Student Financial	·
Assistance Agency	
Tenth Floor, Capitol Bldg	PENNSYLVANIA
Bismarck, ND 58505	Pennsylvania Higher Education
Tel: 701-224-2960	Assistance Agency
Clark J. Wold	Towne House
Director	Harrisburg, PA 17102
	Tel: 717-787-1937
	Kenneth R. Reeher
OHIO	Executive Director
Ohio Board of Regents	Thomas R. Fabian
Student Assistance Office	Executive Deputy Director
30 East Broad St	Gary D. Smith
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Deputy Director, Grants
Tel: 614-466-7420	Samuel J. Johnson
William Coulter	Deputy Director, Federal &
Acting Chancellor	Special Affairs
Charles W. Seward, III	James L. McKelvey
Director	
T. Rudd	Special Assistant for Plans Earl R. Fielder
Assistant Director	Director, Research and Statistics

RHODE ISLAND	UTAH
Phodo Island Higher Education	Utah System of Higher Education
Assistance Authority	807 East South Temple-Suite 204
274 Weybosset Street	Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
Providence, Rhode Island 02903	Tel: 801-533-5617
Tel: 401-277-2050	Dr. Terrel H. Bell
	Commissioner
John E. Madigan	Dr. Harden R. Eyring
Executive Director	Assistant Commission
Anthony Ferraro	Director of Planning
Assistant Director	Dr. Howard C. Nielson
Marilyn Horman	Associate Commissioner of Finance
Assistance Director	
COUTH CAROLINA	VERMONT
SOUTH CAROLINA South Carolina Tuition Chants Agency	Vermont Student Assistance Corp.
South Carolina Tuition Grants Agency	Five Burlington Square
411 Keenan Building	Burlington, Vermont 05401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201	Tel: 802-658-4530
Tel: 803-758-7070	Ronald Iverson
R. Laine Ligon	Executive Director
Director	Donald Vickers
COUTH DAYOTA	Assistant to the Executive Director
SOUTH DAKOTA	Donald Bernier
Office of the Secretary	Director, Grant Program
Department of Education and	Jean Phillips
Cultural Affairs	Director, Loan Program
Kneip Building	Herbert Kingsland
Pierre, South Dakota 57501	Controller
Tel: 605-773-3134	Tim Wick
(vacant) Secretary & Adm. Assistant	Director, Talent Search Program
Roxie Thielen	bri coor y ratano coat en ri ogram
Clerical Secretary	VIRGINIA
Leonard Eichacker	State Council of Higher Education for
Committee Chairman	Virginia
	700 Fidelity Bldg-9th and Main Streets
TENNESSEE	Richmond, VA 23219
Tennessee Student Assistance Corp.	Tel: 804-786-2143
707 Main Street	Dr. Gordon K. Davies
Nashville, Tennessee 37206	Director
Tel: 615-741-1346	Barry M. Dorsey
Kenneth Barber	Assistant Director
Executive Director	Dr. Sharon H. Bob
Howard T. Wall	Coordinator-Financial Aid Programs
Assistant Director	Coordinator - I maneral Ara Programs
	WASHINGTON
TEXAS	Council for Post-Secondary Education
Coordinating Board, Texas College and	908 East 5th Avenue
University System	Olympia, Washington 98504
P.O. Box 12788, Capitol Station	Tel: 206-753-3571
Austin, Texas 78711	C. Gail Norris
Tel: 512-475-4147	
Mark C. Adams	Executive Coordinator
Head, Student Services Div.	Carl C. Donovan
Jane Innis	Deputy Coordinator
Director, Grant Programs	Linda LaMar
	Director, Program Development
	Lewis E. Dibble
	Director, Internal Operations

WEST VIRGINIA
West Virginia Higher Education
Grant Program
West Virginia Board of Regents
950 Kanawha Blvd East
Charleston, WV 25301
Tel: 304-348-0112
John F. Thralls
Director of Student Services
Robert E. Long
Grant Program Coordinator
Betty L. MacQueen
Grant Program Administrator
<del>-</del>

#### WISCONSIN

State of Wisconsin Higher Educational Aids Board 150 East Kilman Street Madison, WI 53702 Tel: 608-266-2879 James A. Jung Executive Secretary Richard H. Johnston Adm. Div. of Student Support Donovan K. Fowler

## WYOMING

Community College Commission 1720 Carey Avenue, Boyd Building Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 Tel: 307-777-7763 (vacant) Executive Director and Fiscal Officer

Assistant Div. Admin.

## AMERICAN SAMOA

Department of Education Government of American Samoa Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799 Tel: (overseas) 633-4256 Mere T. Betham Director of Education Joseph H. Oakey Federal Grants Coordinator and Administrator Sili M. Atuatasi Program Official

### **GUAM**

Student Financial Assistance Office. University of Guam P.O. Box EK Agana, Guam 96910 Te1: 734-9256 Franklin S. Cruz, Director Vicki C. Camacho, Assistant

## Council on Higher Education Box F, U.P.R. Station Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931 Tel: 809-765-6590 - Ext. 290 Luis E. Gonzalez-Vales **Executive Secretary**

Ramon Burgos Diaz Assistant Executive Secretary Eduardo Bermudez-Davila Coordinator, Financial Aid Andres Medina Pena Financial Officer

## TRUST TERRITORY

PUERTO RICO

Headquarters, Depart of Education Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands Saipan, Mariana Islands 96950 Tel: (Saipan) 9870 David Ramarui, Director of Education Harold W. Crouch Fiscal/Federal Programs Coordinator

## COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

Department of Education Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Saipan, Mariana Islands 96950 Tel: (Saipan) 9812, 6183 Isaac M. Calvo Acting Director of Education Herman T. Guerrero Student Assistance Officer Jesus G. Villagomez Acting Chief, Finance

VIRGIN ISLANDS Virgin Islands Dept of Education, Pupil Personnel Services P. G. Box 630, Charlotte Amalie St. Thomas, Virgin Islands 00801 Tel: 809-774-0100 Gwendolyn E. Kean Commissioner of Education Mario A. Watlington Chairman, Board of Education Dr. Rehenia A. Gabriel Director, Pupil Personnel Services James Oliver Director, Federal Programs Patrick N. Williams Chairman, Scholarship Committee